

PREA Facility Audit Report: Final

Name of Facility: Farmington Correctional Center

Facility Type: Prison / Jail

Date Interim Report Submitted: NA

Date Final Report Submitted: 04/20/2026

Auditor Certification

The contents of this report are accurate to the best of my knowledge.



No conflict of interest exists with respect to my ability to conduct an audit of the agency under review.



I have not included in the final report any personally identifiable information (PII) about any inmate/resident/detainee or staff member, except where the names of administrative personnel are specifically requested in the report template.



Auditor Full Name as Signed: Kendra Prisk

Date of Signature: 04/20/2026

AUDITOR INFORMATION

Auditor name: Prisk, Kendra

Email: 2kconsultingllc@gmail.com

Start Date of On-Site Audit: 03/13/2026

End Date of On-Site Audit: 03/16/2026

FACILITY INFORMATION

Facility name: Farmington Correctional Center

Facility physical address: 1012 West Columbia Street, Farmington, Missouri - 63640

Facility mailing address:

Primary Contact

Name:	Bryan Boesing
Email Address:	bryan.boesing@doc.mo.gov
Telephone Number:	5732187100

Warden/Jail Administrator/Sheriff/Director

Name:	Teri Vandergriff
Email Address:	teri.vandergriff@doc.mo.gov
Telephone Number:	573-218-7100

Facility PREA Compliance Manager

Name:	Bryan Boesing
Email Address:	bryan.boesing@doc.mo.gov
Telephone Number:	5732187100
Name:	Cheyenne Eye
Email Address:	cheyenne.e.eye@doc.mo.gov
Telephone Number:	5732187100

Facility Health Service Administrator On-site

Name:	Hollie Smith
Email Address:	hollie.smith@doc.mo.gov
Telephone Number:	573-218-7100

Facility Characteristics

Designed facility capacity:	2493
Current population of facility:	2345
Average daily population for the past 12 months:	2362

Has the facility been over capacity at any point in the past 12 months?	No
What is the facility's population designation?	Men/boys
Age range of population:	16-81
Facility security levels/inmate custody levels:	medium
Does the facility hold youthful inmates?	Yes
Number of staff currently employed at the facility who may have contact with inmates:	560
Number of individual contractors who have contact with inmates, currently authorized to enter the facility:	272
Number of volunteers who have contact with inmates, currently authorized to enter the facility:	126

AGENCY INFORMATION	
Name of agency:	Missouri Department of Corrections
Governing authority or parent agency (if applicable):	
Physical Address:	2729 Plaza Drive, Jefferson City, Missouri - 65109
Mailing Address:	P.O. Box 236, Jefferson City, Missouri - 65102
Telephone number:	5737512389

Agency Chief Executive Officer Information:	
Name:	Trevor Foley
Email Address:	Trevor.Foley@doc.mo.gov
Telephone Number:	573-526-6607

Agency-Wide PREA Coordinator Information**Name:** Darren Snellen**Email Address:** darren.snellen@doc.mo.gov**Facility AUDIT FINDINGS****Summary of Audit Findings**

The OAS automatically populates the number and list of Standards exceeded, the number of Standards met, and the number and list of Standards not met.

Auditor Note: In general, no standards should be found to be "Not Applicable" or "NA." A compliance determination must be made for each standard. In rare instances where an auditor determines that a standard is not applicable, the auditor should select "Meets Standard" and include a comprehensive discussion as to why the standard is not applicable to the facility being audited.

Number of standards exceeded:

1

- 115.11 - Zero tolerance of sexual abuse and sexual harassment; PREA coordinator

Number of standards met:

44

Number of standards not met:

0

POST-AUDIT REPORTING INFORMATION

Please note: Question numbers may not appear sequentially as some questions are omitted from the report and used solely for internal reporting purposes.

GENERAL AUDIT INFORMATION

On-site Audit Dates

1. Start date of the onsite portion of the audit:	2026-03-13
2. End date of the onsite portion of the audit:	2026-03-16

Outreach

10. Did you attempt to communicate with community-based organization(s) or victim advocates who provide services to this facility and/or who may have insight into relevant conditions in the facility?	☒ Yes ☐ No
a. Identify the community-based organization(s) or victim advocates with whom you communicated:	JDI and SEMO Family Violence Center

AUDITED FACILITY INFORMATION

14. Designated facility capacity:	2493
15. Average daily population for the past 12 months:	2362
16. Number of inmate/resident/detainee housing units:	39
17. Does the facility ever hold youthful inmates or youthful/juvenile detainees?	☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ Not Applicable for the facility type audited (i.e., Community Confinement Facility or Juvenile Facility)

Audited Facility Population Characteristics on Day One of the Onsite Portion of the Audit

Inmates/Residents/Detainees Population Characteristics on Day One of the Onsite Portion of the Audit

23. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees in the facility as of the first day of onsite portion of the audit:	2332
24. Enter the total number of youthful inmates or youthful/juvenile detainees in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:	5
25. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees with a physical disability in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:	67
26. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees with a cognitive or functional disability (including intellectual disability, psychiatric disability, or speech disability) in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:	97
27. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees who are Blind or have low vision (visually impaired) in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:	5
28. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees who are Deaf or hard-of-hearing in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:	54
29. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees who are Limited English Proficient (LEP) in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:	8

30. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees who identify as lesbian, gay, or bisexual in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:	85
31. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees who identify as transgender or intersex in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:	19
32. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees who reported sexual abuse in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:	7
33. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees who disclosed prior sexual victimization during risk screening in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:	285
34. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees who were ever placed in segregated housing/isolation for risk of sexual victimization in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:	0
35. Provide any additional comments regarding the population characteristics of inmates/residents/detainees in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit (e.g., groups not tracked, issues with identifying certain populations):	No text provided.
Staff, Volunteers, and Contractors Population Characteristics on Day One of the Onsite Portion of the Audit	
36. Enter the total number of STAFF, including both full- and part-time staff, employed by the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:	560

37. Enter the total number of VOLUNTEERS assigned to the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit who have contact with inmates/residents/detainees:	126
38. Enter the total number of CONTRACTORS assigned to the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit who have contact with inmates/residents/detainees:	272
39. Provide any additional comments regarding the population characteristics of staff, volunteers, and contractors who were in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:	No text provided.
INTERVIEWS	
Inmate/Resident/Detainee Interviews	
Random Inmate/Resident/Detainee Interviews	
40. Enter the total number of RANDOM INMATES/RESIDENTS/DETAINEES who were interviewed:	20
41. Select which characteristics you considered when you selected RANDOM INMATE/RESIDENT/DETAINEE interviewees: (select all that apply)	☒ Age ☒ Race ☒ Ethnicity (e.g., Hispanic, Non-Hispanic) ☒ Length of time in the facility ☒ Housing assignment ☒ Gender ☐ Other ☐ None

42. How did you ensure your sample of RANDOM INMATE/RESIDENT/DETAINEE interviewees was geographically diverse?	The auditor ensured a geographically diverse sample among interviewees (random and targeted). The following offenders were selected from the housing units: two from 1, five from 2, three from 3, five from 4, two from 5, two from 6, four from 7, four from 8, two from 9, four from 10, three from 25, one from 30 and three from 31.
43. Were you able to conduct the minimum number of random inmate/resident/detainee interviews?	☒ Yes ☐ No
44. Provide any additional comments regarding selecting or interviewing random inmates/residents/detainees (e.g., any populations you oversampled, barriers to completing interviews, barriers to ensuring representation):	36 of the offenders interviewed were male and four were transgender female. Nine of the offenders interviewed were black, 24 were white, three were Hispanic and four were another race/ethnicity. With regard to age, three were under eights, two were between eighteen and 25, ten were 26-35, twelve were 36-45, four were 46-55 and nine were 56 or older. 23 of the offenders interviewed were at the facility less than a year, ten were there between a year and five years and seven were there six to ten years.
Targeted Inmate/Resident/Detainee Interviews	
45. Enter the total number of TARGETED INMATES/RESIDENTS/DETAINEES who were interviewed:	20
As stated in the PREA Auditor Handbook, the breakdown of targeted interviews is intended to guide auditors in interviewing the appropriate cross-section of inmates/residents/detainees who are the most vulnerable to sexual abuse and sexual harassment. When completing questions regarding targeted inmate/resident/detainee interviews below, remember that an interview with one inmate/resident/detainee may satisfy multiple targeted interview requirements. These questions are asking about the number of interviews conducted using the targeted inmate/resident/detainee protocols. For example, if an auditor interviews an inmate who has a physical disability, is being held in segregated housing due to risk of sexual victimization, and disclosed prior sexual victimization, that interview would be included in the totals for each of those questions. Therefore, in most cases, the sum of all the following responses to the targeted inmate/resident/detainee interview categories will exceed the total number of targeted inmates/residents/detainees who were interviewed. If a particular targeted population is not applicable in the audited facility, enter "0".	

46. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with youthful inmates or youthful/juvenile detainees using the "Youthful Inmates" protocol:	3
47. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/detainees with a physical disability using the "Disabled and Limited English Proficient Inmates" protocol:	1
48. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/detainees with a cognitive or functional disability (including intellectual disability, psychiatric disability, or speech disability) using the "Disabled and Limited English Proficient Inmates" protocol:	1
49. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/detainees who are Blind or have low vision (i.e., visually impaired) using the "Disabled and Limited English Proficient Inmates" protocol:	1
50. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/detainees who are Deaf or hard-of-hearing using the "Disabled and Limited English Proficient Inmates" protocol:	1
51. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/detainees who are Limited English Proficient (LEP) using the "Disabled and Limited English Proficient Inmates" protocol:	2
52. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/detainees who identify as lesbian, gay, or bisexual using the "Transgender and Intersex Inmates; Gay, Lesbian, and Bisexual Inmates" protocol:	3

53. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/detainees who identify as transgender or intersex using the "Transgender and Intersex Inmates; Gay, Lesbian, and Bisexual Inmates" protocol:	2
54. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/detainees who reported sexual abuse in this facility using the "Inmates who Reported a Sexual Abuse" protocol:	4
55. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/detainees who disclosed prior sexual victimization during risk screening using the "Inmates who Disclosed Sexual Victimization during Risk Screening" protocol:	2
56. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/detainees who are or were ever placed in segregated housing/isolation for risk of sexual victimization using the "Inmates Placed in Segregated Housing (for Risk of Sexual Victimization/Who Allege to have Suffered Sexual Abuse)" protocol:	0
a. Select why you were unable to conduct at least the minimum required number of targeted inmates/residents/detainees in this category:	☒ Facility said there were "none here" during the onsite portion of the audit and/or the facility was unable to provide a list of these inmates/residents/detainees. ☐ The inmates/residents/detainees in this targeted category declined to be interviewed.
b. Discuss your corroboration strategies to determine if this population exists in the audited facility (e.g., based on information obtained from the PAQ; documentation reviewed onsite; and discussions with staff and other inmates/residents/detainees).	The auditor reviewed housing assignments for high risk offenders and those who reported sexual abuse.

57. Provide any additional comments regarding selecting or interviewing targeted inmates/residents/detainees (e.g., any populations you oversampled, barriers to completing interviews):	No text provided.
Staff, Volunteer, and Contractor Interviews	
Random Staff Interviews	
58. Enter the total number of RANDOM STAFF who were interviewed:	13
59. Select which characteristics you considered when you selected RANDOM STAFF interviewees: (select all that apply)	☐ Length of tenure in the facility ☒ Shift assignment ☒ Work assignment ☒ Rank (or equivalent) ☒ Other (e.g., gender, race, ethnicity, languages spoken) ☐ None
If "Other," describe:	Race, gender and ethnicity
60. Were you able to conduct the minimum number of RANDOM STAFF interviews?	☒ Yes ☐ No
61. Provide any additional comments regarding selecting or interviewing random staff (e.g., any populations you oversampled, barriers to completing interviews, barriers to ensuring representation):	Six staff were interviewed from the 7am-3pm shift, four were interviewed from the 3pm-11pm shift and three were interviewed from 11pm-7am shift. With regard to the demographics of the random staff interviewed, six were male and seven were female. Twelve staff interviewed were white and one was an undisclosed race/ethnicity. Six staff were Correctional Officers, three were Sergeants, two were Lieutenants and two were Captains.

Specialized Staff, Volunteers, and Contractor Interviews

Staff in some facilities may be responsible for more than one of the specialized staff duties. Therefore, more than one interview protocol may apply to an interview with a single staff member and that information would satisfy multiple specialized staff interview requirements.

62. Enter the total number of staff in a SPECIALIZED STAFF role who were interviewed (excluding volunteers and contractors):

28

63. Were you able to interview the Agency Head?

☒ Yes

☐ No

64. Were you able to interview the Warden/Facility Director/Superintendent or their designee?

☒ Yes

☐ No

65. Were you able to interview the PREA Coordinator?

☒ Yes

☐ No

66. Were you able to interview the PREA Compliance Manager?

☒ Yes

☐ No

☐ NA (NA if the agency is a single facility agency or is otherwise not required to have a PREA Compliance Manager per the Standards)

67. Select which SPECIALIZED STAFF roles were interviewed as part of this audit from the list below: (select all that apply)

- ☐ Agency contract administrator
- ☐ Intermediate or higher-level facility staff responsible for conducting and documenting unannounced rounds to identify and deter staff sexual abuse and sexual harassment
- ☐ Line staff who supervise youthful inmates (if applicable)
- ☐ Education and program staff who work with youthful inmates (if applicable)
- ☐ Medical staff
- ☐ Mental health staff
- ☐ Non-medical staff involved in cross-gender strip or visual searches
- ☐ Administrative (human resources) staff
- ☐ Sexual Assault Forensic Examiner (SAFE) or Sexual Assault Nurse Examiner (SANE) staff
- ☐ Investigative staff responsible for conducting administrative investigations
- ☐ Investigative staff responsible for conducting criminal investigations
- ☐ Staff who perform screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness
- ☐ Staff who supervise inmates in segregated housing/residents in isolation
- ☐ Staff on the sexual abuse incident review team
- ☐ Designated staff member charged with monitoring retaliation
- ☐ First responders, both security and non-security staff
- ☐ Intake staff

	☒ Other
If "Other," provide additional specialized staff roles interviewed:	Mailroom
68. Did you interview VOLUNTEERS who may have contact with inmates/residents/detainees in this facility?	☒ Yes ☐ No
a. Enter the total number of VOLUNTEERS who were interviewed:	1
b. Select which specialized VOLUNTEER role(s) were interviewed as part of this audit from the list below: (select all that apply)	☐ Education/programming ☐ Medical/dental ☐ Mental health/counseling ☒ Religious ☐ Other
69. Did you interview CONTRACTORS who may have contact with inmates/residents/detainees in this facility?	☒ Yes ☐ No
a. Enter the total number of CONTRACTORS who were interviewed:	2
b. Select which specialized CONTRACTOR role(s) were interviewed as part of this audit from the list below: (select all that apply)	☐ Security/detention ☒ Education/programming ☐ Medical/dental ☒ Food service ☐ Maintenance/construction ☐ Other

70. Provide any additional comments regarding selecting or interviewing specialized staff.	No text provided.
SITE REVIEW AND DOCUMENTATION SAMPLING	
Site Review	
PREA Standard 115.401 (h) states, "The auditor shall have access to, and shall observe, all areas of the audited facilities." In order to meet the requirements in this Standard, the site review portion of the onsite audit must include a thorough examination of the entire facility. The site review is not a casual tour of the facility. It is an active, inquiring process that includes talking with staff and inmates to determine whether, and the extent to which, the audited facility's practices demonstrate compliance with the Standards. Note: As you are conducting the site review, you must document your tests of critical functions, important information gathered through observations, and any issues identified with facility practices. The information you collect through the site review is a crucial part of the evidence you will analyze as part of your compliance determinations and will be needed to complete your audit report, including the Post-Audit Reporting Information.	
71. Did you have access to all areas of the facility?	☒ Yes ☐ No
Was the site review an active, inquiring process that included the following:	
72. Observations of all facility practices in accordance with the site review component of the audit instrument (e.g., signage, supervision practices, cross-gender viewing and searches)?	☒ Yes ☐ No
73. Tests of all critical functions in the facility in accordance with the site review component of the audit instrument (e.g., risk screening process, access to outside emotional support services, interpretation services)?	☒ Yes ☐ No
74. Informal conversations with inmates/residents/detainees during the site review (encouraged, not required)?	☒ Yes ☐ No

75. Informal conversations with staff during the site review (encouraged, not required)?

☒ Yes

☐ No

76. Provide any additional comments regarding the site review (e.g., access to areas in the facility, observations, tests of critical functions, or informal conversations).

The on-site portion of the audit was conducted on March 13, 2026, March 14, 2026 and March 16, 2026. The auditor had an initial briefing with facility leadership and discussed the audit logistics. After the initial briefing, the auditor selected offenders and staff for interview. The auditor conducted a tour of the facility on March 13-14, 2026. The tour included all areas associated with the facility to include: housing units, laundry, warehouse/canteen, intake/visitation, chapel, education, maintenance, vocation, food service, health services, recreation, mental health programming, re-entry, outside buildings, and administration. During the tour the auditor was cognizant of staffing levels, video monitoring placement, blind spots, posted PREA information, privacy for offenders in housing units and other factors as indicated in the appropriate standard findings. It should be noted a large portion of documentation was reviewed prior to the on-site portion of the audit.

The auditor observed PREA information posted throughout the facility via the PREA Posters, TIPS Poster, Department of Public Safety (DPS) Poster and PREA Advocacy Poster. The PREA Posters were observed in English and Spanish on letter size paper. The DPS Poster was in English on letter size paper. The TIPS Poster was in English on letter size paper. The PREA Advocacy Poster was on letter size paper in English and Spanish. The auditor observed that all housing units had a PREA Bulletin Board area with the posted information. PREA information was also observed on the offender tablet system. The PREA Brochure, Rulebook, DPS Poster, TIPS Poster, Third Party Reporting Poster, PREA Advocacy Poster and PREA Posters were observed on the tablet system. Further, the auditor observed the PREA Brochure was displayed for offenders to take in mental health, the library, vocation and a few other locations.

Third party reporting information was not observed in visitation or the front entrance. It should be noted that the visitation area was being painted during the on-site portion of the audit and as such posted information was removed to allow staff and offenders to paint. After the on-site portion of the audit the facility posted the Third Party Reporting Poster in visitation and the front entrance. The Third Party Reporting Poster was in English on letter size paper. Photos were provided as confirmation.

During the tour the auditor confirmed the facility follows a staffing plan. There were at least three security staff members assigned to each housing building. Additional security staff were assigned to work, program and common areas. Where staff were not directly assigned, routine security checks were required. Non-security staff were also assigned throughout the facility to supplement staffing levels for monitoring and supervision. During the tour the auditor observed staff conducting security rounds and official duties. The facility did not appear to be overcrowded. The auditor noted that lines of sight were poor due to the age and physical plant of the buildings, however staffing and cameras assisted with counterbalancing the sight lines. The auditor observed blind spots in laundry, clothing, maintenance, and re-entry. Additionally, the auditor observed areas that were not blatant blind spots but would benefit from mirrors and/or video monitoring technology, including the common areas in housing unit 25, laundry, warehouse, clothing, no-contact visitation, chapel library, education, vocation, and maintenance.

During the tour the auditor observed cameras in housings units and work and common areas. The auditor verified that cameras assisted with supervision through coverage of blind spots and high traffic areas. Cameras do not replace staff, but supplement staffing.

Cameras are actively monitored by housing unit staff and a few work and program area staff. Cameras can also be remotely viewed by investigators and administrative level staff.

With regard to cross gender viewing, the auditor confirmed that housing units provided privacy through curtains, half walls and doors with security windows. The auditor observed the strip search areas and confirmed there were no cross gender viewing issues. A review of video monitoring technology noted no cross gender viewing issues. All cells with cameras included a black box over the toilet area. During the tour the auditor did not hear the opposite gender announcement upon entry into the housing units. Additionally, the auditor observed posted signs advising the offenders that female staff were working in the unit, however the signs appeared to always be displayed, even when females were not working, thus being an ineffective supplement to the required verbal announcement.

Medical and mental health records are paper and electronic. Paper files are maintained in medical records, which is staffed Monday through Friday during business hours. The records room is secure after hours with limited access. Electronic records are maintained in the Missouri Corrections Integrated System (MOCIS), and are only accessible to medical and mental health care staff. Offenders risk assessments are documented electronically via the MOCIS system. During the tour the auditor had a security staff member pull up the risk screening information in MOCIS. The auditor viewed that the staff did not have access and was given an error message that noted they were not authorized to view the information. Paper risk assessments are stored in the offender file, located in central records. This area is staffed Monday through Friday during business hours. After hour access is extremely

limited. Investigative files are electronic and are maintained in the Investigative Reporting Intelligence System (IRIS), which has limited access.

During the tour the auditor observed the mail process. A locked box is located in each housing building where offenders can place mail. The mailroom staff indicated that incoming regular mail from family and friends is electronic and comes in through the JPay system. Staff review all incoming electronic regular mail prior to it being released to the offender tablet. Legal mail is received by the facility where staff verify the offender is at the facility. The legal mail is provide to the case worker who take it to the housing units. The offender opens the mail in front of the case worker. The case worker makes a copy of the legal mail and provides the offender the copy. The original is not read/reviewed and is shredded. Outgoing electronic regular mail goes through the JPay system. Staff review outgoing mail prior to it being released to the recipient. Outgoing regular physical mail is provided to the mailroom unsealed. All outgoing regular mail is opened and reviewed by staff. Legal mail is provided to the mailroom sealed and is not opened. The mailroom staff advised that mail to DPS and the rape crisis centers is treated like legal mail.

The auditor observed the intake process through a demonstration. Offenders are provided a physical copy of the PREA Brochure, upon arrival. Additional PREA information is accessible on the offender tablet.

The auditor observed the initial risk screening process. The initial risk assessment is completed in the visitation area. The offender is taken to the corner of visitation away from the other offenders. The staff print out a copy of the Adult Internal Risk Assessment and verbally go through each question on the

form with the offender. Staff ask the questions, including, age, height, weight, sexual orientation, gender identity, prior sexual victimization, and perception of vulnerability. The staff also review information from the offender record, including criminal history and institutional discipline. Staff use both information from verbal response and the file review to complete the risk assessment. All information from the paper file is entered into the electronic system. The risk reassessment is conducted in a private office setting, one-on-one. The staff complete the same process as the initial, including verbally asking questions and reviewing file information. The staff noted they are trying to determine if anything has happened since the offender arrived.

The auditor tested the internal reporting mechanisms during the tour. The auditor called the PREA hotline on March 14, 2026 from a phone in an offender housing unit with assistance from an offender. The offender dialed "1" for a collect call, then was prompted to enter his pin number and then entered the hotline number. The auditor left a message on the PREA hotline voicemail. The auditor was provided confirmation on March 16, 2026 that the call was received and processed by the PC. The auditor also tested the written reporting mechanism. The auditor had an offender submit a test email to the PREA email on the tablet on March 14, 2026. The auditor received confirmation on March 16, 2026 that the email was received and was provided to the PC.

The auditor also tested the external reporting mechanism via a letter to DPS. The auditor sent a letter to DPS. The auditor obtained an envelope and sent a letter to DPS. The auditor observed the mailing address on the numerous PREA Posters. Residents are able to remain anonymous as the letter does not require a return address. Additionally, it does not require postage. The DPS is utilized for

numerous services and as such they are not just an organization to report sexual abuse. The auditor received confirmation within two weeks that the letter was received by the Department of Public Safety. The DPS staff advised she would scan the letter and send it to the MO DOC PREA office. She further confirmed that offenders can remain anonymous when reporting.

Additionally during the tour, the auditor asked staff to demonstrate how they would document a verbal report of sexual abuse. Staff indicated all verbal reports would be documented in an Interoffice Communication (IOC). The IOC would be completed on the computer and printed out. The IOC would then be submitted to the Shift Commander.

The auditor tested the third party reporting mechanism. The auditor sent an email to the email address found on the agency website. The auditor received confirmation from the PREA Coordinator on the same date, that the email was received directly by him and that the information would be forwarded to the facility PREA Compliance Manager to initiate the coordinated response and submit a Report for Investigation (RFI).

The facility provides access to emotional support services through JDI and RAINN. The phone numbers and mailing addresses to JDI and RAINN are provided via the PREA Advocacy Poster. Offenders can send correspondence via legal mail. Offenders can call the hotline numbers, but they are required to pay for these calls. Offenders are advised the calls are monitored. The auditor was unable to test the hotline due to the cost to the offenders. The auditor did review the mail process to confirm access via written correspondence.

The auditor had the facility conduct a mock demonstration of the comprehensive PREA education process. Education is completed in

housing unit 1. The staff have a 32 inch television that is rolled out on a cart. The staff play the PREA Adult Comprehensive Education video. The video was observed in English, Spanish and ASL. The audio appeared to be adequate from the area the offenders are seated.

The auditor did not require accommodations for interviews. However, during prior MO DOC audits, the auditor utilized a staff translator to assist with LEP and disabled resident interviews. One staff was utilized for Spanish translation, while a second was utilized for American Sign Language interpretation. The auditor also confirmed that the facility has access to translators and interpreters through the agency contracts. Staff call the organizations and schedule the services. Additionally, the agency has a list of staff across all facilities that can serve as translators and interpreters in person or via phone.

Documentation Sampling

Where there is a collection of records to review-such as staff, contractor, and volunteer training records; background check records; supervisory rounds logs; risk screening and intake processing records; inmate education records; medical files; and investigative files-auditors must self-select for review a representative sample of each type of record.

77. In addition to the proof documentation selected by the agency or facility and provided to you, did you also conduct an auditor-selected sampling of documentation?

☒ Yes

☐ No

78. Provide any additional comments regarding selecting additional documentation (e.g., any documentation you oversampled, barriers to selecting additional documentation, etc.).

During the audit the auditor requested personnel and training files of staff, Offender files, medical and mental health records, grievances, incident reports and investigative files for review. A more detailed description of the documentation review is below.

Personnel and Training Files. The auditor reviewed 51 personnel and/or training records that included five staff hired in the previous twelve months, two contractors hired within the previous twelve months, four staff employed over five years, three contractors employed over five years and three staff promoted in the previous twelve months. The review included seven volunteers, fourteen contractors and seven medical and mental health care staff.

Offender Files. A total of 69 offender files were reviewed. 38 offender files were of those that arrived within the previous twelve months, eleven were disabled offenders, three were LEP offenders, four were transgender offender and 23 were offenders who disclosed prior sexual victimization during the risk screening or were identified with prior sexual abusiveness during the risk screening.

Medical and Mental Health Records. The auditor reviewed medical and mental health documents for twelve offenders who reported sexual abuse or sexual harassment and 23 offenders who disclosed prior sexual victimization during the risk screening or were identified with prior sexual abusiveness during the risk screening.

Grievances. The facility indicated they had zero sexual abuse grievances in the previous twelve months. The auditor reviewed the grievance log.

Incident Reports. The auditor reviewed the incident reports associated with the twelve investigations reviewed.

Investigation Files. The auditor reviewed twelve investigations, ten were sexual abuse and two were sexual harassment. All twelve investigations were administrative and one was criminal and was referred for prosecution.

SEXUAL ABUSE AND SEXUAL HARASSMENT ALLEGATIONS AND INVESTIGATIONS IN THIS FACILITY

Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment Allegations and Investigations Overview

Remember the number of allegations should be based on a review of all sources of allegations (e.g., hotline, third-party, grievances) and should not be based solely on the number of investigations conducted. Note: For question brevity, we use the term “inmate” in the following questions. Auditors should provide information on inmate, resident, or detainee sexual abuse allegations and investigations, as applicable to the facility type being audited.

79. Total number of SEXUAL ABUSE allegations and investigations overview during the 12 months preceding the audit, by incident type:

	# of sexual abuse allegations	# of criminal investigations	# of administrative investigations	# of allegations that had both criminal and administrative investigations
Inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse	20	0	20	0
Staff-on-inmate sexual abuse	6	1	6	1
Total	26	1	26	1

80. Total number of SEXUAL HARASSMENT allegations and investigations overview during the 12 months preceding the audit, by incident type:

	# of sexual harassment allegations	# of criminal investigations	# of administrative investigations	# of allegations that had both criminal and administrative investigations
Inmate-on-inmate sexual harassment	3	0	3	0
Staff-on-inmate sexual harassment	0	0	0	0
Total	3	0	3	0

Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment Investigation Outcomes

Sexual Abuse Investigation Outcomes

Note: these counts should reflect where the investigation is currently (i.e., if a criminal investigation was referred for prosecution and resulted in a conviction, that investigation outcome should only appear in the count for “convicted.”) Do not double count. Additionally, for question brevity, we use the term “inmate” in the following questions. Auditors should provide information on inmate, resident, and detainee sexual abuse investigation files, as applicable to the facility type being audited.

81. Criminal SEXUAL ABUSE investigation outcomes during the 12 months preceding the audit:

	Ongoing	Referred for Prosecution	Indicted/ Court Case Filed	Convicted/ Adjudicated	Acquitted
Inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse	0	0	0	0	0
Staff-on-inmate sexual abuse	0	1	0	0	0
Total	0	1	0	0	0

82. Administrative SEXUAL ABUSE investigation outcomes during the 12 months preceding the audit:

	Ongoing	Unfounded	Unsubstantiated	Substantiated
Inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse	7	1	12	0
Staff-on-inmate sexual abuse	0	0	1	5
Total	7	1	13	5

Sexual Harassment Investigation Outcomes

Note: these counts should reflect where the investigation is currently. Do not double count. Additionally, for question brevity, we use the term “inmate” in the following questions. Auditors should provide information on inmate, resident, and detainee sexual harassment investigation files, as applicable to the facility type being audited.

83. Criminal SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation outcomes during the 12 months preceding the audit:

	Ongoing	Referred for Prosecution	Indicted/ Court Case Filed	Convicted/ Adjudicated	Acquitted
Inmate-on-inmate sexual harassment	0	0	0	0	0
Staff-on-inmate sexual harassment	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0	0

84. Administrative SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation outcomes during the 12 months preceding the audit:

	Ongoing	Unfounded	Unsubstantiated	Substantiated
Inmate-on-inmate sexual harassment	0	0	3	0
Staff-on-inmate sexual harassment	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	3	0

Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment Investigation Files Selected for Review

Sexual Abuse Investigation Files Selected for Review

85. Enter the total number of SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files reviewed/ sampled:

10

86. Did your selection of SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files include a cross-section of criminal and/or administrative investigations by findings/outcomes?	☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA (NA if you were unable to review any sexual abuse investigation files)
Inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse investigation files	
87. Enter the total number of INMATE-ON-INMATE SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files reviewed/sampled:	6
88. Did your sample of INMATE-ON-INMATE SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files include criminal investigations?	☐ Yes ☒ No ☐ NA (NA if you were unable to review any inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse investigation files)
89. Did your sample of INMATE-ON-INMATE SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files include administrative investigations?	☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA (NA if you were unable to review any inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse investigation files)
Staff-on-inmate sexual abuse investigation files	
90. Enter the total number of STAFF-ON-INMATE SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files reviewed/sampled:	4
91. Did your sample of STAFF-ON-INMATE SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files include criminal investigations?	☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA (NA if you were unable to review any staff-on-inmate sexual abuse investigation files)

92. Did your sample of STAFF-ON-INMATE SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files include administrative investigations?	☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA (NA if you were unable to review any staff-on-inmate sexual abuse investigation files)
Sexual Harassment Investigation Files Selected for Review	
93. Enter the total number of SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation files reviewed/sampled:	2
94. Did your selection of SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation files include a cross-section of criminal and/or administrative investigations by findings/outcomes?	☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA (NA if you were unable to review any sexual harassment investigation files)
Inmate-on-inmate sexual harassment investigation files	
95. Enter the total number of INMATE-ON-INMATE SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation files reviewed/sampled:	2
96. Did your sample of INMATE-ON-INMATE SEXUAL HARASSMENT files include criminal investigations?	☐ Yes ☒ No ☐ NA (NA if you were unable to review any inmate-on-inmate sexual harassment investigation files)
97. Did your sample of INMATE-ON-INMATE SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation files include administrative investigations?	☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA (NA if you were unable to review any inmate-on-inmate sexual harassment investigation files)

Staff-on-inmate sexual harassment investigation files

98. Enter the total number of STAFF-ON-INMATE SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation files reviewed/sampled:

0

99. Did your sample of STAFF-ON-INMATE SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation files include criminal investigations?

☐ Yes

☐ No

☒ NA (NA if you were unable to review any staff-on-inmate sexual harassment investigation files)

100. Did your sample of STAFF-ON-INMATE SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation files include administrative investigations?

☐ Yes

☐ No

☒ NA (NA if you were unable to review any staff-on-inmate sexual harassment investigation files)

101. Provide any additional comments regarding selecting and reviewing sexual abuse and sexual harassment investigation files.

No text provided.

SUPPORT STAFF INFORMATION**DOJ-certified PREA Auditors Support Staff**

102. Did you receive assistance from any DOJ-CERTIFIED PREA AUDITORS at any point during this audit? REMEMBER: the audit includes all activities from the pre-onsite through the post-onsite phases to the submission of the final report. Make sure you respond accordingly.

☐ Yes

☒ No

Non-certified Support Staff

103. Did you receive assistance from any NON-CERTIFIED SUPPORT STAFF at any point during this audit? REMEMBER: the audit includes all activities from the pre-onsite through the post-onsite phases to the submission of the final report. Make sure you respond accordingly.

☐ Yes

☒ No

AUDITING ARRANGEMENTS AND COMPENSATION

108. Who paid you to conduct this audit?

☒ The audited facility or its parent agency

☐ My state/territory or county government employer (if you audit as part of a consortium or circular auditing arrangement, select this option)

☐ A third-party auditing entity (e.g., accreditation body, consulting firm)

☐ Other

Standards	
Auditor Overall Determination Definitions	
- Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standard) - Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the stand for the relevant review period) - Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective actions)	
Auditor Discussion Instructions	
Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.	

115.11	Zero tolerance of sexual abuse and sexual harassment; PREA coordinator
	Auditor Overall Determination: Exceeds Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	Documents: - Pre-Audit Questionnaire - D1-8.13 Offender Sexual Abuse and Harassment - D1-8.1 Office of Professional Standards - D1-8.4 Institutional Investigations - D1-8.8 Evidence Collection Accountability & Disposal - D1-8.9 Crime Tips and PREA Hotlines - D2-2.2 Background Investigations - D2-2.23 Candidate Selection

9. D2-9.1 Employee Discipline
10. D2-11.6 Labor Organization
11. D2-11.10 Staff Member Conduct
12. D2-11.14 Annual Staff Member Requirements
13. D2-13.1 Volunteers & Reentry Partners
14. D2-13.2 Student Interns
15. D5-3.2 Offender Grievance
16. D5-5.1 Deaf and Hard of Hearing Offenders
17. IS5-1.2 Institution Receiving and Orientation
18. IS5-2.3 Offender Internal Classification
19. IS5-3.1 Offender Housing Assignments
20. IS5-3.3 Transgender and Intersex Offenders
21. IS6-1.3 Offender Personal Appearance and Grooming
22. IS11-34.1 Health Assessment – Physical Examination at Reception
23. IS18-1.1 Required Activities
24. IS19-1.6 Offender Accountability Program
25. IS20-1.1 Post Orders
26. IS20-1.3 Searches
27. IS21-1.1 Temporary Administrative Segregation Confinement
28. IS21-1.2 Administrative Segregation
29. IS21-1.3 Protective Custody
30. IS21-1.4 Disciplinary Segregation
31. Offender Rulebook
32. Agency Organizational Chart
33. Facility Organizational Chart

Interviews:

1. Interview with the PREA Coordinator

2. Interview with the PREA Compliance Manager

Findings (By Provision):

115.11 (a): The PAQ indicated that the agency has a written policy mandating zero tolerance toward all forms of sexual abuse and sexual harassment in facilities it operates directly or under contract. The PAQ also stated that the facility has a policy outlining how it will implement the agency's approach to preventing, detecting and responding to sexual abuse and sexual harassment and that the policy includes definitions on prohibited behaviors regarding sexual abuse and sexual harassment and sanctions for those found to have participated in prohibited behaviors. The PAQ further stated that the policy includes a description of agency strategies and response to reduce and prevent sexual abuse and sexual harassment of offenders. The agency has a comprehensive PREA policy, D1-8.13 Offender Sexual Abuse and Harassment. Page 5 states that the department has a zero tolerance for all forms of offender sexual abuse, harassment, and retaliation. Pages 2-4 include the definitions of sexual abuse and sexual harassment and prohibited behavior. Pages 6 and 22-23 include the sanctions and process for those found to have participated in prohibited behaviors. D1-8.13 outlines the strategies and responses to preventing, detecting and responding to sexual abuse and sexual harassment. In addition to the D1-8.13, the agency has numerous other policies that address specific areas of the prevention, detection and response. These policies include: D1-8.1, D1-8.4, D1-8.8, D1-8.9, D2-2.2, D2-2.23, D2-9.1, D2-11.6, D2-11.10, D2-11.14, D2-13.1, D2-13.2, D5-3.2, D5-5.1, IS5-1.2, IS5-2.3, IS5-3.1, IS5-3.3, IS6-1.3, IS11-34.1, IS18-1.1, IS19-1.6, IS20-1.1, IS20-1.3, IS21-1.1, IS21-1.2, IS21-1.3, and IS21-1.4. The policies address "preventing" sexual abuse and sexual harassment through the designation of a PC and PCMs, criminal history background checks (staff, volunteers and contractors), training (staff, volunteers and contractors), staffing, intake/risk screening, offender education and posting of signage (PREA posters, etc.). The policies address "detecting" sexual abuse and sexual harassment through training (staff, volunteers, and contractors) and intake/risk screening. The policies address "responding" to allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment through reporting, investigations, victim services, medical and mental health services, disciplinary sanctions for staff and offenders, incident reviews and data collection. The policies are consistent with the PREA standards and outline the agency's approach to sexual safety.

115.11 (b): The PAQ indicated that the agency employs or designates an upper-level, agency-wide PREA Coordinator that has sufficient time and authority to develop, implement and oversee agency efforts to comply with the PREA standards in all of its facilities. The PAQ stated the position of the PC is within the Office of Professional Standards and the PREA Coordinator reports to the Office of Professional Standards Director. D1-8.13, page 6 states to ensure compliance with the Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA), the department shall employ a full-time PREA manager

	responsible for implementation and oversight of the department's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to offender sexual abuse, harassment, and retaliation. The agency's organizational chart confirms that the PC position is an upper-level position and is agency-wide. The organization chart notes that the PC reports to the Director of Office of Professional Standards, who in turn reports to the agency Director. The interview with the PC indicated he has enough time to manage all of his PREA related responsibilities. He stated that there are 27 facility PREA Compliance Mangers and he does training annually for the staff. The PC further advised if he identifies an issue, he tries to solve it at the lowest level. He works with the Wardens and has a good communication route with leadership within the agency. It should be noted that the PC is very knowledgeable and involved in the PREA compliance process across all facilities. He has full authority to make any changes related to policy, procedure and practice and is able to implement any changes quickly across the agency. The PC is solely dedicated to PREA compliance for the agency and exceeds the requirement of this provision. 115.11 (c): The PAQ indicated that the facility has designated a PREA Compliance Manager that has sufficient time and authority to coordinate the facility's effort to comply with the PREA standards. The PAQ stated the position of the PCM at the facility is the Deputy Warden who reports to the Warden. D1-8.13, page 6 states each facility and community confinement facility shall designate a PREA site coordinator who has sufficient time and authority to ensure the facility's compliance with the PREA standards at their assigned facility. A review of the facility organization chart confirms that the Deputy Warden reports directly to the Warden. The interview with the PREA Compliance Manager indicated he has enough time to manage all of his PREA related responsibilities. He advised his role is mainly educating staff and offenders on any changes that occur. He advised if they identify an issue complying with a standard they would make a plan, work toward the goal and execute it. It should be noted that the PCM was very new to his position as PCM, but he excelled in this position in the short period of time. He has sufficient authority to make changes at the facility level and has been self-motivated in learning the PREA standards and how they are implemented across the agency/facility. He has made strides in not only meeting the PREA standards but exceeding them. Based on a review of the PAQ, D1-8.13, D1-8.1, D1-8.4, D1-8.8, D1-8.9, D2-2.2, D2-2.23, D2-9.1, D2-11.6, D2-11.10, D2-11.14, D2-13.1, D2-13.2, D5-3.2, D5-5.1, IS5-1.2, IS5-2.3, IS5-3.1, IS5-3.3, IS6-1.3, IS11-34.1, IS18-1.1, IS19-1.6, IS20-1.1, IS20-1.3, IS21-1.1, IS21-1.2, IS21-1.3, IS21-1.4, the organizational charts and information from interviews with the PC and PCM, the facility appears to exceed this standard.
--	---

115.12	Contracting with other entities for the confinement of inmates
---------------	---

	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	Documents: 1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire 2. D1-8.13 Offender Sexual Abuse and Harassment 3. Blank Solicitation and Contract Findings (By Provision): 115.12 (a): The PAQ indicated the agency has not entered into or renewed a contract for the confinement of offenders since the last PREA audit. The PAQ stated that the agency does not contract for confinement of offenders. D1-8.13, page 6 states all community confinement facilities shall adopt and comply with PREA standards as outlined in their contract with the department. The department shall regularly audit community confinement facilities to ensure compliance with the PREA standards. A review of the blank solicitation (Request for Proposal) noted that Section 2.6 is the PREA requirements, which notes that the contractor must be in compliance with 28 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) Part 115. The contract also requires that no later than 120 calendar days after receiving the first client, the contractor shall complete a PREA audit by a DOJ approved PREA auditor and shall provide a copy of the PREA audit results to the state agency within ten working days. 115.12 (b): The PAQ stated that the agency does not contract for confinement of offenders. D1-8.13, page 6 states all community confinement facilities shall adopt and comply with PREA standards as outlined in their contract with the department. The department shall regularly audit community confinement facilities to ensure compliance with the PREA standards. A review of the blank solicitation (Request for Proposal) noted that Section 2.6 is the PREA requirements, which notes that the contractor must be in compliance with 28 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) Part 115. The contract also requires that no later than 120 calendar days after receiving the first client, the contractor shall complete a PREA audit by a DOJ approved PREA auditor and shall provide a copy of the PREA audit results to the state agency within ten working days. Based on the review of the PAQ, D1-8.13, and blank solicitation, this standard appears to be compliant.

115.13	Supervision and monitoring
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	Documents: 1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire 2. D1-8.13 Offender Sexual Abuse and Harassment 3. IS20-1.1 Post Orders 4. Staffing Plan 5. Annual Staffing Plan Reviews 6. Shift Rosters 7. Documentation of Unannounced Rounds Interviews: 1. Interview with the Warden 2. Interview with the PREA Compliance Manager 3. Interview with the PREA Coordinator 4. Interviews with Intermediate-Level or Higher-Level Facility Staff Site Review Observations: 1. Staffing Levels 2. Video Monitoring Technology or Other Monitoring Materials Findings (By Provision): 115.13 (a): The PAQ indicated that the agency requires each facility it operates to develop, document, and make its best efforts to comply on a regular basis with a staffing plan that provides adequate levels of staffing and, where applicable, video monitoring, to protect offenders against sexual abuse. D1-8.13, page 7 states the department shall maintain staffing plans for each facility that provides adequate levels of staffing to protect offenders against sexual abuse. The staffing plan shall

consider the facility's physical plant to include but not limited to blind spots or areas where staff members or offenders may be isolated, the composition of the offender population, and the prevalence of substantiated and unsubstantiated offender sexual abuse allegations. The PAQ indicated that the current staffing plan is based on 2493 offenders, and the average daily population at the facility was 2374. The facility employs 560 staff. A review of the daily shift rosters indicated that each shift has at least one Shift Supervisor/Commander and numerous other intermediate or higher level supervisors. Correctional Officers are assigned to posts throughout the facility. A review of the staffing plan notes that it includes narrative for each element under this provision as well as post analysis, master roster, and vacancy report breakdown. During the tour the auditor confirmed the facility follows a staffing plan. There were at least three security staff members assigned to each housing building. Additional security staff were assigned to work, program and common areas. Where staff were not directly assigned, routine security checks were required. Non-security staff were also assigned throughout the facility to supplement staffing levels for monitoring and supervision. During the tour the auditor observed staff conducting security rounds and official duties. The facility did not appear to be overcrowded. The auditor noted that lines of sight were poor due to the age and physical plant of the buildings, however staffing and cameras assisted with counterbalancing sight lines. The auditor observed blind spots in laundry, clothing, maintenance, and re-entry. Additionally, the auditor observed areas that were not blatant blind spots but would benefit from mirrors and/or video monitoring technology, including the common areas in housing unit 25, laundry, warehouse, clothing, no-contact visitation, chapel library, education, vocation, and maintenance. Immediately following the on-site portion of the audit the facility installed reflective mirrors in laundry, clothing and maintenance. Photos were provided confirming alleviation of the blind spots. Additionally, the facility provided photos confirming the obstructed windows in re-entry were modified and included visibility through a window. During the tour the auditor observed cameras in housing units and work and common areas. The auditor verified that cameras assisted with supervision through coverage of blind spots and high traffic areas. Cameras do not replace staff, but supplement staffing. Cameras are actively monitored by housing unit staff and a few work and program area staff. Cameras can also be remotely viewed by investigators and administrative level staff. The interview with the Warden confirmed that the facility has a staffing plan and the plan provides for adequate levels to protect offenders from sexual abuse. She stated that areas with offenders are staffed appropriately and they use video monitoring to the best of their ability. The Warden confirmed video monitoring is part of the staffing plan and the staffing plan is documented. The Warden noted all elements under this provision are considered in the staffing plan. She stated they look at current trends, frequency of issues, substantiated cases and physical plant to determine if staffing is adequate. The Warden advised that they check for compliance with the staffing plan through the Chronos. The interview with the PCM confirmed all elements under this provision are considered in the staffing plan. He stated they are flexible with staffing and that they are constantly monitoring to determine if they need to reappropriate or reallocate staff based on needs. He noted they evaluate the population and physical plant to determine how many staff are needed.

115.13 (b): The PAQ indicated that each time the staffing plan is not complied with, the facility documents and justifies all deviations from the staffing plan. D1-8.13, page 7 states each facility shall comply with the staffing plan on a regular basis, deviations from the staffing plan shall be documented and justification for deviations noted. The Warden confirmed that any deviations from the staffing plan are documented. She stated they do not deviate from the staffing plan but if they did she would be contacted because they would have to close down certain things. A review of shift rosters noted that they outline which posts are filled and which are not for each shift, including those posts covered by overtime. A review of Shift Summaries also notes that they outline deviations from the staffing plan related to those staff who were not working due to absences and those staff that were working overtime to fill positions.

115.13 (c): The PAQ indicated that at least once a year the facility/agency, in collaboration with the PC, reviews the staffing plan to see whether adjustments are needed. D1-8.13, page 23 states each facility shall utilize information from the offender sexual abuse incident debriefings to prepare an annual report to be submitted to the department's PREA manager by the last working day in March. The report shall in consultation with the PREA site coordinator; assessment, determination, and documentation of whether adjustments are needed to: the staffing plan, the deployment of video monitors, and the resource availability to adhere to the staffing plan. The staffing plan was most recently reviewed on January 14, 2026 by the PCM and Warden. The review was then sent to the PC. The plan was reviewed in order to assess, determine and document whether any adjustments were needed to the staffing plan, the deployment of video monitoring technologies and/or the resources available to commit to ensuring adherence to the staffing plan. The review included a review of all elements under provision (a) as well. The staffing plan was previously reviewed on September 8, 2025. The interview with the PC confirmed that he reviews each facility's staffing plan annually.

115.13 (d): The PAQ indicated that the facility requires that intermediate-level or higher-level staff conduct unannounced rounds to identify and deter staff sexual abuse and sexual harassment. The PAQ further indicated that the unannounced rounds are documented, they cover all shifts and the facility prohibits staff from alerting other staff of the conduct of such rounds. D1-8.13, page 7 states each institution shall ensure the classifications of lieutenant or above conduct and document unscheduled and unannounced rounds to identify and deter offender sexual abuse and sexual harassment. Each facility shall ensure that rounds occur periodically in all areas of the facility. Staff members shall be prohibited from alerting other staff members that these rounds are occurring. The rounds shall be documented and readily accessible during audits as outlined in the facility's standard operating procedure. IS20-1.1, page 2 states the CAO of each institution shall ensure

	post orders for supervisory custody officers include requirements of conducting unannounced rounds on each shift, in all areas of the facility, and documenting said rounds on the staff member sign-in form. Interviews with intermediate-level or higher-level facility staff confirmed they make unannounced rounds and that the unannounced rounds are documented on the weekly PREA checklist and the Chrono. Staff stated they ensure staff don't notify one another they are making rounds by conducting them at varying times and locations. A review of documentation for six weeks selected by the auditor during the previous twelve months confirmed that intermediate or higher level staff conduct unannounced rounds at least weekly across each shift. Based on a review of the PAQ, D1-8.13, IS20-1.1, Staffing Plan, Annual Staffing Plan Reviews, Shift Rosters, Unannounced Rounds, observations made during the tour and interviews with the Warden, PC, PCM and intermediate-level or higher-level facility staff, this standard appears to be compliant.
--	---

115.14 Youthful inmates	
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	Documents: 1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire 2. D1-8.13 Offender Sexual Abuse and Harassment 3. IS5-3.1 Offender Housing Assignments 4. Housing Assignments of Youthful Offenders Interviews: 1. Interview with Line Staff who Supervise Youthful Offenders 2. Interviews with Youthful Offenders 3. Interview with Education and Program Staff who Work with Youthful Offenders Site Review Observations:

1. Youthful Offender Housing Locations
2. Youthful Offenders Outside Housing Units

Findings (By Provision):

115.14 (a): The PAQ indicated that the facility prohibits placing youthful inmates in a housing unit in which a youthful inmate will have sight, sound, or physical contact with any adult inmate through use of a shared dayroom or other common space, shower area, or sleeping quarters. The PAQ also advised that the facility has housing units to which youthful inmates are assigned that provide sight and sound separation between youthful and adult offenders in dayrooms, common areas, showers, and sleeping quarters. The PAQ noted that the facility does not place youthful offenders in the same housing unit as adults and that they have one housing unit to which youthful offenders are assigned that provides sight and sound separation between youthful offender and adult offenders. D1-8.13, page 10 states a youthful offender shall not be placed in a housing unit in which he shall have sight, sound, or physical contact with any adult offender through use of a shared day room or other common space, shower area, or sleeping quarters in accordance with the institutional services procedure regarding offender housing assignments. IS5-3.1, page 2 states youthful offenders shall only be housed with other youthful offenders or alone. A youthful offender shall not be placed in a housing unit in which he shall have sight, sound, or physical contact with any adult offender through use of a shared day room or other common space, shower area, or sleeping quarters. Staff members shall avoid placing youthful offenders in isolation to comply with this provision. If sight and sound separation is not possible, staff members shall provide direct supervision. Staff members shall provide direct supervision when offenders may have unavoidable contact with adult offenders. During the tour the auditor observed that the facility had a separate area for those under eighteen. This included a housing unit with its own recreation area, programming space and education space. Youthful offender have sight, sound and physical separation from adults in this housing unit, including when on the outside recreation area. The interview with the line staff who supervise youthful offenders confirmed that they are able to maintain sight and sound separation between youthful offender and adult offenders. He stated there have been no circumstances in the previous twelve months where the separation has been difficult to achieve. The staff advised there is a separate housing unit for youthful offenders and all programming, education, recreation, etc. is done in the housing unit. Interviews with youthful offenders confirmed they have never had sight, sound or physical contact with an adult offender in the housing unit. They all advised they have never seen an adult offender in their dedicated housing unit. They all also confirmed they participate in programs, education, recreation, etc. separate from adults.

115.14 (b): The PAQ indicated that the facility maintains sight, sound, and physical separation between youthful inmates and adult inmates in areas outside of housing units and that the facility always provides direct staff supervision in areas outside of housing units where youthful offender have sight, or physical contact with adult offenders. IS5-3.1, page 2 states youthful offenders shall only be housed with other youthful offenders or alone. A youthful offender shall not be placed in a housing unit in which he shall have sight, sound, or physical contact with any adult offender through use of a shared day room or other common space, shower area, or sleeping quarters. Staff members shall avoid placing youthful offenders in isolation to comply with this provision. If sight and sound separation is not possible, staff members shall provide direct supervision. Staff members shall provide direct supervision when offenders may have unavoidable contact with adult offenders. During the tour the auditor confirmed that youthful offender only leave their housing unit for visitation and medical. During both of these movements they are directly supervised by security staff assigned to their housing unit. The interview with the line staff who supervise youthful offenders advised that when youthful offenders are outside the housing unit they are directly supervised by staff. The staff stated that youthful offenders would only be outside the housing unit for medical or visitation. He noted that when they go to medical the yard is closed so adult offenders do not have contact with the youthful offenders. Interviews with youthful offenders confirmed that when they are outside the housing unit they are directly supervised by staff. The youthful offenders confirmed that they only have contact with adult offenders during visitation. The interview with the education/program staff who work with youthful offenders confirmed the requirement under this standard does not interfere with program and education participation. He stated there is a dedicated teacher for the youthful offenders that goes to the youthful offender housing unit to provide services. The staff confirmed that youthful offenders never attend programs with adults. He advised the only time he could remember they had contact was during a graduation, which staff directly supervised.

115.14 (c): The PAQ indicated that the facility documents the exigent circumstances for each instance in which youthful inmates' access to large-muscle exercise, legally required education services, and other programs and work opportunities was denied. The PAQ noted that in the previous twelve months they have placed zero youthful offenders in isolation in order to separate them from adults offenders. IS5-3.1, page 2 states youthful offenders who are placed in segregated housing, assigned to disciplinary segregation, or to the infirmary shall only be housed with another youthful offender or in a single cell in accordance with the institutional services procedures regarding temporary administrative segregation confinement and disciplinary segregation. To the extent possible, youthful offenders shall have access to work, programs, and/or activities in accordance with department and institutional services procedures. During the tour the auditor confirmed that youthful offenders were not housed in segregated housing. The interview with the line staff who supervise youthful offenders noted they have never had to place a youthful offender in segregated housing to comply with this standard. He advised they have a separate

	segregated housing area within the youthful offender housing unit if a youthful offender receives discipline or there is another safety or security issue. Interviews with youthful offenders confirmed none of the three were ever placed in segregated housing. The interview with the education/program staff who work with youthful offenders confirmed the requirement under this standard does not interfere with program and education participation. He stated there is a dedicated teacher for the youthful offenders that goes to the youthful offender housing unit to provide services. The staff confirmed that youthful offenders never attend programs with adults. He advised the only time he could remember they had contact was during a graduation, which staff directly supervised. Based on a review of the PAQ, D1-8.13, IS5-3.1, Housing Assignments of Youthful Offenders, observation during the tour and information from interviews with youthful offenders, line staff who supervise youthful offenders and education and program staff who work with youthful offenders, this standard appears to be compliant.
--	---

115.15 Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches	
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	Documents: 1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire 2. D1-8.13 Offender Sexual Abuse and Harassment 3. IS20-1.3 Searches 4. IS11-34.1 Health Assessment – Physical Examination at Reception 5. Search Documents 6. Searches Training Curriculum 7. DOCOTA Training Slides 8. Staff Training Records 9. Opposite Gender Announcement Training Interviews: 1. Interviews with Random Staff

2. Interviews with Random Offenders
3. Interviews with Transgender/Intersex Offenders

Site Review Observations:

1. Observations of Privacy Barriers
2. Opposite Gender Announcement

Findings (By Provision):

115.15 (a): The PAQ indicated that the facility does not conduct cross gender strip and cross gender visual body cavity searches of offenders and that there has been one search of this kind in the previous twelve months. D1-8.13, page 11, states cross-gender strip searches are not allowed except in exigent circumstances. All cross-gender strip searches shall be documented as outlined in the institutional services and probation and parole procedures regarding searches. IS20-1.3, page 7 states strip searches shall be conducted by staff members of the same gender as the subject of the search, except in exigent circumstances. Upon request, offenders who identify as transgender or intersex, shall be provided privacy from other offenders when being strip searched. A review of documentation noted that a search was conducted by a male staff member with a female staff member operating a camera (due to a tactical operation with the offender). The female staff was not initially the operator but due to the offender becoming non-compliant the male staff originally operating the camera was required to assist the other staff with gaining control.

115.15 (b): The PAQ indicated that the facility does not house female offenders and therefore this provision of the standard does not apply. IS20-1.3, page 8 states all pat searches of female offenders shall be conducted by a staff member of the same gender, unless exigent circumstances exist. These cross gender pat searches shall be immediately reported to the shift supervisor and the searching staff member shall document the search on the cross gender search form. The shift supervisor shall make all applicable notifications in accordance with SOP and forward the cross gender search form to the PREA site coordinator.

115.15 (c): The PAQ indicated that facility policy requires all cross gender strip searches and all cross gender visual body cavity searches be documented. Additionally, the PAQ indicated that the facility does not house female offenders and as such any documentation of cross gender pat down searches of female offenders would not apply. D1-8.13, page 11, states cross-gender strip searches are not allowed

except in exigent circumstances. All cross-gender strip searches shall be documented as outlined in the institutional services and probation and parole procedures regarding searches. IS20-1.3, page 7 states staff members shall document a cross gender strip search on the cross gender search form. The shift supervisor shall make all applicable notifications in accordance with SOP and forward the cross gender search form to the PREA site coordinator and include a copy to the use of force packet if applicable. Page 8 further states all pat searches of female offenders shall be conducted by a staff member of the same gender, unless exigent circumstances exist. These cross gender pat searches shall be immediately reported to the shift supervisor and the searching staff member shall document the search on the cross gender search form. The shift supervisor shall make all applicable notifications in accordance with SOP and forward the cross gender search form to the PREA site coordinator. A review of documentation noted that a search was conducted by a male staff member with a female staff member operating a camera. The female staff was not initially the operator but due to the offender becoming non-compliant the male staff originally operating the camera was required to assist the other staff with gaining control. This search was documented on the MO 931-4701, Cross Gender Search form. The form outlines the exigent circumstance and approval of the Shift Supervisor.

115.15 (d): The PAQ indicated that the facility has implemented policies and procedures that enable offenders to shower, perform bodily functions, and change clothing without non-medical staff of the opposite gender viewing their breasts, buttocks or genitalia, except in exigent circumstances or when such viewing is incidental to routine cell checks. Additionally, the PAQ stated that policies and procedures require staff of the opposite gender to announce their presence when entering an offender housing unit. D1-8.13, page 11 states offenders shall be allowed to shower, perform bodily functions, and change clothing without non-medical staff members of the opposite gender viewing their breast, buttocks, or genitalia, except in exigent circumstances, or when such viewing is incidental to routine cell checks in accordance with, institutional services, and probation and parole procedures regarding searches. Staff members of the opposite gender shall announce their presence prior to entering an offenders housing unit. If an opposite gendered staff member is assigned to the housing unit, the announcement shall be made at the beginning of the shift. If there is no opposite gendered staff member assigned to the housing unit, an announcement shall be made each time an opposite gendered staff member enters the housing unit. Each time a cross gender announcement is made it shall be recorded in the housing unit chronological log. With regard to cross gender viewing, the auditor confirmed that housing units provided privacy through curtains, half walls and doors with security windows. The auditor observed the strip search areas and confirmed there were no cross gender viewing issues. A review of video monitoring technology noted no cross gender viewing issues. All cells with cameras included a black box over the toilet area. During the tour the auditor did not hear the opposite gender announcement upon entry into the housing units. Additionally, the auditor observed posted signs advising the offenders that female staff were working

in the unit, however the signs appeared to always be displayed, even when females were not working, thus being an ineffective supplement to the required verbal announcement. Interviews with thirteen random staff confirmed that offenders have privacy from opposite gender staff when showering, using the restroom and changing their clothes. Additionally, eleven stated that staff of the opposite gender announce when entering housing units. Two staff noted the announcement is done once a day a shift change. Interviews with 40 offenders indicated all 40 have privacy when showering, using the restroom and changing their clothes. Additionally, 26 of the 40 offenders stated that opposite gender staff announce when entering housing units. After the on-site portion of the audit the facility conducted training with all staff on the proper procedure for opposite gender announcements. The facility provided the online training curriculum that utilized the PREA Resource Center's (PRC) frequently asked question (FAQ) related to the opposite gender announcement. The training was completed electronically and included knowledge check questions to ensure staff understood the information presented. The facility provided confirmation that over 85% of the facility staff completed the online corrective action training.

115.15 (e): The PAQ indicated that the facility has a policy prohibiting staff from searching or physically examining a transgender or intersex offender for the sole purpose of determining the offender's genital status and that no searches of this nature have occurred within the previous twelve months. D1-8.13, page 9 states if the gender of the offender is unknown at the time of intake, staff members shall not search the offender for the sole purpose of determining the offender's genital status in accordance with the institutional services and probation and parole procedure regarding transgender and intersex offenders or clients. Genital status may be determined during conversations with the offender, reviewing medical records, or if necessary, through a broader medical examination conducted in private by the appropriate health care staff members. Page 11 further states staff members shall not perform strip or pat-down searches or conduct a physical examination for the sole purpose of determining an offender's genital status in accordance with the institutional services procedures regarding searches, diagnostic center reception and orientation, and receiving screening intake center. IS11-34.1, page 4 states the facility shall not search or physically examine a transgender or intersex offender for the sole purpose of determining the offender's genital status. If the offender's genital status is unknown, it may be determined during conversations with the offender, by reviewing medical records, or, if necessary, by learning that information as part of a broader medical examination conducted in private by the responsible physician. Interviews with thirteen staff indicated nine were aware of a policy prohibiting searching a transgender or intersex offender for the sole purpose of determining the offender's genital status. Interviews with three transgender offenders confirmed none were searched for the sole purpose of determining their genital status.

115.15 (f): D1-8.13, page 11 states custody staff members shall be trained in how to conduct cross gender pat down searches of transgender and intersex offenders in a

	professional and respectful manner and in the least intrusive manner possible as consistent with security needs. The PAQ confirmed that 99% of security staff completed training on conducting cross gender pat-down searches and searches of transgender and intersex offenders. A review of the Searches training curriculum notes that page 5 performance objective includes performing a thorough same gender and cross gender pat search, according to policy guidelines and PREA standards, taking into consideration searches and professionalism. The training then outlines the appropriate procedure for female staff searching male offenders and male staff searching female offenders (it notes male staff searching female offenders will only occur during an exigent circumstance). The training includes video on same gender searches and cross gender searches. The training also revisits that staff learned about unique searches, including transgender, intersex, gender unknown and youthful offenders, during DOCOTA. The DOCOTA search slides outline that staff will utilize the female search techniques for transgender searches. Interviews with thirteen staff indicated twelve had received training on cross gender searches and searches of transgender offenders. A review eighteen staff training records indicated all eighteen had completed search training. Based on a review of the PAQ, D1-8.13, IS20-1.3, IS11-34.1, Search Documents, Searches Training Curriculum, DOCOTA Training Slides, Staff Training Records, Opposite Gender Announcement Training, observations made during the tour as well as information from interviews with random staff, random offenders and transgender offenders, this standard appears to be compliant.
--	---

115.16	Inmates with disabilities and inmates who are limited English proficient
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	Documents: 1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire 2. D1-8.13 Offender Sexual Abuse and Harassment 3. D5-5.1 Deaf and Hard of Hearing Offenders 4. PREA Brochure 5. PREA Posters 6. PREA Advocacy Poster 7. Department of Public Service (DPS) Poster

8. Offender Rulebook
9. Farmington Correctional Center Reception and Orientation Manual (Manual)
10. PREA Adult Comprehensive Education Video
11. Sign Language Interpretation Service Information
12. Verbal Language Interpretation Service Information
13. Staff Translator List
14. Staff Training

Interviews:

1. Interview with the Agency Head Designee
2. Interviews with LEP and Disabled Offenders
3. Interviews with Random Staff

Site Review Observations:

1. Observations of PREA Posters in Accessible Formats

Findings (By Provision):

115.16 (a): The PAQ stated that the agency has established procedures to provide disabled offenders an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment. D5-5.1, page 3 states that deaf or hard of hearing offenders shall be offered the assistance of qualified interpreters and have other auxiliary aids explained to them during the diagnostic process. The policy outlines the aids and services available to deaf and hard of hearing offenders. The agency has a contract for Sign Language Interpretation Services with numerous vendors. Additionally, the agency has a list over 75 staff who can provide translation services, including ASL. A review of the PREA Brochure, PREA Posters, PREA Advocacy Poster, DPS Poster, Offender Rulebook and Manual confirmed that they are available in larger print. The PREA Brochure is also available in Braille. The PREA Adult Comprehensive Education Video is available in American Sign Language and includes text related to the verbal information provided. The auditor observed PREA information posted throughout the facility via the PREA Posters, TIPS Poster, Department of Public Safety (DPS) Poster and PREA Advocacy Poster. The PREA Posters were observed in English and Spanish

on letter size paper. The DPS Poster was in English on letter size paper. The TIPS Poster was in English on letter size paper. The PREA Advocacy Poster was on letter size paper in English and Spanish. The auditor observed that all housing units had a PREA Bulletin Board area with the posted information. PREA information was also observed on the offender tablet system. The PREA Brochure, Rulebook, DPS Poster, TIPS Poster, Third Party Reporting Poster, PREA Advocacy Poster and PREA Posters were observed on the tablet system. Further, the auditor observed the PREA Brochure was displayed for offenders to take in mental health, the library, vocation and a few other locations. The auditor did not require accommodations for interviews. However, during prior MO DOC audits, the auditor utilized a staff translator to assist with LEP and disabled resident interviews. One staff was utilized for Spanish translation, while a second was utilized for American Sign Language interpretation. The auditor also confirmed that the facility has access to translators and interpreters through the agency contracts. Staff call the organizations and schedule the services. Additionally, the agency has a list of staff across all facilities that can serve as translators and interpreters in person or via phone. The interview with the Agency Head Designee confirmed that the agency takes appropriate steps to ensure offenders with disabilities and offender who are limited English proficient have equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment. He advised they have a comprehensive Americans with Disabilities process for offenders. He stated they have signs and materials available in all languages and they have contractors for American Sign Language and language translation services. Interviews with four disabled offenders indicated three were provided PREA information in a format that they could understand.

115.16 (b): The PAQ stated that the agency has established procedures to provide offenders with limited English proficiency equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment. The agency has a contract for Verbal Language Interpretation Services with numerous vendors. Additionally, the agency has a list over 75 staff who can provide translation services. A review of the PREA Brochure, PREA Posters, PREA Advocacy Poster, DPS Poster, Offender Rulebook and Manual confirmed they were available in English and Spanish. Additionally, the PREA Brochure is available in six other languages. The PREA Adult Comprehensive Education Video is available in English and Spanish and includes text related to the verbal information provided. The auditor observed PREA information posted throughout the facility via the PREA Posters, TIPS Poster, Department of Public Safety (DPS) Poster and PREA Advocacy Poster. The PREA Posters were observed in English and Spanish on letter size paper. The DPS Poster was in English on letter size paper. The TIPS Poster was in English on letter size paper. The PREA Advocacy Poster was on letter size paper in English and Spanish. The auditor observed that all housing units had a PREA Bulletin Board area with the posted information. PREA information was also observed on the offender tablet system. The PREA Brochure, Rulebook, DPS Poster, TIPS Poster, Third Party Reporting Poster, PREA Advocacy Poster and PREA

Posters were observed on the tablet system. Further, the auditor observed the PREA Brochure was displayed for offenders to take in mental health, the library, vocation and a few other locations. The auditor did not require accommodations for interviews. However, during prior MO DOC audits, the auditor utilized a staff translator to assist with LEP and disabled resident interviews. One staff was utilized for Spanish translation, while a second was utilized for American Sign Language interpretation. The auditor also confirmed that the facility has access to translators and interpreters through the agency contracts. Staff call the organizations and schedule the services. Additionally, the agency has a list of staff across all facilities that can serve as translators and interpreters in person or via phone. Interviews with two LEP offenders indicated one was provided PREA information in a format that he could understand.

115.16 (c): The PAQ stated that agency policy prohibits the use of offender interpreters, offender readers, or other types of offender assistants except in limited circumstances. The PAQ further indicated the facility documents the limited circumstances in individual cases where offender interpreters, readers or other assistants are used as they do not use offenders for interpreters, readers and other types of assistants. The PAQ expressed that there were zero instances where an offender was utilized to interpret, read or provide other types of assistance. D1-8.13, page 9 states offender interpreters or offender readers shall not be utilized. Page 14 further states offender interpreters shall not be utilized except in limited circumstances where an extended delay in obtaining an effective interpreter could compromise the offender's safety, the performance of first responder duties, or the investigation. Interviews with thirteen random staff indicated six were aware of a policy prohibiting the use of offender interpreters, readers and assistants. Interviews with four disabled offenders and two LEP offenders indicated four were provided PREA information in a format they could understand. None advised that they had an offender translate, interpret or read for them. After the on-site portion of the audit the facility conducted training with all staff on the prohibition under this standard and the resources/accommodations available in lieu of an offender. The training was provided electronically and included knowledge check questions after certain information to ensure staff understood the information being presented. The facility provided confirmation that over 80% of the facility staff had completed the training.

Based on a review of the PAQ, D1-8.13, PREA Brochure, PREA Posters, PREA Advocacy Poster, DPS Poster, Offender Rulebook, Manual, PREA Adult Comprehensive Education Video, Sign Language Interpretation Service Information, Verbal Language Interpretation Service Information, Staff Translator List, Special Needs Offenders Training Curriculum, Staff Training, observations made during the tour as well as interviews with the Agency Head Designee, random staff and LEP and disabled offenders, this standard appears to be compliant.

115.17	Hiring and promotion decisions
---------------	---------------------------------------

	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard Auditor Discussion Documents: 1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire 2. D1-8.13 Offender Sexual Abuse and Harassment 3. D2-2.2 Background Investigations 4. D2-11.14 Annual Staff Member Requirements 5. D2-13.1 Volunteers & Reentry Partners 6. D2-2.23 Candidate Selection 7. D2-5.1 Maintenance of Employee Records 8. Pre-Employment PREA Check 9. Application for Employment 10. Employee Handbook 11. Staff and Contractor Personnel Files Interviews: 1. Interview with Human Resource Staff Findings (By Provision): 115.17 (a): The PAQ indicated that agency policy prohibits hiring or promoting anyone who may have contact with offenders and prohibits enlisting the services of any contractor who may have contact with offenders who: has engaged in sexual abuse in a prison, jail, lockup, community confinement facility, juvenile facility, or other institution; has been convicted of engaging or attempting to engage in sexual activity in the community facilitated by force, overt or implied threats of force, or coercion, or when the victim did not consent or was unable to consent or refuse; or has been civilly or administratively adjudicated to have engaged in the activity described above. D1-8.13, page 7 states staff members shall not hire or promote any person, staff member, or enlist the services of any contractor that may have contact with an offender when it is known that he: a. has engaged in sexual abuse with an offender in a prison, jail, lockup, community confinement facility, juvenile facility, or other
--	---

institution; b. has been convicted of engaging or attempting to engage in sexual activity in the community facilitated by force, overt or implied threats of force, coercion, or if the victim did not consent or was unable to consent or refuse; or c. has been civilly or administratively adjudicated to have engaged in sexual activity by force, overt or implied threats of force, coercion, or if the victim did not consent or was unable to consent or refuse. D2-2.2, page 3 states prior to approval of a promotional appointment, regardless of the salary range, a check will be conducted of the employee's official personnel file through central office human resources. This check will be performed to ensure the employee has received no formal discipline for sustained allegations of sexual abuse and/or harassment or any information indicating any pending or adjudicated criminal charges. All sustained allegations will be considered by the department before an employee is promoted. A review of documentation for five staff hired in the previous twelve months confirmed all five had a criminal background records check completed prior to hire. Additionally, a review of documentation for two contractors hired in the previous twelve months confirmed that a criminal background records check was completed prior to enlisting their services.

115.17 (b): The PAQ indicated that agency policy requires the consideration of any incidents of sexual harassment in determining whether to hire or promote anyone, or to enlist the services of any contractor who may have contact with offenders. The Human Resource staff member confirmed that sexual harassment is considered when hiring or promoting staff or enlisting services of any contractors.

115.17 (c): The PAQ stated that agency policy requires that before it hires any new employees who may have contact with offenders, it conducts criminal background record checks and makes its best efforts to contact all prior institutional employers for information on substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or any resignations during a pending investigation. The PAQ indicated that 109 people were hired in the previous twelve months who had a criminal background records check completed. D1-8.13, page 7 states before hiring new staff members a worksite personnel staff member or designee shall perform a criminal background records check; and attempt to contact all prior institutional employers, for information on substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or any resignation during a pending investigation of an allegation of sexual abuse in accordance with the department procedure regarding background screening. D2-2.2, page 2 states individuals being interviewed for positions within the department shall be notified that a background investigation will be completed prior to his/her employment with the department. A review of the Pre-Employment PREA Check form confirms that it includes areas for staff to contact prior institutional employers and ask three questions, including whether the applicant had any substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment and if the applicant resigned pending an investigation of an allegation of sexual abuse. The interview with the Human Resource staff member confirmed that a criminal background records check is completed for all applicants prior to hire and the agency attempts to contact

all prior institutional employers about any substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or resignations during investigation. She stated they query MULES and NCIC, which includes national, state and local criminal histories. A review of documentation for five staff hired in the previous twelve months confirmed all five had a criminal background records check completed prior to hire. None of the five had prior institutional employment, however the auditor reviewed the agency procedure at prior audits and confirmed there is a process in place where staff reached out to the employer related to the elements under this provision.

115.17 (d): The PAQ stated that agency policy requires that a criminal background record check be completed before enlisting the services of any contractor who may have contact with offenders. The PAQ indicated that there have been eighteen contracts for services where criminal background record checks were conducted on all staff under the contract. D1-8.13, page 7 states before hiring new staff members a worksite personnel staff member or designee shall perform a criminal background records check; and attempt to contact all prior institutional employers, for information on substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or any resignation during a pending investigation of an allegation of sexual abuse in accordance with the department procedure regarding background screening. D2-2.2, page 5 states contract staff, volunteers, and student interns shall have a background investigation conducted that consists of the criminal history check and any violations that have been reported to pertinent professional licensing and/or certification organizations, if applicable. The Human Resource staff member confirmed that all contractors have a criminal background records check completed prior to enlisting their services. A review of documentation for two contractors hired in the previous twelve months confirmed that a criminal background records check was completed prior to enlisting their services.

115.17 (e): The PAQ indicated that agency policy requires either criminal background checks to be conducted at least every five years for current employees and contractors who may have contact with offenders or that a system is in place for otherwise capturing such information for current employees. D2-11.14, page 3 states each calendar year, in the month following each staff member's birth month, specific employment requirement verifications shall be conducted. A criminal history check shall be conducted to include outstanding warrants. Criminal history checks will be conducted and will consist of a query through the Missouri Uniform Law Enforcement System (MULES), and the National Criminal Information Center (NCIC) system. The interview with the Human Resource staff member indicated that a criminal background records check is completed through MULES and NCIC annually for staff and contractors. A review of four staff hired more than five year prior indicated all five had a criminal background records check completed at least every five years. A review of documentation for three contractors employed longer than five years indicated all three had a criminal background records check completed at least every five years. It should be noted most staff and contractors had a criminal background

records check completed annually, which exceeds the requirement of this provision.

115.17 (f): A review of the Employment Application noted that it includes four questions related to sexual abuse and sexual harassment. One question asks if the applicant resigned from an employer pending an investigation of an allegation of sexual abuse. A second question asks if the applicant has ever been convicted of engaging or attempting to engage in sexual activity in the community facilitated by force, overt or implied threats of force, or coercion, of if the victim did not consent or was unable to consent or refuse; or has been civilly or administratively adjudicated to have engaged in the activity. A third question asks the applicant if they have ever had any substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment in prison, jail, lockup, community confinement, juvenile facility or other institution. Page 18 of the Employee Handbook notes that employees must notify the CAO if arrested or charged with a criminal offense. The Human Resource staff stated that questions are asked on the pre-employment PREA check questionnaire which is completed prior to hire and promotion. She further stated that the agency imposes a continuing duty to disclose any such misconduct. A review of documents for five staff hired in the previous twelve months indicated all five completed the Employment Application, which includes the questions under this provision. Additionally, a review of three staff promoted during the previous twelve months confirmed all three completed the Employment Application prior to promotion.

115.17 (g): The PAQ indicated that agency policy states that material omissions regarding such misconduct or the provision of materially false information, shall be grounds for termination. D2-2.23, page 2 states falsification of any employment application may be grounds for disciplinary action in accordance with the department procedure regarding employee discipline and disqualification for consideration of a position. False information on the employment application regarding substantiated allegations of offender or resident abuse or harassment shall be grounds for termination.

115.17 (h): D2-5.1, page 7 states verification of information, other than public information, will be made with a written authorization from the employee. Verification may include inquiries from prospective institutional employers pertaining to sustained allegations of sexual abuse and/or harassment of an offender or resident during employment by the department. Such information will be obtained by contacting central office human resources. The Human Resource staff member confirmed the agency would provide information related to any substantiated incidents of sexual abuse or sexual harassment when requested.

Based on a review of the PAQ, D1-8.13, D1-8.13, D2-2.2, D2-11.14, D2-13.1, D2-2.23, D2-5.1, Pre-Employment PREA Check, Application for Employment, Employee

	Handbook, Personnel Files for Staff and Contractors, and information obtained from the Human Resource staff interview, this standard appears to be compliant.
--	---

115.18	Upgrades to facilities and technologies
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	Documents: 1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire Interviews: 1. Interview with the Agency Head Designee 2. Interview with the Warden Site Review Observations: 1. Observations of Physical Plant 2. Observations of Video Monitoring Technology Findings (By Provision): 115.18 (a): The PAQ indicated that the agency/facility has not acquired a new facility or made substantial expansion or modifications to existing facilities since the last PREA audit. During the tour the auditor confirmed there were no substantial modifications to the existing facility. The interview with the Agency Head Designee indicated that the agency has a comprehensive process to review every modification or addition to existing buildings. He advised there is a construction process where he and engineers review the request. During the review they determine if modifications would interfere with protecting the offenders and whether it would interfere with lines of sights, cameras views, etc. The interview with the Warden indicated they have not had any substantial modifications to the existing facility since the last PREA audit. 115.18 (b): The PAQ indicated that the agency/facility has not installed or updated a video monitoring system, electronic surveillance system or other monitoring

	technology since the last PREA audit. During the tour the auditor observed cameras in housings units and work and common areas. The auditor verified that cameras assisted with supervision through coverage of blind spots and high traffic areas. Cameras do not replace staff, but supplement staffing. Cameras are actively monitored by housing unit staff and a few work and program area staff. Cameras can also be remotely viewed by investigators and administrative level staff. The interview with the Agency Head Designee indicated that they take video monitoring technology very seriously and that they utilize it for investigations as well as a supplement to supervision and monitoring. He advised staff are able to view areas that they may not have direct sight lines of through cameras. The Agency Head Designee noted that they have updated all the camera systems in the state to include 360 degree cameras to assist with viewpoints. The interview with the Warden confirmed that when they update or install video monitoring technology they consider how the technology will enhance their ability to protect offenders from sexual abuse. She stated they look at blind spots or areas where there are increased allegations and install cameras in these places. She also stated if there is increased movement in certain areas, such as programming or recreation, they would look at adding cameras. Based on a review of the PAQ, D1-8.13, observations from the tour and information from interviews with the Agency Head Designee and Warden, this standard appears to be compliant.
--	--

115.21	Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	Documents: 1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire 2. D1-8.13 Offender Sexual Abuse and Harassment 3. D1-8.8 Evidence Collection Accountability & Disposal 4. Evidence Procedures Manual 5. Evidence Protocol 2023 6. SANE Hospitals Document 7. International Association of Forensic Nurses (IAFN) Adult-Adolescent SANE Training

8. SANE Credentialing Log

9. Contract with Centurion

10. Agreement with SEMO Family Violence Council

11. Advocacy with Survivors of Sexual Victimization for DOC Training

12. Victim Advocate Training Certificates

13. Investigative Reports

Interviews:

1. Interviews with Random Staff

2. Interview with SAFE/SANE

3. Interview with the PREA Compliance Manager

4. Interviews with Offenders who Reported Sexual Abuse

Findings (By Provision):

115.21 (a): The PAQ indicated that the agency/facility is responsible for conducting both administrative and criminal investigations. Additionally, the PAQ stated that when conducting sexual abuse investigations, the agency investigators follow a uniform evidence protocol which is the institutional response plan and includes elements in the PREA response bag. D1-8.13, page 18 states the department shall ensure that administrative and/or criminal investigations are completed for all allegations of sexual abuse and repeated allegations of sexual harassment. D1-8.8, the Evidence Procedures Manual and the Evidence Protocol Memorandum outline the uniform evidence protocol. Interviews with thirteen random staff indicated all thirteen knew and understand the protocol for obtaining useable physical evidence. Additionally, nine staff indicated they knew who was responsible for conducting sexual abuse investigations (PREA Unit).

115.21 (b): The PAQ indicated that the protocol is developmentally appropriate for youth. The PAQ stated that the protocol was adapted from or otherwise based on the most recent edition of the DOJ's Office of Violence Against Women publication, "A National Protocol for Sexual Assault Medical Forensic Examinations, Adult/Adolescents" or similarly comprehensive and authoritative protocols developed after 2011. D1-8.8, the Evidence Procedures Manual and the Evidence Protocol Memorandum outline the uniform evidence protocol, including crime scene

preservation, evidence collection and SAFE/SANE.

115.21 (c): The PAQ indicated that the facility offers offenders who experience sexual abuse access to forensic medical examinations onsite and at an outside facility. It stated that forensic exams are offered without financial cost to the victim. The PAQ indicated that examinations are conducted by SAFE or SANE. The PAQ noted that the facility documents efforts to provide SAFEs or SANEs. The PAQ stated there were two forensic exams conducted in the previous twelve months. Both examinations were by SAFE/SANE. D1-8.13, page 16 states if the alleged perpetrator is a staff member, the victim shall be transported to the community emergency room for a sexual assault examination to be performed by a SANE or SAFE. If the alleged perpetrator is an offender and the allegation is reported within 72 hours of the alleged event and consists of penetration of the mouth, anus, buttocks, or vulva, however slight, by hand, finger, object instrument, or penis, the health services staff member shall contact the on call SANE staff member to inform them to report to the facility and determine the staff member's estimated time of arrival. The SANE staff member shall collect evidence according to established forensic procedures for processing and document the exam and finding in the applicable department computer system. If a SANE staff member is not available to conduct the sexual assault examination or if the victim's injuries are such that emergency room care is required, the victim shall be transported to the community emergency room with a SANE or SAFE for the sexual assault examination. The health services staff member shall notify the community emergency room. The health services staff member shall contact the shift supervisor to arrange transportation to the emergency room in accordance with institutional services procedures regarding offender transportation and supervision of hospitalized offenders and hospital, specialized ambulatory care, and telemedicine. For investigative purposes, the investigator may direct that the victim receive a sexual assault medical examination by the on-call SANE staff member. The SANE Hospitals document outlines that Parkland Medical Center is the SAFE/SANE hospital for the facility. Page 68 of the Contract with Centurion notes that Centurion is required to designate at least four LPNs or RNs, as regional SANE. A review of the IAFN Adult-Adolescent SANE Training Outline notes that it includes eleven modules over the twelve week course. Training topics include: dynamics of sexual assault, overview, victim response and crisis intervention, medical forensic history and observing and assessing physical examination findings, medical-forensic photography, medical-forensic specimen collection, medical-forensic documentation, STI and pregnancy testing and prophylaxis, program and operational issues, and courtroom testimony. The facility provided a credentialing log and training certificates confirming eleven medical staff had completed the training. The interview with the SANE noted that contracted medical staff are responsible for conducting forensic medical examinations for offender on offender sexual abuse, while any staff on offender sexual abuse victim would be transported to the local hospital. She advised there are a few on-call contracted SANE who are responsible for conducting forensic medical exams at all agency facilities. She confirmed she and the other SANEs are SAFE/SANE certified. The interview with the staff member at Parkland Medical Center confirmed that they

provide forensic medical examinations at the hospital. The staff confirmed that examinations are provided by SANE. A review of documentation confirmed there were two forensic examination conducted at the facility in the previous twelve months by contracted SAFE/SANE.

115.21 (d): The PAQ indicated that the facility attempts to make a victim advocate from a rape crisis center available to the victim, either in person or by other means and these efforts are documented. The PAQ further states that the facility provides a qualified staff member from a community based organization or a qualified agency staff member when a rape crisis center is not available to provide advocacy services. D1-8.13, page 18 states during the initial assessment, mental health treatment interventions shall be discussed with the victim by the QMHP and shall include options such as individual and/or group therapy. The QMHP shall explain and offer advocacy services to the alleged victim offender. The QMHP shall document the offender's acceptance or refusal of advocacy services in the electronic medical record. Page 20 further states each facility shall offer alleged victims of offender sexual abuse, a victim advocate to provide emotional support services, crisis intervention during the sexual assault exam, when applicable, during the investigative process. When an allegation of sexual harassment is forwarded for investigation, the alleged victim of sexual harassment shall be offered a victim advocate. The agreement with SEMO Family Violence Council notes that the organization agrees to provide personnel to serve as a victim advocate during a forensic medical examination and to serve as an advocate thereafter. In addition to SEMO Family Violence Council, the facility has two staff members who completed the Advocacy with Survivors of Sexual Victimization for DOC training, who can serve as an advocate when needed. The Consent for Facility Advocacy Services notes that offenders sign a form that outlines that confidentiality is maintained during advocacy sessions with the exceptions of: plans to harm self or others, plans for escape, risk of suicide and/or disclosure of information that creates a concern for safety and security of the facility or staff. The PCM stated that if requested by the victim, a victim advocate accompanies the offender during the forensic medical examination and investigatory interviews. He stated they have an agreement with SEMO Family Violence Center, however they have gotten away from using them. He stated they typically use their Chaplain, who is the facility victim advocate. Interviews with four offenders who reported sexual abuse indicated all four afforded access to a victim advocate after a report of sexual abuse. A review of documentation noted all sexual abuse victims were afforded access to a victim advocate.

115.21 (e): The PAQ indicated that as requested by the victim, a victim advocate, qualified agency staff member, or qualified community-based organization staff member accompanies and supports the victim through the forensic medical examination process and investigatory interviews and provides emotional support, crisis intervention, information and referrals. D1-8.13, page 20 states each facility shall offer alleged victims of offender sexual abuse, a victim advocate to provide

emotional support services, crisis intervention during the sexual assault exam, when applicable, during the investigative process. When an allegation of sexual harassment is forwarded for investigation, the alleged victim of sexual harassment shall be offered a victim advocate. The agreement with SEMO Family Violence Council notes that the organization agrees to provide personnel to serve as a victim advocate during a forensic medical examination and to serve as an advocate thereafter. In addition to SEMO Family Violence Council, the facility has two staff members who completed the Advocacy with Survivors of Sexual Victimization for DOC training, who can serve as an advocate when needed. The Consent for Facility Advocacy Services notes that offenders sign a form that outlines that confidentiality is maintained during advocacy sessions with the exceptions of: plans to harm self or others, plans for escape, risk of suicide and/or disclosure of information that creates a concern for safety and security of the facility or staff. The PCM stated that if requested by the victim, a victim advocate accompanies the offender during the forensic medical examination and investigatory interviews. He stated they have an agreement with SEMO Family Violence Center, however they have gotten away from using them. He stated they typically use their Chaplain, who is the facility victim advocate. Interviews with four offenders who reported sexual abuse indicated all four afforded access to a victim advocate after a report of sexual abuse. A review of documentation noted all sexual abuse victims were afforded access to a victim advocate.

115.21 (f): The PAQ indicated this provision is not applicable as the agency/facility is responsible for conducting administrative and criminal sexual abuse investigations. D1-8.13, page 19 states the PREA manager shall request all responsible law enforcement agencies follow PREA standards when conducting offender sexual abuse investigations.

115.21 (g): The auditor is not required to audit this provision.

115.21 (h): D1-8.13, page 20 states all staff members serving as a designated victim advocate for offenders shall receive victim advocacy training for sexual assault advocates. The memo from the PC advised that the agency has worked with the Missouri Coalition Against Domestic Violence and Sexual Violence to create an online advocacy training for those interested in providing advocacy services to victims of sexual violence within the agency. A review of the training curriculum confirms that the training was created by the Missouri Coalition Against Domestic Violence and Sexual Violence. The training outlines the continuum of sexual violence, terms and definitions, type of sexual violence, survivor and advocate response, samples of things to say, medical framework, the advocate's role, crisis intervention, how to help, establishing rapport, defining the problems and exploring feelings. The training includes activities and a post training quiz. The facility provided confirmation that two staff members completed the training and can serve as a victim advocate when needed.

	Based on a review of the PAQ, D1-8.13, D1-8.8, Evidence Procedures Manual, Evidence Protocol 2023, SANE Hospitals Document, SANE Credentialling Log, Contract with Centurion, IAFN SANE Training Curriculum, Agreement with SEMO Family Violence Council, Victim Advocate Memorandum, investigative reports and information from interviews with the random staff, the SAFE/SANE, the PREA Compliance Manager and offenders who reported sexual abuse, this standard appears to be compliant.
--	--

115.22	Policies to ensure referrals of allegations for investigations
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	Documents: 1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire 2. D1-8.13 Offender Sexual Abuse and Harassment 3. D1-8.1 Office of Professional Standards 4. D1-8.4 Institutional Investigations 5. Investigative Reports Interviews: 1. Interview with the Agency Head Designee 2. Interviews with Investigative Staff Findings (By Provision): 115.22 (a): The PAQ indicated that the agency ensures that an administrative or criminal investigation is completed for all allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment. The PAQ indicated that there were 29 allegations of sexual abuse and/or sexual harassment reported within the previous twelve months. Four resulted in an administrative investigation and 25 resulted in a criminal investigation. The PAQ noted that not all investigations have been completed. Further communication with the PC noted all allegations are investigated administratively. D1-8.13, page 18 states the department shall ensure that administrative and/or criminal investigations are

completed for all allegations of sexual abuse and repeated allegations of sexual harassment. D1-8.4, page 2 states an inquiry or investigation may be conducted by an institutional investigator when: an offender may have engaged in a violation of offender rules; or there is an allegation of staff member on offender sexual harassment (as defined in accordance with the department procedure regarding offender sexual abuse and harassment) not related to a pat search or a use of force. Allegations of offender sexual harassment or offender sexual abuse related to pat searches or uses of force shall be processed in accordance with the PREA coordinated response protocol reference document. The interview with the Agency Head Designee advised that there is a comprehensive reporting process and that all allegations follow a protocol checklist. He advised allegations are entered into the IRIS system. The allegations are then assigned to an investigator. A review of the investigative log and twelve investigations confirmed all allegations had an administrative investigation initiated. All twelve investigations reviewed had a completed administrative investigation and one had a completed criminal investigation.

115.22 (b): The PAQ indicated that the agency has a policy that requires that all allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment be referred for investigations to an agency with the legal authority to conduct criminal investigations and that such policy is published on the agency website or made publicly available via other means. The PAQ also indicated that the agency documents all referrals of allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment for criminal investigation. D1-8.13, page 18 states the department shall ensure that administrative and/or criminal investigations are completed for all allegations of sexual abuse and repeated allegations of sexual harassment. A review of the agency website (<https://doc.mo.gov/programs/PREA>) confirms that D1-8.13 is published and available for public review. Interviews with investigators confirmed that agency policy requires that allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment be referred to an investigative agency with the legal authority to conduct criminal investigations, unless the activity is clearly not criminal. A review of the investigative log and twelve investigations confirmed all allegations had an administrative investigation initiated. All twelve investigations reviewed had a completed administrative investigation and one had a completed criminal investigation. All were investigated by agency investigators.

115.22 (c): D1-8.13, page 18 states the department shall ensure that administrative and/or criminal investigations are completed for all allegations of sexual abuse and repeated allegations of sexual harassment. A review of the agency website (<https://doc.mo.gov/programs/PREA>) confirms that D1-8.13 is published and available for public review.

115.22 (d): The PAQ stated that this provision is not applicable as the agency is responsible for conducting all administrative and criminal investigations. D1-8.13, page 18 states the department shall ensure that administrative and/or criminal

	investigations are completed for all allegations of sexual abuse and repeated allegations of sexual harassment. A review of the agency website (https://doc.mo.gov/programs/PREA) confirms that D1-8.13 is published and available for public review. 115.22 (e): The auditor is not required to audit this provision. Based on a review of the PAQ, D1-8.13, D1-8.1, D1-8.4, investigative reports, the agency's website and information obtained via interviews with the Agency Head Designee and investigators, this standard appears to be compliant.
--	---

115.31 Employee training	
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	Documents: 1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire 2. D1-8.13 Offender Sexual Abuse and Harassment 3. PREA Training Curriculum 4. Working with the Female Offender Training Curriculum 5. Supervising the Female Population Training Curriculum 6. Pat Searches Training Curriculum 7. PREA Refresher Flyers 8. Staff Training Records Interviews: 1. Interviews with Random Staff Findings (By Provision): 115.31 (a): The PAQ stated that the agency trains all employees who may have

contact with offenders on the following matters: the agency's zero tolerance policy, how to fulfill their responsibilities under the agency's sexual abuse and sexual harassment policies and procedures, the offenders' right to be free from sexual abuse and sexual harassment, the right of the offender to be free from retaliation for reporting sexual abuse or sexual harassment, the dynamics of sexual abuse and sexual harassment in a confinement setting, the common reactions of sexual abuse and sexual harassment victims, how to detect and respond to signs of threatened and actual sexual abuse, how to avoid inappropriate relationship with offenders, how to communicate effectively and professionally with lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender and intersex offenders and how to comply with relevant laws related to mandatory reporting laws. D1-8.13, pages 7-8 state all new staff members shall complete the department's online sexual misconduct and harassment training within 5 working days of employment. All staff members shall receive initial PREA training during the department's basic training. A review of the PREA Training Curriculum confirms it includes information on: the agency's zero-tolerance policy, how to fulfill their responsibilities under the agency's sexual abuse and sexual harassment policies and procedures, the residents' right to be free from sexual abuse and sexual harassment, the right of the resident to be free from retaliation for reporting sexual abuse or sexual harassment, the dynamics of sexual abuse and sexual harassment in a confinement setting, the common reactions of sexual abuse and sexual harassment victims, how to detect and respond to signs of threatened and actual sexual abuse, how to avoid inappropriate relationship with residents, how to effectively and professionally communicate with LGBTI residents and how to comply with relevant laws related to mandatory reporting. Interviews with thirteen random staff confirmed all thirteen had received PREA training and the training included the required elements under this provision. A review of 30 staff training records indicated all 30 had completed PREA training.

115.31 (b): The PAQ indicated that training is tailored to the gender of offender at the facility and that employees who are reassigned to facilities with opposite gender offenders are given additional training. D1-8.13, page 8 states all new staff members who shall be placed at a female facility shall receive gender specific training prior to being placed at a post. Staff members shall receive additional training if they are reassigned from a facility that houses only male offenders to a facility that houses only female offenders. Staff members shall receive additional training if they are reassigned from a facility that houses only female offenders to a facility that houses only male offenders if their basic training or institutional basic training occurred more than two years prior to the time of assignment. A review of the Working with the Female Offender Training Curriculum and the Supervising the Female Population Training Curriculum indicates they include specific information on female offenders. The facility houses male offenders and as such no additional training was required for staff.

115.31 (c): The PAQ indicated that between training the agency provides employees

	who may have contact with offenders with refresher information about current policies regarding sexual abuse and sexual harassment. The PAQ stated that training is completed every two years. D1-8.13, page 8 states all staff members shall complete refresher training every two years to ensure knowledge of the agency's current sexual abuse and sexual harassment procedures. Years in which an employee is not required to complete training, the facility site coordinator shall provide refresher information on current sexual abuse and sexual harassment policies. A review of the PREA Refresher Flyers confirmed that the agency/facility provides updated training information on various PREA topics. A review of documentation indicated 20 of the 30 staff had completed training at least every two years. Six of the staff had not been employed longer than a year and four staff records were lost when the facility converted from one training platform to another. 115.31 (d): The PAQ stated that the agency documents that employees who may have contact with offenders understand the training they have received through employee signature or electronic verification. D1-8.13, page 8 state all completed PREA training requires a PREA acknowledgment form or PREA basic training acknowledgment form stating the staff member understood and completed the training. A review of staff training records confirmed that staff manually sign an acknowledgment form or they complete online training which includes a post training quiz as electronic verification. Based on a review of the PAQ, D1-8.13, PREA Training Curriculum, Working with the Female Offender Training Curriculum, Supervising the Female Population Training Curriculum, Pat Searches Training Curriculum, PREA Refresher Flyers, staff training records as well as interviews with random staff, this standard appears to be compliant.
--	--

115.32	Volunteer and contractor training
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	Documents: 1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire 2. D1-8.13 Offender Sexual Abuse and Harassment 3. Offender Sexual Abuse and Harassment A Guide for Partners in Corrections 4. PREA Training for Partners in Corrections

5. Contractor and Volunteer PREA Brochure
6. Contractor and Volunteer Training Records

Interviews:

1. Interviews with Volunteers and Contractors who have Contact with Offenders

Findings (By Provision):

115.32 (a): The PAQ indicated that all volunteers and contractors who have contact with offenders have been trained on their responsibilities under the agency's policies and procedures regarding sexual abuse/sexual harassment prevention, detection and response. D1-8.13, page 8 states all part-time employees, volunteers, and contract staff members shall receive PREA training specific to their classification as determined by the appropriate division director and chief of staff training. The PAQ indicated that 71 volunteers and contractors received PREA training. A review of PREA Training for Partners in Corrections indicates it includes information on the zero tolerance policy, the purpose of PREA, definitions, red flags (signs to look for), the coordinated response and the individuals role, characteristic of victims and perpetrators, common reactions of victim, professional boundaries and reporting (including the mandatory reporting statute). A review of the Offender Sexual Abuse and Harassment A Guide for Partners in Corrections notes that it includes information on the zero tolerance policy, definitions, avoidable contact, avoiding inappropriate relationships, retaliation, reporting, statutes and policy. The Contractor and Volunteer PREA Brochure is distributed annually and includes information on the zero tolerance policy, definitions, red flags, behaviors to avoid and reporting. Interviews with two contractors and one volunteer confirmed they received training on their responsibilities under the agency's sexual abuse and sexual harassment policies. A review of documentation for fourteen contractors and seven volunteers noted eighteen had completed PREA training. Those missing were volunteers and the facility indicated that the individuals had not been at the facility in quite some time. After the on-site portion of the audit the facility ensured all current and active volunteers had completed PREA training. The facility provided a list of all active volunteers who have provided services during the previous twelve months. From the list the facility provided a systematic sample of training records as well as an additional sample to illustrate that all volunteers had completed training.

115.32 (b): The PAQ indicated that the level and type of training provided to volunteers and contractors is not based on the services they provide and level of contact they have with offenders. The PAQ noted that all contractors and volunteers receive the same training. Additionally, the PAQ indicates that all volunteers and

	contractors who have contact with offenders have been notified of the agency's zero tolerance policy regarding sexual abuse and sexual harassment and informed on how to report such incidents. D1-8.13, page 8 states all part-time employees, volunteers, and contract staff members shall receive PREA training specific to their classification as determined by the appropriate division director and chief of staff training. A review of PREA Training for Partners in Corrections indicates it includes information on the zero tolerance policy, the purpose of PREA, definitions, red flags (signs to look for), the coordinated response and the individuals role, characteristic of victims and perpetrators, common reactions of victim, professional boundaries and reporting (including the mandatory reporting statute). A review of the Offender Sexual Abuse and Harassment A Guide for Partners in Corrections notes that it includes information on the zero tolerance policy, definitions, avoidable contact, avoiding inappropriate relationships, retaliation, reporting, statutes and policy. The Contractor and Volunteer PREA Brochure is distributed annually and includes information on the zero tolerance policy, definitions, red flags, behaviors to avoid and reporting. Interviews with contractors and the volunteer indicated they complete training on PREA annually. All three confirmed the training went over the zero tolerance policy and reporting procedures. A review of documentation for fourteen contractors and seven volunteers noted eighteen had completed PREA training. 115.32 (c): The PAQ stated that the agency maintains documentation confirming that volunteers/contractors understand the training they have received. D1-8.13, page 8 state all completed PREA training requires a PREA acknowledgment form or PREA basic training acknowledgment form stating the staff member understood and completed the training. A review of contractor and volunteer training documents noted that they either manually signed the acknowledgement form of they completed the online training which included a quiz at the end to document understanding. Based on a review of the PAQ, D1-8.13, Offender Sexual Abuse and Harassment A Guide for Partners in Corrections, PREA Training for Partners in Corrections, Contractor and Volunteer PREA Brochure, Contractor and Volunteer training, as well as the interviews with contractors and volunteers, this standard appears to be compliant.
--	--

115.33 Inmate education	
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	Documents: 1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire

2. D1-8.13 Offender Sexual Abuse and Harassment
3. IS5-1.1 Diagnostic Center Reception and Orientation
4. IS5-1.2 Institution Receiving and Orientation
5. PREA Adult Comprehensive Education Video
6. PREA Juvenile Comprehensive Education Video
7. PREA Brochure
8. PREA Advocacy Poster
9. PREA Posters
10. Department of Public Safety (DPS) Poster
11. Offender Rulebook
12. Farmington Correctional Center Reception and Orientation Manual (Manual)
13. Sign Language Interpretation Service Information
14. Verbal Language Interpretation Service Information
15. Staff Translator List
16. Offender Education Records
17. PREA Offender Education Script

Interviews:

1. Interview with Intake Staff
2. Interviews with Random Offenders

Site Review Observations:

1. Observations of Intake Area
2. Observations of PREA Posters

Findings (By Provision):

115.33 (a): The PAQ stated that offenders receive information at the time of intake

about the zero tolerance policy and how to report incidents or suspicions of sexual abuse or harassment. The PAQ indicated that 1768 offenders received information at intake on the zero tolerance policy and how to report incident of sexual abuse/sexual harassment. This is equivalent to 100% of offenders who arrived at the facility over the previous twelve months. A review of the Offender Rulebook and Manual noted that they outline the definitions of sexual abuse and sexual harassment, conduct violations and sanctions for sexual abuse and sexual misconduct, steps to avoid sexual abuse, actions to take after an incident of sexual abuse, reporting methods, victim rights, and consequences. A review of the PREA Brochure noted that it includes information on the zero tolerance policy, definitions, tips for prevention, steps to take after sexual abuse, reporting methods, victim right and consequences. A review of the PREA Posters indicated they included information on reporting mechanisms. The DPS Poster outlines reporting mechanisms and provides contact information for Just Detention International and RAINN. The PREA Advocacy Poster included the phone number and mailing address to Just Detention International (JDI) and RAINN. A review of the PREA Adult Comprehensive Education Video and PREA Juvenile Comprehensive Education video confirms that they include information on the zero tolerance policy, reporting methods, the residents right to be free from sexual abuse and sexual harassment, the residents right to be free from retaliation from reporting and the agency/facilities response to an allegation of sexual abuse. The auditor observed the intake process through a demonstration. Offenders are provided a physical copy of the PREA Brochure, upon arrival. Additional PREA information is accessible on the offender tablet. The interview with the intake staff confirmed that offenders are provided information on the zero tolerance policy and reporting methods. He advised all offenders are provided a PREA packet upon arrival. 35 of the 40 offenders that were interviewed indicated they received information on the zero tolerance policy and reporting mechanisms. Most advised they received a pamphlet, the information is on the tablet and the information is posted. It should be noted that FCC is not an intake facility and as such all offenders at the facility have also been previously provided PREA information upon intake, through another MO DOC facility. A review of 38 offender files of those received in the previous twelve months indicated all 38 received PREA information at intake.

115.33 (b): The PAQ indicated that 1768 offenders received comprehensive PREA education within 30 days of intake, which is equivalent to 100% of those that arrived in the last twelve months and stayed longer than 30 days. IS5-1.1, pages 7-8 state each offender shall be scheduled for a formal institutional orientation, to occur within one week of arrival. Offenders shall receive a comprehensive Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) education. If the offender is disabled, limited English proficient or has limited reading skills, the PREA education shall be delivered in a manner which is understandable by the offender. Offenders shall sign an offender sexual abuse and harassment acknowledgment form showing they received PREA education and understand their rights to be free from sexual abuse, harassment and retaliation. IS5-1.2, pages 2-3 state after receiving an offender at an institution, designated reception and orientation unit staff members should ensure that offenders are

provided an orientation program that includes general information including, but not limited to, the Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA), description of and reporting potential PREA events and crime tips and PREA hotline information. After orientation, the offender will sign the receipt form and the offender sexual abuse and harassment acknowledgement form signifying completion of orientation information. A review of the Offender Rulebook and Manual noted that they outline the definitions of sexual abuse and sexual harassment, conduct violations and sanctions for sexual abuse and sexual misconduct, steps to avoid sexual abuse, actions to take after an incident of sexual abuse, reporting methods, victim rights, and consequences. A review of the PREA Brochure noted that it includes information on the zero tolerance policy, definitions, tips for prevention, steps to take after sexual abuse, reporting methods, victim right and consequences. A review of the PREA Posters indicated they included information on reporting mechanisms. The DPS Poster outlines reporting mechanisms and provides contact information for Just Detention International and RAINN. The PREA Advocacy Poster included the phone number and mailing address to Just Detention International (JDI) and RAINN. A review of the PREA Adult Comprehensive Education Video and PREA Juvenile Comprehensive Education video confirms that they include information on the zero tolerance policy, reporting methods, the residents right to be free from sexual abuse and sexual harassment, the residents right to be free from retaliation from reporting and the agency/facilities response to an allegation of sexual abuse. While the facility is not required to complete additional PREA education they do provide offenders with additional PREA education. The auditor had the facility conduct a mock demonstration of the comprehensive PREA education process. Education is completed in housing unit 1. The staff have a 32 inch television that is rolled out on a cart. The staff play the PREA Adult Comprehensive Education video. The video was observed in English, Spanish and ASL. The audio appeared to be adequate from the area the offenders are seated. The interview with the intake staff confirmed that offenders receive comprehensive PREA education on their right to be free from sexual abuse and sexual harassment, their right to be free from retaliation from reporting and policies and procedures after a report of sexual abuse. He stated they watch the PREA video and he verbally advises them of his office hours and asks if there are any questions. Interviews with 40 offenders indicated 25 were told about their right to be free from sexual abuse, their right to be free from retaliation from reporting sexual abuse and agency policies and procedures on responding to an allegation. Many advised they saw the PREA video. A review of 38 offender files of those received in the previous twelve months indicated all 38 had received comprehensive PREA education. Immediately following the on-site portion of the audit the agency developed the PREA Offender Education Script for staff to verbally read during the education process. The document accompanies the PREA video and outlines the offender's rights under PREA, agency specific reporting mechanism (including differentiating between the internal and external methods), and access to rape crisis advocates and emotional support services (including contact information for JDI and RAINN). The facility conducted training with applicable staff related to the use of the PREA Offender Education Script. Confirmation of understanding of the updated process was provided via staff signatures.

115.33 (c): The PAQ indicated that all offenders have received comprehensive PREA education within 30 days of intake. The PAQ noted that agency policy requires that offenders who are transferred from one facility to another be educated regarding their rights to be free from both sexual abuse and sexual harassment and retaliation for reporting such incidents and on agency policies and procedures for responding to such incidents, to the extent that the policies and procedures of the new facility differ from those of the previous facility. IS5-1.1, pages 7-8 state each offender shall be scheduled for a formal institutional orientation, to occur within one week of arrival. Offenders shall receive a comprehensive Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) education. If the offender is disabled, limited English proficient or has limited reading skills, the PREA education shall be delivered in a manner which is understandable by the offender. Offenders shall sign an offender sexual abuse and harassment acknowledgment form showing they received PREA education and understand their rights to be free from sexual abuse, harassment and retaliation. IS5-1.2, pages 2-3 state after receiving an offender at an institution, designated reception and orientation unit staff members should ensure that offenders are provided an orientation program that includes general information including, but not limited to, the Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA), description of and reporting potential PREA events and crime tips and PREA hotline information. After orientation, the offender will sign the receipt form and the offender sexual abuse and harassment acknowledgement form signifying completion of orientation information. A review of the Offender Rulebook and Manual noted that they outline the definitions of sexual abuse and sexual harassment, conduct violations and sanctions for sexual abuse and sexual misconduct, steps to avoid sexual abuse, actions to take after an incident of sexual abuse, reporting methods, victim rights, and consequences. A review of the PREA Brochure noted that it includes information on the zero tolerance policy, definitions, tips for prevention, steps to take after sexual abuse, reporting methods, victim right and consequences. A review of the PREA Posters indicated they included information on reporting mechanisms. The DPS Poster outlines reporting mechanisms and provides contact information for Just Detention International and RAINN. The PREA Advocacy Poster included the phone number and mailing address to Just Detention International (JDI) and RAINN. A review of the PREA Adult Comprehensive Education Video and PREA Juvenile Comprehensive Education video confirms that they include information on the zero tolerance policy, reporting methods, the residents right to be free from sexual abuse and sexual harassment, the residents right to be free from retaliation from reporting and the agency/facilities response to an allegation of sexual abuse. The interview with the intake staff confirmed that offenders receive comprehensive PREA education on their right to be free from sexual abuse and sexual harassment, their right to be free from retaliation from reporting and policies and procedures after a report of sexual abuse. He stated they watch the PREA video and he verbally advises them of his office hours and asks if there are any questions. A review of 69 total offender files indicated all 69 had comprehensive PREA education completed after 2013.

115.33 (d): The PAQ indicated that PREA education is available in accessible formats

for offenders who are LEP, deaf, visually impaired, and otherwise disabled, as well as to offenders who have limited reading skills. D1-8.13, page 10 states the department shall provide PREA related education in formats accessible to all offenders, including those who are limited English proficient, deaf, visually impaired, or otherwise disabled, as well as to offenders who have limited reading skills in accordance with the department's procedures regarding deaf and hard of hearing offenders, disabled offenders, and blind and visually impaired offenders. Offenders who have limited English proficiency shall be provided a copy of the video transcript and the PREA offender brochure in their native language. D5-5.1, page 3 states that deaf or hard of hearing offenders shall be offered the assistance of qualified interpreters and have other auxiliary aids explained to them during the diagnostic process. The policy outlines the aids and services available to deaf and hard of hearing offenders. The agency has a contract for Sign Language Interpretation Services with vendors. A review of the PREA Brochure, PREA Posters, DPS Poster, PREA Advocacy Poster, Offender Rulebook and Manual confirmed that they are available in larger print. The PREA Brochure is also available in Braille. The PREA Adult Comprehensive Education Video and PREA Juvenile Comprehensive Education are available in American Sign Language and includes text related to the verbal information provided. The agency has a contract for Verbal Language Interpretation Services with vendors. Additionally, the agency has a list over 75 staff who can provide translation services. A review of the PREA Brochure, PREA Posters, DPS Poster, PREA Advocacy Poster, Offender Rulebook and Manual confirmed they were available in English and Spanish. Additionally, the PREA Brochure is available in six other languages. The PREA Adult Comprehensive Education Video and PREA Juvenile Comprehensive Education are available in English and Spanish and includes text related to the verbal information provided. A review of eleven disabled offender records and three LEP offender records confirmed all fourteen received PREA education.

115.33 (e): The PAQ indicated that the agency maintains documentation of Offender participation in PREA education sessions. IS5-1.1, pages 7-8 state each offender shall be scheduled for a formal institutional orientation, to occur within one week of arrival. Offenders shall receive a comprehensive Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) education. If the offender is disabled, limited English proficient or has limited reading skills, the PREA education shall be delivered in a manner which is understandable by the offender. Offenders shall sign an offender sexual abuse and harassment acknowledgment form showing they received PREA education and understand their rights to be free from sexual abuse, harassment and retaliation. IS5-1.2, pages 2-3 state after receiving an offender at an institution, designated reception and orientation unit staff members should ensure that offenders are provided an orientation program that includes general information including, but not limited to, the Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA), description of and reporting potential PREA events and crime tips and PREA hotline information. After orientation, the offender will sign the receipt form and the offender sexual abuse and harassment acknowledgement form signifying completion of orientation information. A review of offender files noted offenders sign an acknowledgment form confirming they

	completed PREA education. 115.33 (f): The PAQ indicated that the agency ensures that key information about the agency's PREA policies is continuously and readily available or visible through posters, handbooks or other written formats. D1-8.13, page 11 states the PREA site coordinator shall make key information readily available or visible to all offenders through PREA posters, the offender rulebook, electronic notebooks and the offender brochure on sexual abuse and harassment. The auditor observed PREA information posted throughout the facility via the PREA Posters, TIPS Poster, Department of Public Safety (DPS) Poster and PREA Advocacy Poster. The PREA Posters were observed in English and Spanish on letter size paper. The DPS Poster was in English on letter size paper. The TIPS Poster was in English on letter size paper. The PREA Advocacy Poster was on letter size paper in English and Spanish. The auditor observed that all housing units had a PREA Bulletin Board area with the posted information. PREA information was also observed on the offender tablet system. The PREA Brochure, Rulebook, DPS Poster, TIPS Poster, Third Party Reporting Poster, PREA Advocacy Poster and PREA Posters were observed on the tablet system. Further, the auditor observed the PREA Brochure was displayed for offenders to take in mental health, the library, vocation and a few other locations. Based on a review of the PAQ, D1-8.13, IS5-1.1, IS5-1.2, PREA Adult Comprehensive Education Video, PREA Juvenile Comprehensive Education Video, Offender Rulebook, PREA Brochure, PREA Advocacy Poster, PREA Posters, DPS Poster, Sign Language Interpretation Service Information, Verbal Language Interpretation Service Information, Staff Translator List, PREA Offender Education Script, a review of offender records, observations made during the tour as well as information from interviews with intake staff and offenders, this standard appears to be compliant.
--	--

115.34	Specialized training: Investigations
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard Auditor Discussion Documents: 1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire 2. D1-8.13 Offender Sexual Abuse and Harassment 3. National Institute of Corrections (NIC) – Investigating Sexual Abuse In a Confinement Setting 4. Standard of Proof Training Document

5. PREA Investigations (Sexual Harassment) Training Curriculum
6. Credibility Assessments Training Document
7. Investigator Training Records

Interviews:

1. Interviews with Investigative Staff

Findings (By Provision):

115.34 (a): The PAQ indicated that agency policy requires that investigators are trained in conducting sexual abuse investigations in confinement settings. D1-8.13, page 8 states investigators assigned to investigate offender sexual abuse allegations shall receive specialized PREA investigator training. The agency utilizes the NIC Conducting Sexual Abuse Investigations in a Confinement Setting training curriculum. In addition, the agency provides additional training to investigators via the PREA Investigations (Sexual Harassment) training, the Credibility Assessments Training Document and the Standard of Proof Training Document. Interviews with investigative staff confirmed the agency investigator completed the specialized investigator training, via the NIC training.

115.34 (b): D1-8.13, page 8 states investigators assigned to investigate offender sexual abuse allegations shall receive specialized PREA investigator training. The agency utilizes the NIC Conducting Sexual Abuse Investigations in a Confinement Setting training curriculum. In addition, the agency provides additional training to investigators via the PREA Investigations (Sexual Harassment) training, the Credibility Assessments Training Document and the Standard of Proof Training Document. A review of the NIC Conducting Sexual Abuse Investigations in a Confinement Setting training confirmed that it includes the following: techniques for interviewing sexual abuse victims, proper use of Miranda and Garrity warnings, sexual abuse evidence collection in confinement settings and the criteria and evidence required to substantiate an administrative investigation. Interviews with investigators confirmed that the agency investigator completed training that included the elements under this provision. A review of documentation indicated 22 investigators completed the specialized training and were issued a training certificate through the NIC.

115.34 (c): The PAQ indicated that the agency maintains documentation showing that investigators have completed the required training and that nineteen investigators had completed the required training. A review of documentation confirmed 22

	investigators completed the specialized training and were issued a training certificate through the NIC. 115.34 (d): The auditor is not required to audit this provision. Based on a review of the PAQ, D1-8.13, National Institute of Corrections (NIC) – Investigating Sexual Abuse In a Confinement Setting, Standard of Proof Training Document, PREA Investigations (Sexual Harassment) Training Curriculum, Credibility Assessments Training Document, Investigator Training Records as well as the interviews with the investigators, this standard appears to be compliant.
--	--

115.35	Specialized training: Medical and mental health care
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	Documents: 1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire 2. D1-8.13 Offender Sexual Abuse and Harassment 3. PREA Overview by Relias Training 4. International Association of Forensic Nurses (IAFN) Adult-Adolescent SANE Training 5. SANE Credentialing Log 6. Contract with Centurion 7. PREA Training Curriculum 8. Offender Sexual Abuse and Harassment A Guide for Partners in Corrections 9. PREA Training for Partners in Corrections 10. Medical and Mental Health Training Records Interviews: 1. Interviews with Medical and Mental Health Staff

Findings (By Provision):

115.35 (a): The PAQ stated that the agency has a policy related to training medical and mental health practitioners who work regularly in its facilities. The PAQ indicated that 85 (100%) medical and mental health care staff received the specialized training. D1.8-13, page 8 states health services staff members shall receive specialized PREA medical and mental health training. A review of the PREA Overview training curriculum confirms that it includes information on the following topics: how to detect and assess signs of sexual abuse and sexual harassment, how to preserve physical evidence of sexual abuse, how to respond effectively and professionally to victims of sexual abuse and sexual harassment and how and whom to report allegations or suspicion of sexual abuse and sexual harassment. Interviews with medical and mental health staff confirmed that both received specialized training and the training included the elements under this provision. A review of seven medical and mental health care staff training records indicated all seven had completed the specialized medical and mental health training.

115.35 (b): The PAQ indicated that agency medical staff conduct forensic medical exams. Contracted medical and mental health staff conduct forensic medical examinations at the facility. Page 68 of the Contract with Centurion notes that Centurion is required to designate at least four LPNs or RNs, as regional SANE. A review of the IAFN Adult-Adolescent SANE Training Outline notes that it includes eleven modules over the twelve week course. Training topics include: dynamics of sexual assault, overview, victim response and crisis intervention, medical forensic history and observing and assessing physical examination findings, medical-forensic photography, medical-forensic specimen collection, medical-forensic documentation, STI and pregnancy testing and prophylaxis, program and operational issues, and courtroom testimony. The facility provided a credentialing log and training certificates confirming eleven medical staff had completed the training. Interviews with medical and mental health staff confirmed that they do not perform forensic medical examinations however they do have a SANE nurse that comes to the facility. The interview with the SANE noted that contracted medical staff are responsible for conducting forensic medical examinations for offender on offender sexual abuse, while any staff on offender sexual abuse victim would be transported to the local hospital. She advised there are a few on-call contracted SANE who are responsible for conducting forensic medical exams at all agency facilities. She confirmed she and the other SANEs are SAFE/SANE certified.

115.35 (c): The PAQ indicated that the agency maintains documentation showing that medical and mental health practitioners have completed the required training. A review of seven medical and mental health care staff training records indicated all seven had completed the specialized medical and mental health training. All staff had a certificate documenting completion and/or electronic verification through the Relias

	program. 115.35 (d): A review of the PREA Training Curriculum confirms it includes information on: the agency's zero-tolerance policy, how to fulfill their responsibilities under the agency's sexual abuse and sexual harassment policies and procedures, the residents' right to be free from sexual abuse and sexual harassment, the right of the resident to be free from retaliation for reporting sexual abuse or sexual harassment, the dynamics of sexual abuse and sexual harassment in a confinement setting, the common reactions of sexual abuse and sexual harassment victims, how to detect and respond to signs of threatened and actual sexual abuse, how to avoid inappropriate relationship with residents, how to effectively and professionally communicate with LGBTI residents and how to comply with relevant laws related to mandatory reporting. A review of PREA Training for Partners in Corrections indicates it includes information on the zero tolerance policy, the purpose of PREA, definitions, red flags (signs to look for), the coordinated response and the individuals role, characteristic of victims and perpetrators, common reactions of victim, professional boundaries and reporting (including the mandatory reporting statute). A review of the Offender Sexual Abuse and Harassment A Guide for Partners in Corrections notes that it includes information on the zero tolerance policy, definitions, avoidable contact, avoiding inappropriate relationships, retaliation, reporting, statutes and policy. The Contractor and Volunteer PREA Brochure is distributed annually and includes information on the zero tolerance policy, definitions, red flags, behaviors to avoid and reporting. A review of seven medical and mental health care staff training records indicated all seven had completed training as required under 115.31 or 115.32. Based on a review of the PAQ, D1-8.13, PREA Overview by Relias Training, International Association of Forensic Nurses (IAFN) SANE Training, SANE Credentialing Log, Contract with Centurion, PREA Training Curriculum, Offender Sexual Abuse and Harassment A Guide for Partners in Corrections, PREA Training for Partners in Corrections, medical and mental health care staff training records as well as interviews with medical and mental health care staff, this standard appears to be compliant.
--	--

115.41	Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	Documents: 1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire

2. D1-8.13 Offender Sexual Abuse and Harassment
3. Adult Internal Risk Assessment (AIRS)
4. Adult Internal Risk Assessment Scoring
5. Adult Internal Risk Assessment Manual
6. Adult Internal Risk Assessment Manual Supplement
7. Adult Internal Risk Assessment Training
8. Offender Assessment and Reassessment Documents

Interviews:

1. Interview with Staff Responsible for Risk Screening
2. Interviews with Random Offenders
3. Interview with the PREA Coordinator
4. Interview with the PREA Compliance Manager

Site Review Observations:

1. Observations of Risk Screening Area
2. Observations of File Location

Findings (By Provision):

115.41 (a): The PAQ stated that the agency has a policy that requires screening upon admission to a facility or transfer to another facility for risk of sexual abuse victimization or sexual abusiveness toward other offenders. D1-8.13, page 9 states facilities shall assess offenders for the risk of being sexually abused and the risk of being sexually abusive utilizing their divisional adult internal risk assessment. All offenders shall be assessed during intake and upon transfer to another facility for their risk of being sexually abused by other offenders or sexual abusiveness towards other offenders in accordance with the institutional services procedure regarding offender housing assignments, transgender and intersex offenders and the probation and parole procedures regarding housing assignments, transgender and intersex clients, and contracted residential facilities. The auditor observed the initial risk screening process. The initial risk assessment is completed in the visitation area. The offender is taken to the corner of visitation away from the other offenders. The staff

print out a copy of the Adult Internal Risk Assessment and verbally go through each question on the form with the offender. Staff ask the questions, including, age, height, weight, sexual orientation, gender identity, prior sexual victimization, and perception of vulnerability. The staff also review information from the offender record, including criminal history and institutional discipline. Staff use both information from verbal response and the file review to complete the risk assessment. All information from the paper file is entered into the electronic system. Interviews with 23 offenders that arrived within the previous twelve months indicated 21 were asked the risk screening questions upon arrival. The interview with the staff responsible for the risk screening indicated that offenders are screened at intake for their risk of victimization and risk of abusiveness.

115.41 (b): The PAQ indicated that the policy requires that offenders be screened for risk of sexual victimization or risk of sexually abusing other offenders within 72 hours of their intake. D1-8.13, page 9 states offenders be assessed within 72 hours of arrival. The PAQ stated that 1768 offenders, or 100% of those that arrived in the previous twelve months, were screened for risk of sexual victimization or risk of sexually abusing other offenders within 72 hours. Interviews with 23 offenders that arrived within the previous twelve months indicated 21 were asked the risk screening questions upon arrival. The interview with the staff responsible for the risk screening confirmed that offenders are screened for their risk of victimization and abusiveness within 72 hours. The staff stated the risk screening it typically completed the same day they arrive. A review of 38 offender files of those that arrived within the previous twelve months indicated all 38 had an initial risk screening completed. 37 of the 38 were completed within 72 hours.

115.41 (c): The PAQ indicated that the risk assessment is conducted using an objective screening instrument. A review of the Adult Internal Risk Assessment indicates that it includes a risk of victimization section and a risk of abusiveness section. The risk of victimization section includes fourteen questions and the risk of abusiveness section includes four questions. Each section also has an override question. The Adult Internal Risk Assessment Scoring notes that responses associated with each questions have points assigned, ranging from zero to three. The points are totaled in each section and based on the number of points, one of three designations is assigned (Kappa, Sigma and Alpha). The Adult Internal Risk Manual and Supplement provide directions for staff on how to complete the risk screening as well as details behind each of the questions.

115.41 (d): A review of the Adult Internal Risk Assessment indicates that the assessment includes fourteen questions related to sexual victimization, including, if ever approached or threatened with sexual abuse while incarcerated, approached or threatened with physical violence while incarcerated, prior sexual victimization, victim of physical abuse, ever sought assistance from staff due to fear for safety due

to sexual abuse, ever sought assistance from staff due to fear of physical violence, fear of placement in general population due to sexual or physical abuse, age, physical stature, disability, gender identity/sexual preference, prior incarcerations, prior sexual offenses against an child, and history of consensual sex while incarcerated. The assessment also has a victim override question which asks whether the offender had a substantiated investigation of sexual victimization (where was the victim) within the last five years. The Adult Internal Risk Manual and Supplement provide directions for staff on how to complete the risk screening as well as details behind each of the questions. It should be noted that the Adult Internal Assessment Manual Supplement outlines corrections to three questions that were identified through prior DOJ PREA audits. One of which changes the question related to prior sexual offenses to include adult or child and the other which handles exclusively non-violent criminal history. The interview with the staff responsible for the risk screening indicated the questions are verbally asked from the Adult internal Risk Manual and information is confirmed through a review of the offender's record in the system. Staff confirmed the required elements under this provision are considered.

115.41 (e): A review of the Adult Internal Risk Assessment confirms that the screening tool includes four questions related to sexual abusiveness, including, if ever found guilty of sex offense with adult victims, if ever found guilty of crimes of violence, any conduct violations for violent offenses within last ten years, and violation for Murder/ Manslaughter or Forcible Sexual Misconduct older than five years but less than ten years. The assessment also has an abusiveness override question which asks if the offender has a substantiated investigation for sexual misconduct or any conduct violations for Murder/Manslaughter or Forcible Sexual Misconduct within the last five years. The Adult Internal Risk Manual and Supplement provide directions for staff on how to complete the risk screening as well as details behind each of the questions. It should be noted that the Adult Internal Assessment Manual Supplement outlines corrections to three questions that were identified through prior DOJ PREA audits. The question related to sex offenses with adult victims was changed to include child and adult changes the question related to prior sexual offenses to include any prior sexual offenses. The question related to conduct violations for violent offenses within the last ten years was changed to remove the timeframe of ten years. The interview with the staff responsible for the risk screening indicated the questions are verbally asked from the Adult internal Risk Manual and information is confirmed through a review of the offender's record in the system. Staff confirmed the required elements under this provision are considered.

115.41 (f): The PAQ indicated that policy requires that the facility reassess each offender's risk of victimization or abusiveness within a set time period, not to exceed 30 days after the offender's arrival at the facility, based upon any additional, relevant information received by the facility since the intake screening. The PAQ indicated 1768, or 100% of offenders entering the facility who stayed longer than 30 days, were reassessed for their risk of sexual victimization or of being sexually abusive within 30

days after their arrival at the facility. D1-8.13, page 9 states offenders shall be reassessed within 30 days of arrival and shall consider additional relevant information received by the facility after the initial intake screening. The risk reassessment is conducted in a private office setting, one-on-one. The staff complete the same process as the initial, including verbally asking questions and reviewing file information. The staff noted they are trying to determine if anything has happened since the offender arrived. The interview with staff responsible for the risk screening indicated that offenders are reassessed within 25-30 days. Interviews with 23 offenders that arrived in the previous twelve months indicated thirteen were asked the risk screening questions on more than one occasion. A review of 38 offender files indicated all 38 had a reassessment completed. 34 of the 38 were completed within 30 days.

115.41 (g): The PAQ indicated that policy requires that an offender's risk level be reassessed when warranted due to a referral, request, incident of sexual abuse, or receipt of additional information that bears on the offender's risk of sexual victimization or abusiveness. D1-8.13, page 9 states the offender's risk level shall be reassessed when warranted due to a referral, incident of sexual abuse, or upon request or receipt of additional information that impacts an offender's risk of sexual victimization or abusiveness. The interview with staff responsible for risk screening confirmed that offenders are reassessed when warranted due to request, referral, incident of sexual abuse or receipt of additional information. The staff stated reassessment would be event driven. Interviews with 23 offenders that arrived in the previous twelve months indicated thirteen were asked the risk screening questions on more than one occasion. A review of 38 offender files indicated all 38 had a reassessment completed. There were three sexual abuse allegations that would necessitate a reassessment due to incident of sexual abuse. One victim was transferred prior to the completion of the investigation. The other two did not have a reassessment completed. Immediately following the on-site portion of the audit the facility provided training with applicable staff on the requirement to conduct a reassessment due to incident of sexual abuse. Confirmation of the training was provided via staff signatures. The facility provided the one investigation that was closed after the on-site portion of the audit. The facility provided documentation illustrating a reassessment due to incident of sexual abuse was completed with the victim (case was unsubstantiated).

115.41 (h): The PAQ indicated that policy prohibits disciplining offenders for refusing to answer whether or not the offender has a mental, physical or developmental disability; whether or not the offender is or is perceived to be gay, lesbian, bisexual, transgender, intersex or gender non-conforming; whether or not the offender has previously experienced sexual victimization; and the offender's own perception of vulnerability. D1-8.13, page 9 states the offender shall not be disciplined for refusing to answer or not disclosing complete information during the assessment. The interview with the staff responsible for risk screening indicated that offenders are not

	disciplined for refusing to answer or not fully disclose information for any of the risk screening questions. 115.41 (i): Offenders risk assessments are documented electronically via the MOCIS system. During the tour the auditor had a security staff member pull up the risk screening information in MOCIS. The auditor viewed that the staff did not have access and was given an error message that noted they were not authorized to view the information. Paper risk assessments are stored in the offender file, located in central records. This area is staffed Monday through Friday during business hours. After hour access is extremely limited. The PC stated that the agency has implemented appropriate controls on information from the risk screening to ensure sensitive information is not exploited. He stated the information is limited to Corrections Case Managers and their supervisors, as these are the staff who complete the risk screening. All other staff just have access to review the label produced as a result of the risk assessment. The interview with the PCM confirmed that the agency has outlined who should have access to the risk screening information so that sensitive information is not exploited. He stated everyone can see the score/designation but they cannot see the responses. The staff responsible for the risk screening stated that the information from the risk screening is only accessible to classification staff. Based on a review of the PAQ, D1-8.13, Adult Internal Risk Assessment (AIRS), Adult Internal Risk Assessment Scoring, Adult Internal Risk Assessment Manual, Adult Internal Risk Assessment Manual Supplement, Adult Internal Risk Assessment Training, offender files and information from interviews with the PREA Coordinator, PREA Compliance Manager, staff responsible for conducting the risk screenings and random offenders, this standard appears to be compliant.
--	--

115.42	Use of screening information
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	Documents: 1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire 2. D1-8.13 Offender Sexual Abuse and Harassment 3. IS5-2.3 Offender Internal Classification 4. IS18-1.1 Required Activities 5. IS5.3.1 Offender Housing Assignments

6. Transgender Offender Protocol
7. Transgender Committee Review
8. High Risk Victim and High Risk Abuser Assignments
9. Transgender/Intersex Offender Biannual Reviews
10. LGBTI Offender Housing Documents

Interviews:

1. Interview with Staff Responsible for Risk Screening
2. Interview with PREA Coordinator
3. Interview with PREA Compliance Manager
4. Interviews with Transgender/Intersex Offenders
5. Interviews with Gay, Lesbian and Bisexual Offenders

Site Review Observations:

1. Location of Offender Records.
2. Housing Assignments of LGBTI Offenders
3. Shower Area in Housing Units

Findings (By Provision):

115.42 (a): The PAQ stated that the agency/facility uses information from the risk screening to inform housing, bed, work, education and program assignments with the goal of keeping separate those offenders at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive. D1-8.13, page 10 states housing, cell, bed, education, and programming assignments shall be individualized utilizing the adult internal risk assessment with the goal of keeping separate those offenders identified at high risk of sexual victimization from offenders assessed at high risk of being sexually abusive. This shall be in accordance with the institutional services procedures regarding offender housing assignments, transgender and intersex offenders, offender recreation and activities, and probation and parole procedures regarding community supervision centers, the community release center, and contracted residential facilities. IS18-1.1, page 4 states housing unit staff members shall utilize the internal classification information to designate required activities

assignments for the purpose of keeping separate and/or ensuring the appropriate monitoring of those offenders at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive when working or attending programming together in accordance with institutional services procedures regarding offender internal classification systems. IS5-2.3, page 1 states the department utilizes an internal classification system to assist department staff members in determining appropriate housing, programs, and work assignments of offenders to ensure offender safety, institutional security, and compliance with the Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) guidelines. The interview with the PREA Compliance Manager indicated that information from the risk screening is utilized to determine housing placement, work assignments and other placement assignments. The interview with the staff responsible for the risk screening indicated that the information from the risk screening is utilized to determine a score (Kappa, Sigma or Alpha), which is used to cell offenders. The staff advised that they try their best to keep Sigmas with other Sigmas and Alphas with other Alphas. A review of documentation indicated that the facility makes its' best efforts to house Sigma and Alpha offenders in separate housing units. The facility has designated specific units for Sigmas and specific units for Alphas. During the review the auditor observed a few housing units with both Sigmas and Alphas. Further, the auditor observed that a few of these units had Sigmas housed in the same room as Alphas. As such, the auditor had the facility review all housing of Sigma and Alpha offenders. The facility conducted a thorough review of Sigma and Alpha offender housing and made appropriate housing changes. The facility provided the updated Alpha and Sigma housing. While a few of the housing units still had Sigma and Alphas in the unit, none were housed in the same room. The auditor confirmed that job, program and education assignments were appropriate. While a few housing assignments were not appropriate the auditor determined this was based on human error and that the procedure is to keep Alpha and Sigmas offenders separate.

115.42 (b): The PAQ indicated that the agency/facility makes individualized determinations about how to ensure the safety of each offender. D1-8.13, page 10 states housing, cell, bed, education, and programming assignments shall be individualized utilizing the adult internal risk assessment with the goal of keeping separate those offenders identified at high risk of sexual victimization from offenders assessed at high risk of being sexually abusive. This shall be in accordance with the institutional services procedures regarding offender housing assignments, transgender and intersex offenders, offender recreation and activities, and probation and parole procedures regarding community supervision centers, the community release center, and contracted residential facilities. IS18-1.1, page 4 states housing unit staff members shall utilize the internal classification information to designate required activities assignments for the purpose of keeping separate and/or ensuring the appropriate monitoring of those offenders at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive when working or attending programming together in accordance with institutional services procedures regarding offender internal classification systems. IS5-2.3, page 1 states the department utilizes

an internal classification system to assist department staff members in determining appropriate housing, programs, and work assignments of offenders to ensure offender safety, institutional security, and compliance with the Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) guidelines. The interview with the staff responsible for the risk screening indicated that the information from the risk screening is utilized to determine a score (Kappa, Sigma or Alpha), which is used to cell offenders. The staff advised that they try their best to keep Sigmas with other Sigmas and Alphas with other Alphas.

115.42 (c): The PAQ stated that the agency/facility makes housing and program assignments for transgender or intersex offenders in the facility on a case by case basis. D1-8.13, page 9 states housing assignment for transgender and intersex offenders shall be made in accordance with the institutional services and probation and parole procedures regarding housing assignments. IS5-3.1, page 3 states the transgender committee is responsible for determining a permanent housing assignment for each transgender or intersex offender, and prior to this assignment shall meet with each offender to determine his vulnerability within the general population and length of time living as the acquired gender. A review of the Transgender Offender Protocol notes that there are three different committees, one at the facility level, one at the agency level and one at the clinical level. The document outlines that the facility level team meets with the transgender or intersex individual within ten days to review health and safety needs. The PCM stated that housing and program assignments of transgender and intersex offenders is discussed during the biannual assessments. He advised they talk about if they are happy in their current housing, job, etc. and then they review these assignments. The PCM confirmed that housing and program assignments take into consideration the offender's health and safety as well as any security or management problems. Interviews with transgender offenders indicated none of the three were asked how they felt about their safety with regard to housing and programming. None felt they were housed solely based on their gender identity. A review of the Transgender Committee Review for five transgender offenders confirms that they had a case by case review. The form notes the offenders view of vulnerability, historical overview of offender, institutional adjustment, programming assignments, health care status, accommodations, security concerns, etc.

115.42 (d): D1-8.13, page 22 states the department shall make informed decisions regarding the health and safety of transgender and intersex offenders by ensuring that there are assessed every 6 months in accordance with the institutional services procedure regarding transgender and intersex offenders. The interview with the PCM indicated transgender and intersex offenders are reassessed every twice a year. The staff responsible for the risk screening confirmed transgender and intersex offenders are reassessed at least biannually. A review of documentation for four transgender offenders confirmed all four had biannual assessments completed.

	115.42 (e): Interviews with the PCM and staff responsible for the risk screening indicated that transgender and intersex offenders' view with respect to their safety are given serious consideration. Interviews with transgender offenders indicated none were asked how they felt about their safety with regard to housing and programming. 115.42 (f): During the tour the auditor confirmed that showers were single person and provided privacy through curtains and half walls. Interviews with the PCM and the staff responsible for risk screening confirmed that transgender and intersex offenders are given the opportunity to shower separately. The PCM stated transgender and intersex offenders can shower alone after hours or during count. Interviews with transgender offenders noted one of the three has been afforded the opportunity to shower separately. 115.42 (g): The interviews with the PC and PCM confirmed that the agency does not have a consent decree and that LGBTI offenders are not placed in one housing unit or one facility based on their gender identify and/or sexual preference. The PC stated LGBTI offenders are housed case by case, just like all other offenders. He advised housing LGBTI offenders in one facility, unit or wing would violate policy and the PREA standards. Interviews with LGBTI offenders confirmed four of the five felt that they were not placed in any specific housing unit, facility or wing based on their sexual preference and/or gender identity. A review of housing assignments for offenders who identified as LGBTI confirmed they were housed among numerous housing units within the facility. Based on a review of the PAQ, D1-8.13, IS5-2.3, IS18-1.1, IS5.3.1, Transgender Offender Protocol, Transgender Committee Review, High Risk Victim and High Risk Abuser Assignments, Transgender/Intersex Offender Biannual Reviews, LGBTI Offender Housing Documents, observations from the tour, and information from interviews with the PC, PCM, staff responsible for the risk screenings and LGBTI offenders, this standard appears to be compliant. Recommendation The auditor highly recommends the facility have staff review the Alpha and Sigma housing assignments routinely (i.e. once a week) to ensure housing is appropriate and to alleviate any oversight due to human error.
--	---

115.43	Protective Custody
---------------	---------------------------

Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard

Auditor Discussion

Documents:

1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire
2. D1-8.13 Offender Sexual Abuse and Harassment
3. Housing Assignments for High Risk Offenders

Documents:

1. Interview with the Warden
2. Interview with the Staff Who Supervisor Offenders in Segregated Housing

Site Review Observations:

1. Observation of the Segregated Housing Unit

Findings (By Provision):

115.43 (a): The PAQ indicated the agency has a policy that prohibits placing offenders at high risk of sexual victimization in involuntary segregated housing unless an assessment has been made, and there has been a determination that there is no available alternative means of separation from likely abusers. The PAQ indicated there have been zero instances where offenders have been placed in involuntary segregated housing due to their risk of sexual victimization. D1-8.13, page 15 states following an allegation of offender sexual abuse or if an offender is assessed as being at high risk of victimization, the shift supervisor shall ensure the offender is housed in the least restrictive housing available to ensure safety. The assessment for least restrictive housing shall occur within 24 hours of the allegation or the offender being identified as at risk. The interview with the Warden confirmed that the agency has a policy that prohibits placing offenders at high risk of victimization in segregated housing unless there are no other available alternative means of separation of likely abusers. A review of documentation for high risk offenders (Sigma) indicated numerous were housed in segregated housing, however they were housed there due to discipline and/or they requested protective custody. None were segregated due to risk of victimization.

115.43 (b): D1-8.13, page 15 states if the assessment of least restrictive housing is due to an allegation of sexual abuse or sexual harassment the shift supervisor shall note the recommended housing option on the PREA allegation notification penetration/non-penetration event checklist. If segregation is recommended, the shift supervisor shall note on the PREA notification checklist the reason no alternative means of housing separation can be arranged and the offender victim shall be placed in segregated housing in accordance with institutional services procedures regarding temporary administrative segregation confinement and administrative segregation. During the tour the auditor observed the segregated housing unit. The housing unit included four wings with cells and single showers. A hearing room, property room and observation cells were also observed in segregated housing. Offenders in segregated housing have out of cell time via recreation (three times a week) and showers (three times a week). Phone access is requested through the case manager. Offenders have access to their tablets in segregated housing. Grievances and mail are provided to case managers and/or security staff during their daily rounds. The interview with the staff who supervise offenders in segregated housing confirmed that offenders placed in involuntary segregated housing due to risk of victimization would have equal access to program, privileges, education and work opportunities to the extent possible. The staff noted they would have access to programs and education, but other privileges and work would be restricted under policy. The staff noted that any restrictions would be documented on the ICR files per guidelines for segregated housing. There were zero offenders at high risk of victimization in segregated housing due to their risk of victimization and as such no interviews were conducted. It should be noted the auditor did interview offenders in segregated housing as part of the random representative sample.

115.43 (c): D1-8.13, page 15 states assignment to involuntary segregation housing shall not ordinarily exceed a period of 30 days. The PAQ indicated there have been zero instances where offenders have been placed in involuntary segregated housing due to their risk of sexual victimization. The Warden confirmed that the facility would only assign offenders to involuntary segregated housing only until an alternative means of separation from likely abusers can be arranged. She stated it would not take long to find alternative housing. She stated they would never involuntarily segregate a victim and the only way they would end up in segregated housing would be if they were on a mental health watch (segregation is where the mental health observation cells are located). The interview with the staff who supervise offenders in segregated housing advised the facility would only assign offenders to involuntary segregated housing only until an alternative means of separation from likely abusers can be arranged. She stated the whole point is to keep the offender in the least restrictive housing, unless they request protective custody. She advised they would typically be able to find alternative housing within 24 hours.

115.43 (d): The PAQ indicated there have been zero instances where offenders have been placed in involuntary segregated housing due to their risk of sexual

	victimization. D1-8.13, page 15 states if the assessment of least restrictive housing is due to an allegation of sexual abuse or sexual harassment the shift supervisor shall note the recommended housing option on the PREA allegation notification penetration/non-penetration event checklist. If segregation is recommended, the shift supervisor shall note on the PREA notification checklist the reason no alternative means of housing separation can be arranged and the offender victim shall be placed in segregated housing in accordance with institutional services procedures regarding temporary administrative segregation confinement and administrative segregation. A review of documentation for high risk offenders (Sigma) indicated numerous were housed in segregated housing, however they were housed there due to discipline and/or they requested protective custody. None were segregated due to risk of victimization. 115.43 (e): The PAQ indicated if an involuntary segregated housing assignment is made, the facility affords each such offender a review every 30 days to determine whether there is a continuing need for separation from the general population. D1-8.13, page 15 states every 30 days, the offender shall be afforded a review to determine whether there is a continuing need for separation from the general population in accordance with institutional services procedures regarding segregation units and protective custody. The interview with the staff who supervise offenders in segregated housing confirmed that they would be reviewed at least every 30 days. Based on a review of the PAQ, D1-8.13, housing assignments for high risk offenders, observations from the facility tour as well as information from interviews with the Warden and staff who supervise offenders in segregated housing, this standard appears to be compliant.
--	--

115.51	Inmate reporting
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	Documents: 1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire 2. D1-8.13 Offender Sexual Abuse and Harassment 3. D1-8.9 Crime Tips and PREA Hotlines 4. Statutes of Missouri 217.410 5. Offender Rulebook

6. Farmington Correctional Center Reception and Orientation Manual (Manual)
7. PREA Brochure
8. PREA Posters
9. Department of Public Safety (DPS) Poster
10. Employee Handbook
11. C.L.E.A.R. Line Poster
12. Memorandum of Understanding with Department of Public Safety Crime Victims Unit
13. Interoffice Communication (IOC)

Interviews:

1. Interviews with Random Staff
2. Interviews with Random Offenders
3. Interview with the PREA Compliance Manager

Site Review Observations:

1. Observation of Posted PREA Information

Findings (By Provision):

115.51 (a): The PAQ stated that the agency has established procedures for allowing multiple internal ways for offenders to report privately to agency officials; sexual abuse or sexual harassment; retaliation by other offenders or staff for reporting sexual abuse or sexual harassment; and staff neglect or violation of responsibilities that may have contributed to such incidents. D1-8.13, pages 11-12 state each facility shall provide multiple ways for offenders to make anonymous reports of allegations of offender sexual abuse and harassment, retaliation, staff member neglect, and violation of responsibilities that may have contributed to an incident of offender sexual abuse, to include but not limited to: informal resolution request (IRR), grievance process, or offender complaint, a staff member, PREA hotline, and advocacy agency. Offenders may make anonymous reports of allegations of offender sexual abuse to the Department of Public Safety, Crimes Victims Services Unit. All offender mail addressed to the Crimes Victims Services Unit shall be treated as

confidential mail and not subject to examination. Facilities shall maintain strict policies prohibiting mailroom staff from revealing to staff members or administrators the fact that an offender sent correspondence to the sexual abuse reporting entity. A review of the Offender Rulebook and Manual noted that they outline reporting methods, including verbally or in writing to staff, through the PREA hotline (number provided) and/or by writing to the DPS Crime Victims Services Unit. A review of the PREA Brochure noted that it includes information on reporting methods, including verbally or in writing to staff, through the PREA hotline (number provided) and/or by writing to the DPS Crime Victims Services Unit. A review of the PREA Posters indicated they included information on reporting methods, including verbally or in writing to staff, through the PREA hotline (number provided) and/or by writing to the DPS Crime Victims Services Unit. A review of the DPS Poster noted that it included information on reporting mechanism, including to staff, through the PREA hotline, and anonymously to DPS. The auditor observed PREA information posted throughout the facility via the PREA Posters, TIPS Poster, Department of Public Safety (DPS) Poster and PREA Advocacy Poster. The PREA Posters were observed in English and Spanish on letter size paper. The DPS Poster was in English on letter size paper. The TIPS Poster was in English on letter size paper. The PREA Advocacy Poster was on letter size paper in English and Spanish. The auditor observed that all housing units had a PREA Bulletin Board area with the posted information. PREA information was also observed on the offender tablet system. The PREA Brochure, Rulebook, DPS Poster, TIPS Poster, Third Party Reporting Poster, PREA Advocacy Poster and PREA Posters were observed on the tablet system. Further, the auditor observed the PREA Brochure was displayed for offenders to take in mental health, the library, vocation and a few other locations. The auditor tested the internal reporting mechanisms during the tour. The auditor called the PREA hotline on March 14, 2026 from a phone in an offender housing unit with assistance from an offender. The offender dialed "1" for a collect call, then was prompted to enter his pin number and then entered the hotline number. The auditor left a message on the PREA hotline voicemail. The auditor was provided confirmation on March 16, 2026 that the call was received and processed by the PC. The auditor also tested the written reporting mechanism. The auditor had an offender submit a test email to the PREA email on the tablet on March 14, 2026. The auditor received confirmation on March 16, 2026 that the email was received and was provided to the PC. Interviews with 40 offenders confirmed that all 40 were aware of at least one method to report sexual abuse and sexual harassment. Offenders advised they would report through the hotline, to staff or through their tablet (email). Interviews with thirteen staff confirmed that offenders can report to staff and through the hotline.

115.51 (b): The PAQ stated that the agency provides at least one way for offenders to report abuse or harassment to a public entity or office that is not part of the agency. The PAQ indicated that offenders are not detained solely for civil immigration purpose. D1-8.13, page 12 states offenders may make anonymous reports of allegations of offender sexual abuse to the Department of Public Safety, Crimes Victims Services Unit. All offender mail addressed to the Crimes Victims Services Unit shall be treated as confidential mail and not subject to examination. Facilities shall

maintain strict policies prohibiting mailroom staff from revealing to staff members or administrators the fact that an offender sent correspondence to the sexual abuse reporting entity. The MOU with the Department of Public Safety notes that DPS shall receive written correspondence of allegations of offender sexual abuse and harassment and that all written correspondence shall be documented in the SharePoint application. DPS staff will send alerts to agency staff notifying of the correspondence and recorded information in SharePoint. A review of the Offender Rulebook and Manual noted that they outline reporting methods, including verbally or in writing to staff, through the PREA hotline (number provided) and/or by writing to the DPS Crime Victims Services Unit. A review of the PREA Brochure noted that it includes information on reporting methods, including verbally or in writing to staff, through the PREA hotline (number provided) and/or by writing to the DPS Crime Victims Services Unit. A review of the PREA Posters indicated they included information on reporting methods, including verbally or in writing to staff, through the PREA hotline (number provided) and/or by writing to the DPS Crime Victims Services Unit. A review of the DPS Poster noted that it included information on reporting mechanism, including to staff, through the PREA hotline, and anonymously to DPS. The DPS Poster states offenders can report anonymously by writing to DPS and that offenders do not have to include their name or number on the envelop and the envelop does not need to be sealed. The auditor observed PREA information posted throughout the facility via the PREA Posters, TIPS Poster, Department of Public Safety (DPS) Poster and PREA Advocacy Poster. The PREA Posters were observed in English and Spanish on letter size paper. The DPS Poster was in English on letter size paper. The TIPS Poster was in English on letter size paper. The PREA Advocacy Poster was on letter size paper in English and Spanish. The auditor observed that all housing units had a PREA Bulletin Board area with the posted information. PREA information was also observed on the offender tablet system. The PREA Brochure, Rulebook, DPS Poster, TIPS Poster, Third Party Reporting Poster, PREA Advocacy Poster and PREA Posters were observed on the tablet system. Further, the auditor observed the PREA Brochure was displayed for offenders to take in mental health, the library, vocation and a few other locations. During the tour the auditor observed the mail process. A locked box is located in each housing building where offenders can place mail. The mailroom staff indicated that incoming regular mail from family and friends is electronic and comes in through the JPay system. Staff review all incoming electronic regular mail prior to it being released to the offender tablet. Legal mail is received by the facility where staff verify the offender is at the facility. The legal mail is provide to the case workers who take it to the housing units. The offender opens the mail in front of the case worker. The case worker makes a copy of the legal mail and provides the offender the copy. The original is not read/reviewed and is shredded. Outgoing electronic regular mail goes through the JPay system. Staff review outgoing mail prior to it being released to the recipient. Outgoing regular physical mail is provided to the mailroom unsealed. All outgoing regular mail is opened and reviewed by staff. Legal mail is provided to the mailroom sealed and is not opened. The mailroom staff advised that mail to DPS is treated like legal mail. The auditor tested the external reporting mechanism via a letter to DPS. The auditor sent a letter to DPS. The auditor obtained an envelope and sent a letter to DPS. The auditor observed the mailing address on the numerous PREA Posters. Residents are able to remain anonymous as

the letter does not require a return address. Additionally, it does not require postage. The DPS is utilized for numerous services and as such they are not just an organization to report sexual abuse. The auditor received confirmation within two weeks that the letter was received by the Department of Public Safety. The DPS staff advised she would scan the letter and send it to the MO DOC PREA office. She further confirmed that offenders can remain anonymous when reporting. The interview with the PCM indicated that offenders can report externally through RAINN or the justice center. He stated that information reported to the external entities would typically be provided back through central office to the PREA unit. The PREA unit would then notify the facility. Interviews with 40 offenders indicated ten were aware that they could report to DPS as an outside reporting mechanism and ten stated they knew they could report anonymously. While offender advised they were unaware of this information it was clearly posted around the facility and was available on the tablet.

115.51 (c): The PAQ indicated that the agency has a policy mandating that staff accept reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment made verbally, in writing, anonymously and from third parties. The PAQ also indicated that staff document verbal reports immediately. D1-8.13, page 12 states all allegations including anonymous, third party, verbal, or allegations made in writing shall be accepted and moved forward in accordance with the offender sexual abuse coordinated response outlined in this procedure. Page 14 further states all allegations of offender sexual abuse and/or harassment, including third party and anonymous reports, shall immediately be forwarded to the shift supervisor to initiate the coordinated response utilizing the applicable PREA allegation notification penetration/non-penetration event checklist. The Employee Handbook, page 21 advises that when an employee has reason to believe that an offender has been abused, they must immediately report all pertinent details in writing to their supervisor or chief administrative officer. During the tour, the auditor asked staff to demonstrate how they would document a verbal report of sexual abuse. Staff indicated all verbal reports would be documented in an Interoffice Communication (IOC). The IOC would be completed on the computer and printed out. The IOC would then be submitted to the Shift Commander. Interviews with 40 offenders confirmed all 40 knew they could report allegations of sexual abuse verbally or in writing to staff and 31 knew they could report via a third party. Interviews with thirteen random staff confirmed that offenders can report verbally, in writing, anonymously and through a third party. The staff stated that they would document verbal reports in an IOC. A review of twelve investigations indicated six were reported verbally to a facility staff member. All six were documented via an IOC and/or a PREA Checklist.

115.51 (d): The PAQ indicated that the agency has established procedures for staff to privately report sexual abuse and sexual harassment of offenders and staff are informed of these procedures through policy, the employee handbook, posters, handouts and the agency website. A review of C.L.E.A.R Line Poster notes that staff are advised there is a zero tolerance and they can report through the chain of

	command, by contacting the Civil Rights Officer, and by calling the C.L.E.A.R. line to make a confidential report to the Office of Professional Standards (phone and email provided). The Employee Handbook, page 6 also states that staff can make a confidential report by calling the reporting hotline. Interviews with thirteen staff indicated thirteen knew they could privately report sexual abuse and sexual harassment of offenders. Most staff stated that they could report through the C.L.E.A.R line. Based on a review of the PAQ, D1-8.13, D1-8.9, Statute of Missouri 217.410, Offender Rulebook, PREA Brochure, PREA Posters, DPS Poster, Employee Handbook, C.L.E.A.R. Line Poster, Memorandum of Understanding with Department of Public Safety Crime Victims Unit, Interoffice Communication/PREA Checklist, observations during the tour, and information from interviews with the PC, random residents and random staff, this standard appears to be compliant.
--	---

115.52	Exhaustion of administrative remedies
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	Documents: 1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire 2. D1-8.13 Offender Sexual Abuse and Harassment 3. D5-3.2 Offender Grievance 4. Informal Resolution Request Form 5. Offender Grievance Form 6. Offender Grievance Appeal Form 7. Grievance Log 8. Sample Grievances Interviews: 1. Interviews with Offenders who Reported Sexual Abuse

Findings (By Provision):

115.52 (a): The PAQ indicated that the agency is not exempt from this standard.

115.52 (b): The PAQ indicated that agency policy or procedure allows an offender to submit a grievance regarding an allegation of sexual abuse at any time, regardless of when the incident is alleged to have occurred. Additionally, it indicated that the policy does not require an offender to use the informal grievance process, or otherwise attempt to resolve with staff, an alleged incident of sexual abuse. D1-8.13, page 12 states the department shall not require an offender to use any informal grievance or complaint process, or to otherwise attempt to resolve with staff members, an alleged incident of sexual abuse. The department shall not impose a time limit for an offender submitting a grievance or complaint regarding an allegation of sexual abuse. The department may apply otherwise applicable time limits to any portion of a grievance or complaint that does not allege an incident of sexual abuse in accordance with the department procedure regarding offender grievance, institutional investigations, and office of professional standards. D5-3.2, page 17 states the department shall not impose a time limit on when an offender may submit a complaint regarding an allegation of offender sexual abuse. The department shall not require an offender to use the informal grievance process or to otherwise attempt to resolve with staff members, an alleged incident of offender sexual abuse. Offenders are advised of the grievance process at orientation and have access to the grievance policy through the library.

115.52 (c): The PAQ indicated that agency policy and procedure allow an offender to submit a grievance alleging sexual abuse without submitting it to the staff member who is subject of the complaint. Additionally, the PAQ indicated that policy and procedure require that an offender grievance alleging sexual abuse not be referred to the staff member who is the subject of the complaint. D1-8.13, pages 12-13 state the department shall ensure that an offender who alleges sexual abuse may submit a complaint to a staff member who is not the subject of the complaint and the grievance or complaint is not referred to a staff member who is the subject of the complaint. Staff members are to address grievances or complaints for allegations of sexual abuse and harassment in accordance with the department procedure regarding offender grievance, institutional investigations, and office of professional standards. D5-3.2, pages 17-18 state an offender who alleges offender sexual abuse may submit an offender grievance, or offender grievance appeal without submitting it to a staff member who is subject to the complaint. A complaint of sexual abuse against a staff member shall not be referred to the staff member for response, nor shall that staff member be the respondent of the complaint. Offenders are advised of the grievance process at orientation and have access to the grievance policy through the library.

115.52 (d): The PAQ indicated that agency policy and procedure require that a decision on the merits of any grievance or portion of a grievance alleging sexual abuse be made within 90 days of the filing of the grievance. The PAQ indicated that there were zero grievances of sexual abuse in the previous twelve months. D5-3.2, page 18 states offender grievances alleging sexual abuse shall be processed as follows, the complaint shall be reviewed by the CAO or the PREA site coordinator for determination on if the complaint shall be treated as a PREA grievance or PREA emergency grievance. If determined to be a non-emergency the CAO or designee shall respond within 30 calendar days of receipt. An extension of time to respond, of up to 70 calendar days, may be claimed if the normal time period for response is insufficient to make an appropriate decision. Interviews with offenders who reported sexual abuse indicated none reported an allegation via a grievance. A review of the grievance log noted that there were zero grievances of sexual abuse. The auditor identified three grievances initially coded as sexual harassment, however a review of the grievances noted they did not meet the definition of sexual abuse or sexual harassment under the PREA standards. It should be noted that all three grievances had a response within 90 days.

115.52 (e): The PAQ indicated that agency policy and procedure permit third parties, including fellow offenders, staff members, family members, attorneys, and outside advocates, to assist offenders in filing grievances for administrative remedies related to allegations of sexual abuse and to file such request on behalf of offenders. It also states that agency policy and procedure require that if the offender declines to have third-party assistance in filing a grievance of sexual abuse, the agency documents the offender's decision to decline. The PAQ indicated there were zero grievances filed by offenders in the previous twelve months in which the offender declined third-party assistance. D5-3.2, page 18 states third parties, including fellow offenders, staff members, family members, attorneys, and outside advocates, shall be permitted to assist offenders in filing requests for grievances or appeals relating to allegations of offender sexual abuse. This assistance cannot interfere with the safety and security of the institution. Page 19 states if the offender declines to have the request processed on his behalf, the CCM shall document the offender's decision and the complaint shall be considered withdrawn for grievance purposes. A review of the grievance log noted that there were zero grievances of sexual abuse filed by a third party.

115.52 (f): The PAQ indicated that the agency has a policy and established procedures for filing an emergency grievance alleging that an offender is subject to substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse. It also indicated that an initial response is required within 48 hours and a final agency decision be issued within five days. The PAQ stated there were zero grievances alleging imminent risk of sexual abuse over the previous twelve months. D5-3.2, page 1 states when a staff member receives the completed grievance form from the offender, the staff member shall record receipt of

	the form in accordance with this procedure and it shall be taken to the CAO, PREA site coordinator or designee immediately for possible investigation or inquiry. If the CAO or the PREA site coordinator determines that the complaint meets the definition of a PREA emergency grievance, the grievance shall be addressed as follows, the CAO or designee shall prepare an initial response which shall be attached to the grievance and provided to the offender within 48 hours of receipt of the initial filing date. The offender shall sign and date the response. A final response from the CAO or designee shall be provided to the offender within 5 calendar days from the initial filing date. The offender shall sign and date the form. A review of the grievance log noted that there were zero grievances of imminent risk of sexual abuse. 115.52 (g): The PAQ indicated that the agency has a written policy that limits its ability to discipline an offender for filing a grievance alleging sexual abuse to occasions where the agency demonstrates that the offender filed the grievance in bad faith. The PAQ noted there were zero offenders grievances alleging sexual abuse that resulted in disciplinary action by the agency against the offender for having filed the grievance in bad faith. Based on a review of the PAQ, D1-8.13, D5-3.2, Informal Resolution Request Form, Offender Grievance Form, Offender Grievance Appeal Form, Grievance Log, Sample Grievances, and information from interviews with offenders who reported sexual abuse, this standard appears to be compliant.
--	---

115.53 Inmate access to outside confidential support services	
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	Documents: 1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire 2. D1-8.13 Offender Sexual Abuse and Harassment 3. PREA Advocacy Poster 4. Department of Public Safety (DPS) Poster 5. Consent for Facility Advocacy Services Form 6. Agreement with SEMO Family Violence Council 7. Correspondence from SEMO Family Violence Council

Interviews:

1. Interviews with Random Offenders
2. Interviews with Offenders who Reported Sexual Abuse

Site Review Observations:

1. Observations of Victim Advocacy Information

Findings (By Provision):

115.53 (a): The PAQ indicated the facility provides offenders with access to outside victim advocates for emotional support services related to sexual abuse by; giving offenders mailing addresses and phone numbers for local, state or national victim advocacy or rape crisis organizations; and enabling reasonable communication between offenders and these organizations in as confidential a manner as possible. The PAQ indicated that the agency does not detain offenders solely for immigration purposes and as such this part of the provision does not apply. D1-8.13, page 21 states facilities shall make available to offenders mailing addresses, telephone numbers, including toll-free hotline numbers, where available, of local, state, or national victim advocacy or rape crisis organizations. A review of the PREA Advocacy Poster notes that it includes contact information (phone number and mailing address) for JDI and RAINN. A review of the DPS Poster notes that it includes the phone number and mailing address to JDI and the mailing address for RAINN. The DPS Poster advises that letters to JDI and RAINN will be confidential and not subject to examination by staff. Further, the DPS Posters states that phone calls may be monitored. The Consent for Facility Advocacy Services notes that offenders sign a form that outlines that confidentiality is maintained during advocacy sessions with the exceptions of: plans to harm self or others, plans for escape, risk of suicide and/or disclosure of information that creates a concern for safety and security of the facility or staff. A review of the letter from SEMO noted that they will continue to conduct calls with their established process and they will provide offenders with educational materials regarding sexual assault. The letters advise that they prefer not to share their direct contact information with the offenders and that the facility continue to manage all correspondence between the offender and SEMO to ensure streamlined communication. SEMO does not allow for distribution of their phone number and mailing address and as such the facility provides JDI and RAINN contact information. The auditor observed PREA information posted throughout the facility via the PREA Posters, TIPS Poster, Department of Public Safety (DPS) Poster and PREA Advocacy Poster. The PREA Posters were observed in English and Spanish on letter size paper. The DPS Poster was in English on letter size paper. The TIPS Poster was in English on

letter size paper. The PREA Advocacy Poster was on letter size paper in English and Spanish. The auditor observed that all housing units had a PREA Bulletin Board area with the posted information. PREA information was also observed on the offender tablet system. The PREA Brochure, Rulebook, DPS Poster, TIPS Poster, Third Party Reporting Poster, PREA Advocacy Poster and PREA Posters were observed on the tablet system. Further, the auditor observed the PREA Brochure was displayed for offenders to take in mental health, the library, vocation and a few other locations. The facility provides access to emotional support services through JDI and RAINN. The phone numbers and mailing addresses to JDI and RAINN are provided via the PREA Advocacy Poster. Offenders can send correspondence via legal mail. Offenders can call the hotline numbers, but they are required to pay for these calls. Offenders are advised the calls are monitored. The auditor was unable to test the hotline due to the cost to the offenders. The auditor did review the mail process to confirm access via written correspondence. Interviews with 40 offenders, including those who reported sexual abuse, indicated ten were familiar with outside emotional support services and 26 were provided a mailing address and telephone number to the organization.

115.53 (b): The PAQ stated that the facility informs offenders, prior to giving them access to outside support services, the extent to which such communication will be monitored. It also states that the facility informs offenders about mandatory reporting rules governing privacy, confidentiality and/or privilege that apply to disclosures of sexual abuse made to outside victim advocates. D1-8.13, pages 20-21 state offenders shall be allowed to communicate with an advocate by mail or special visit in a confidential manner as possible to maintain safety and security of the institution. Before being given access to a victim advocate, the offenders shall be informed of the extent to which communications shall be monitored and the extent to which reports of abuse shall be forwarded to authorities in accordance with mandatory reporting laws. Outside victim advocates shall be allowed to arrange special visits with the offender victim in the facilities on non-visitation days. All visits shall be arranged through the PREA site coordinator or designee. A review of the PREA Advocacy Poster notes that it includes contact information (phone number and mailing address) for JDI and RAINN. The PREA Advocacy Poster advised that mail to JDI and RAINN is confidential. A review of the DPS Poster notes that it includes the phone number and mailing address to JDI and the mailing address for RAINN. The DPS Poster advises that letters to JDI and RAINN will be confidential and not subject to examination by staff. Further, the DPS Posters states that phone calls may be monitored. The Consent for Facility Advocacy Services notes that offenders sign a form that outlines that confidentiality is maintained during advocacy sessions with the exceptions of: plans to harm self or others, plans for escape, risk of suicide and/or disclosure of information that creates a concern for safety and security of the facility or staff. A review of the letter from SEMO noted that they will continue to conduct calls with their established process and they will provide offenders with educational materials regarding sexual assault. The letters advise that they prefer not to share their direct contact information with the offenders and that the facility continue to manage all correspondence between the offender and SEMO to ensure streamlined

communication. The auditor observed PREA information posted throughout the facility via the PREA Posters, TIPS Poster, Department of Public Safety (DPS) Poster and PREA Advocacy Poster. The PREA Posters were observed in English and Spanish on letter size paper. The DPS Poster was in English on letter size paper. The TIPS Poster was in English on letter size paper. The PREA Advocacy Poster was on letter size paper in English and Spanish. The auditor observed that all housing units had a PREA Bulletin Board area with the posted information. PREA information was also observed on the offender tablet system. The PREA Brochure, Rulebook, DPS Poster, TIPS Poster, Third Party Reporting Poster, PREA Advocacy Poster and PREA Posters were observed on the tablet system. Further, the auditor observed the PREA Brochure was displayed for offenders to take in mental health, the library, vocation and a few other locations. During the tour the auditor observed the mail process. A locked box is located in each housing building where offenders can place mail. The mailroom staff indicated that incoming regular mail from family and friends is electronic and comes in through the JPay system. Staff review all incoming electronic regular mail prior to it being released to the offender tablet. Legal mail is received by the facility where staff verify the offender is at the facility. The legal mail is provide to the case workers who take it to the housing units. The offender opens the mail in front of the case worker. The case worker makes a copy of the legal mail and provides the offender the copy. The original is not read/reviewed and is shredded. Outgoing electronic regular mail goes through the JPay system. Staff review outgoing mail prior to it being released to the recipient. Outgoing regular physical mail is provided to the mailroom unsealed. All outgoing regular mail is opened and reviewed by staff. Legal mail is provided to the mailroom sealed and is not opened. The mailroom staff advised that mail to the rape crisis centers is treated like legal mail. Interviews with 40 offenders, including those who reported sexual abuse, indicated ten were familiar with outside emotional support services and 26 were provided a mailing address and telephone number to the organization.

115.53 (c): The PAQ indicated that the agency or facility maintains MOUs or other agreements with community service providers that are able to provide offenders with emotional services related to sexual abuse and the agency/facility maintains copies of those agreements. D1-8.13, page 21 states each facility shall attempt to enter into a memorandum of understanding (MOU) with a rape crisis center to provide advocacy services in accordance with the department's procedure regarding professional and general services contracts. The agency has an agreement with SEMO Family Violence Council that was signed on January 20, 2026. The agency maintains copies of the MOU. It should be noted that MOU does not specifically outline the elements under this standard, but rather for 115.21. A review of the correspondence from SEMO noted that they will continue to conduct calls with their established process and they will provide offenders with educations materials regarding sexual assault. The letters advises that they prefer not to share their direct contact information with the offenders and that the facility continue to manage all correspondence between the offender and SEMO to ensure streamlined communication. The facility advised that they have agreed to provide services as required under PREA standard 115.21, but do

	not want to share their contact information with the offender population. As such, the facility utilizes JDI and RAINN contact information. Based on a review of the PAQ, D1-8.13, PREA Advocacy Poster, DPS Poster, Agreement with SEMO Family Violence Council, Consent for Facility Advocacy Services Form, Correspondence from SEMO, observations from the facility and interviews with random offenders and offenders who reported sexual abuse, this standard appears to be compliant.
--	--

115.54	Third-party reporting
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	Documents: 1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire 2. D1-8.13 Offender Sexual Abuse and Harassment 3. PREA Third Party Reporting Poster 4. Photos of the PREA Third Party Reporting Poster Findings (By Provision): 115.54 (a): The PAQ indicated that the agency or facility provides a method to receive third-party reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment and publicly distributes that information on how to report sexual abuse and sexual harassment on behalf of an offender. The PAQ indicated the method is through the agency website. D1-8.13, page 12 states all allegations including anonymous, third party, verbal, or allegations made in writing shall be accepted and moved forward in accordance with the offender sexual abuse coordinated response outlined in this procedure. Page 14 further states all allegations of offender sexual abuse and/or harassment, including third party and anonymous reports, shall immediately be forwarded to the shift supervisor to initiate the coordinated response utilizing the applicable PREA allegation notification penetration/non-penetration event checklist. A review of the agency's website confirms that it includes information on reporting and outlines that friends and family may report offender sexual abuse and harassment by calling (573-526-9003), by writing the PREA Unit (address included) or by emailing (DOC.PREA@doc.mo.gov). A review of the PREA Third Party Reporting Poster notes that it that friends, family, or

	anyone outside of the facility may report sexual abuse or harassment for an offender. It advises to contact the PREA Unit by calling, writing or emailing (contact information provided). Third party reporting information was not observed in visitation or the front entrance. It should be noted that the visitation area was being painted during the on-site portion of the audit and as such posted information was removed to allow staff and offenders to paint. The auditor tested the third party reporting mechanism. The auditor sent an email to the email address found on the agency website. The auditor received confirmation from the PREA Coordinator on the same date that the email was received directly by him and that the information would be forwarded to the facility PREA Compliance Manager to initiate the coordinated response and submit a Report for Investigation (RFI). After the on-site portion of the audit the facility posted the Third Party Reporting Poster in visitation and the front entrance. The Third Party Reporting Poster was in English on letter size paper. Photos were provided as confirmation. Based on a review of the PAQ, D1-8.13, PREA Third Party Reporting Poster, Photos of the PREA Third Party Reporting Poster, the agency website, and the functional test, this standard appears to be compliant.
--	---

115.61	Staff and agency reporting duties
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	Documents: 1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire 2. D1-8.13 Offender Sexual Abuse and Harassment 3. D2-11.10 Staff Member Conduct 4. D1-8.1 Office of Professional Standards 5. Statue of Missouri 630.005 6. Statue of Missouri 630.163 7. Statue of Missouri 210.115 8. PREA Healthcare Duty to Report Form 9. Investigative Reports

Interviews:

1. Interviews with Random Staff
2. Interviews with Medical and Mental Health Staff
3. Interview with the Warden
4. Interview with the PREA Coordinator

Findings (By Provision):

115.61 (a): The PAQ stated that the agency required all staff to report immediately and according to agency policy; any knowledge, suspicion or information they receive regarding an incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment that occurred in a facility, whether or not it is part of the agency; any retaliation against offenders or staff who reported such an incident; and any staff neglect or violation of responsibilities that may have contributed to an incident or retaliation. D1-8.13, page 6 states failure to report offender sexual abuse is a Class A misdemeanor in accordance with Missouri state statute. All staff members, shall immediately report any knowledge, suspicion, or information regarding an incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment that occurred in a facility and any knowledge of retaliation against offenders or staff members who reported such an incident and any staff member neglect or violation of responsibilities that may have contributed to an incident or retaliation in accordance with this procedure. D2-11.10, page 6 states staff members having knowledge of any instances of offender or resident abuse or sexual contact with an offender or resident shall immediately report such to the office of professional standards in accordance with the department procedures regarding offender physical abuse and offender sexual abuse and harassment. Interviews with thirteen random staff confirmed that they are required to report any knowledge, suspicion or information regarding an incident of sexual abuse and/or sexual harassment and any staff neglect or violation of responsibilities that may have contributed to an incident or retaliation.

115.61 (b): The PAQ indicated that apart from reporting to designated supervisors or officials and designated state or local service agencies, agency policy prohibits staff from revealing any information related to a sexual abuse report to anyone other than the extent necessary to make treatment, investigation and other security and management decision. D1-8.13, page 6 states staff members are prohibited from revealing any information related to an allegation of offender sexual abuse or harassment other than to the extent necessary to make treatment, investigation, and other security and management decisions. D1-8.1, page 4 states after a request for investigation has been submitted, all staff members having knowledge of the matters under investigation are prohibited from disclosing any details about the matters except during interviews that occur as part of an investigation or inquiry. Interviews

with thirteen random staff confirmed that they are required to report any knowledge, suspicion or information regarding an incident of sexual abuse and/or sexual harassment and any staff neglect or violation of responsibilities that may have contributed to an incident or retaliation. Staff stated that they would immediately report the information to the supervisor and PCM.

115.61 (c): D1-8.13, page 6 states medical and mental health staff members shall inform offenders at the initiation of services of the practitioner's duty to report in accordance with statutes. Page 12 further states all health services staff members shall be required to report sexual abuse and to inform the offender of the practitioner's duty to report prior to the initiation of services. A review of the PREA Healthcare Duty to Report form notes that offenders sign a form that outlines that staff are required to report any knowledge, suspicion, or information regarding an incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment, retaliation against offenders or staff who report such incident and any staff neglect or violation of responsibilities that may have contributed to an incident or retaliation in a correctional setting. It further outlines that patient and practitioner confidentiality does not apply to these scenarios as well as any mandatory reporting (under eighteen or vulnerable adults). Interviews with medical and mental health care staff confirmed that at the initiation of services with an offender they disclose their limitation of confidentiality and their duty to report. Both stated they are required to report any allegation, incident or information related to sexual abuse that occurred within an institutional setting. Both staff indicated that become aware of such information and reported the information to security. A review of twelve investigations indicated one was reported to medical or mental health care staff. The mental health care staff immediately notified security and completed a written report.

115.61 (d): The PC stated they have mandatory reporting laws and they would conduct an investigation and report as necessary. The interview with the Warden indicated they would conduct an investigation and notify the PC. She advised she was not sure of any mandatory reporting laws for youthful offenders and vulnerable adults. After the on-site portion of the audit the facility conducted training with administrative level staff, security supervisors, case managers, unit managers, investigators, medical staff and mental health staff on mandatory reporting laws. The training outlined that notification is required to the Missouri Social Services - Children's Division for those under eighteen and the Department of Health and Senior Services for those considered a vulnerable adult. Confirmation of the training was provided via staff signatures.

115.61 (e): D1-8.13, page 6 states failure to report offender sexual abuse is a Class A misdemeanor in accordance with Missouri state statute. All staff members, shall immediately report any knowledge, suspicion, or information regarding an incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment that occurred in a facility and any knowledge of

	retaliation against offenders or staff members who reported such an incident and any staff member neglect or violation of responsibilities that may have contributed to an incident or retaliation in accordance with this procedure. D2-11.10, page 6 states staff members having knowledge of any instances of offender or resident abuse or sexual contact with an offender or resident shall immediately report such to the office of professional standards in accordance with the department procedures regarding offender physical abuse and offender sexual abuse and harassment. The interview with the Warden confirmed that all allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment are reported to designated agency investigators. A review of twelve investigation indicated six were reported verbally to staff, two were reported in writing, two were reported by staff, and two were observed by staff through intelligence activities. All allegations were forwarded to the PREA Unit for investigation. Based on a review of the PAQ, D1-8.13, D2-11.10, D1-8.1, Statue of Missouri 630.005, Statue of Missouri 630.163, Statue of Missouri 210.115, Investigative Reports and information from interviews with random staff, the PREA Coordinator and the Warden, this standard appears to be compliant.
--	--

115.62	Agency protection duties
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	Documents: 1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire 2. D1-8.13 Offender Sexual Abuse and Harassment 3. Segregated Housing for Protective Custody Directive 4. Investigative Reports Interviews: 1. Interview with the Agency Head Designee 2. Interview with the Warden 3. Interviews with Random Staff

	Findings (By Provision): 115.62 (a): The PAQ indicated that when the agency or facility learns that an offender is subject to substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse, it takes immediate action to protect the offender. D1-8.13, page 15 states when an offender is believed to be in substantial risk of victimization, the shift supervisor shall assess the offender to ensure housing in the least restrictive housing. The PAQ stated that there have been zero offenders who were subject to substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse within the previous twelve months. The Segregated Housing for Protective Custody Directive states alleged victims of offender sexual abuse or offenders viewed as being at risk of victimization, in the absence of an allegation of offender sexual abuse, should not typically be assigned to Administrative Segregation for Protective Custody for no longer than a 30-day period. If the offender is an alleged victim of offender sexual abuse and was assigned to administrative segregation for protective custody, the committee will, review the offender's placement in segregated housing every 30 days to determine whether there is a continuing need for separation from general population and document the following on the Classification Hearing Form: the basis for the facility's concern for the offender's safety, the reason no alternative means of separation can be arranged, and work and programming assignments that the victim was participating and is now unable to attend due to Administrative Segregation assignment. The Agency Head Designee stated that if an offender was at imminent risk of sexual abuse they would separate the offender and get them to a safe place. He advised, if appropriate, they would change housing and/or work assignments, offer protective custody, etc. The Agency Head Designee noted staff are trained to use the least restrictive manner possible for separation. The Warden stated that if there was an offender deemed at imminent risk of sexual abuse they would secure the potential victim by removing him from the area immediately. She advised they would review what is going on and if they can identify a potential perpetrator they would remove him. She noted they do not punish the victim. Interviews with thirteen random staff confirmed that they would take immediate action, including moving the offender and contacting the supervisor/PCM. A review of documentation indicated that there were zero offenders deemed at imminent risk of sexual abuse. All sexual harassment incidents involved the facility taking immediate action. Based on a review of the PAQ, D1-8.13, Segregated Housing for Protective Custody Directive, investigative reports and interviews with the Agency Head Designee, Warden and random staff, this standard appears to be compliant.
--	---

115.63	Reporting to other confinement facilities
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard

Auditor Discussion

Documents:

1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire
2. D1-8.13 Offender Sexual Abuse and Harassment
3. Warden to Warden Notifications
4. Investigative Reports

Interviews:

1. Interview with the Agency Head Designee
2. Interview with the Warden

Findings (By Provision):

115.63 (a): The PAQ indicated that the agency has a policy that requires that upon receiving an allegation that an offender was sexually abused while confined at another facility, the head of the facility must notify the head of the facility or appropriate office of the agency or facility where sexual abuse is alleged to have occurred. The PAQ indicated that during the previous twelve months the facility had two offenders report that they were sexually abused while confined at another facility. D1-8.13, pages 14-15 state upon receiving information that an offender has been sexually abused while assigned at another department facility, the coordinated response for offender sexual abuse shall be immediately initiated as outlined in this procedure. Upon receiving an allegation that an offender was sexually abused while confined at a facility outside of the department, the CAO or designee or the appropriate office shall ensure the outside facility is notified of the allegation within 72 hours. The CAO or designee shall maintain documentation of the allegation received and when the outside facility was notified with the allegation. A review of documentation confirmed there were two allegations reported to have occurred at another facility. Both included a written notification to the facility where the incident occurred.

115.63 (b): The PAQ indicated that agency policy requires that the facility head provide such notifications as soon as possible, but not later than 72 ours after receiving the allegation. D1-8.13, pages 14-15 state upon receiving information that an offender has been sexually abused while assigned at another department facility, the coordinated response for offender sexual abuse shall be immediately initiated as

outlined in this procedure. Upon receiving an allegation that an offender was sexually abused while confined at a facility outside of the department, the CAO or designee or the appropriate office shall ensure the outside facility is notified of the allegation within 72 hours. The CAO or designee shall maintain documentation of the allegation received and when the outside facility was notified with the allegation. A review of documentation confirmed there were two allegations reported to have occurred at another facility. Both included a written notification to the facility where the incident occurred. One was within 72 hours. During the on-site portion of the audit the auditor spoke with the Warden and PCM about the 72 hour requirement and ensuring they meet this timeline moving forward.

115.63 (c): The PAQ indicated that the agency or facility documents that is has provided such notification within 72 hours of receiving the allegation. D1-8.13, pages 14-15 state upon receiving information that an offender has been sexually abused while assigned at another department facility, the coordinated response for offender sexual abuse shall be immediately initiated as outlined in this procedure. Upon receiving an allegation that an offender was sexually abused while confined at a facility outside of the department, the CAO or designee or the appropriate office shall ensure the outside facility is notified of the allegation within 72 hours. The CAO or designee shall maintain documentation of the allegation received and when the outside facility was notified with the allegation. A review of documentation confirmed there were two allegations reported to have occurred at another facility. Both included a written notification to the facility where the incident occurred.

115.63 (d): The PAQ indicated that the agency or facility requires that allegations received from other facilities/agencies are investigated in accordance with the PREA standards. The PAQ stated that there were seven allegations received from another Warden/Agency Head within the previous twelve months. D1-8.13, page 14 states upon receiving information that an offender has been sexually abused while assigned at another department facility, the coordinated response for offender sexual abuse shall be immediately initiated as outlined in this procedure. Page 18 further states the department shall ensure that administrative and/or criminal investigations are completed for all allegations of sexual abuse and repeated allegations of sexual harassment. The Agency Head Designee stated that they have site PREA Compliance Managers, who would serve as the point of contact at each facility. He advised that if they received an allegation from another agency/facility they would follow the same investigative process, where they would complete the checklist, have the information entered into IRIS and have an investigator assigned. The Agency Head Designee noted he did not know any specific examples, but know they have received these allegations in the past and they were investigated. The interview with the Warden indicated that if they received an allegation that an offender was abused while housed at the facility it would be handled through the PCM. She stated they would treat it in accordance of policy and see if it was already investigated. If it was not investigated it would be forwarded for investigation. She advised they have had

	allegations received from another facility/agency and they were investigated. A review of investigations and the investigative log confirmed the allegations received via Warden to Warden notification were forwarded to the PREA Unit for investigation. Based on a review of the PAQ, D1-8.13, Warden to Warden Notifications, Investigative Reports and interviews with the Agency Head Designee and Warden, this standard appears to be compliant.
--	---

115.64	Staff first responder duties
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	Documents: 1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire 2. D1-8.13 Offender Sexual Abuse and Harassment 3. PREA Training Curriculum 4. Investigative Reports Interviews: 1. Interviews with First Responders 2. Interviews with Random Staff 3. Interviews with Offenders who Reported Sexual Abuse Findings (By Provision): 115.64 (a). The PAQ indicated that the agency has a first responder policy for allegations of sexual abuse. The PAQ states that upon learning of an allegation that an offender was sexually abused, the first security staff member to respond to the report shall; separate the alleged victim and abuser; preserve and protect any crime scene until appropriate steps can be taken to collect any evidence, request that the alleged victim and ensure that the alleged perpetrator not take any action that could destroy physical evidence including washing, brushing teeth, changing clothes, urinating, defecating, smoking, eating or drinking. The PAQ indicated that during the

previous twelve months there were 25 allegations of sexual abuse and eight involved the immediate separation of victim and abuser. The PAQ noted that three were reported in a timeframe that allowed for evidence collection, two involved requesting the victim not take action to destroy evidence and three involved ensuring the perpetrator not take action to destroy evidence. D1-8.13, page 14 states in the event of an allegation of a penetration act, the first responder shall take the following steps. Ensure the safety of the victim. Request the victim not to take any actions that may destroy physical evidence including: washing, brushing teeth, changing clothes, urinating, defecating, smoking, drinking, or eating, when applicable. To the extent possible, ensure the alleged perpetrator does not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence including: washing, brushing teeth, changing clothes, urinating, defecating, smoking, drinking, or eating. The shift supervisor shall make telephone notifications and respond as outlined in the facility's coordinated response to offender sexual abuse protocol. A review of the PREA Training Curriculum confirms that staff are provided training on the first responder duties outlined under this standard (pages 25-26). The interview with the security first responder indicated he would separate the offenders, advise them not to shower, brush their teeth or get rid of any evidence, seal the cell and mark it as a crime scene, take the offender victim to medical, notify the supervisor, contact the advocate and get the SANE. The non-security first responder advised she would separate the victim from anyone else and contact the Shift Commander. Interviews with offenders who reported sexual abuse indicated none involved any immediate first responder duties. Two advised the allegation was reported by a third party, one reported he verbally reported and was moved to a different housing unit and another stated he verbally reported and he stayed in the same housing unit. A review of twelve investigations indicated two involved immediate first responder duties, including separating, preserving and instructing not to take action to destroy evidence.

115.64 (b): The PAQ stated that agency policy requires that if the first responder is not a security staff member, that responder shall be required to request the alleged victim not take any actions to destroy physical evidence, and then notify security staff. The PAQ indicated that during the previous twelve months there were thirteen allegations of sexual abuse that involved a non-security staff first responder. All thirteen involved the non-security staff request the victim not to take any action to destroy evidence and all thirteen were reported to security staff. D1-8.13, page 14 states in the event of an allegation of a penetration act, the first responder shall take the following steps. Ensure the safety of the victim. Request the victim not to take any actions that may destroy physical evidence including: washing, brushing teeth, changing clothes, urinating, defecating, smoking, drinking, or eating, when applicable. To the extent possible, ensure the alleged perpetrator does not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence including: washing, brushing teeth, changing clothes, urinating, defecating, smoking, drinking, or eating. The shift supervisor shall make telephone notifications and respond as outlined in the facility's coordinated response to offender sexual abuse protocol. The interview with the security first responder indicated he would separate the offenders, advise them not to

	shower, brush their teeth or get rid of any evidence, seal the cell and mark it as a crime scene, take the offender victim to medical, notify the supervisor, contact the advocate and get the SANE. The non-security first responder advised she would separate the victim from anyone else and contact the Shift Commander. Interviews with thirteen random staff confirmed that they were aware of first responder duties. A review of twelve investigations indicated one was reported to mental health and three were observed by non-security staff. All four were reported to security but did not require instruction not to destroy evidence. Based on a review of the PAQ, D1-8.13, PREA Training Curriculum, Investigative Reports and interviews with random staff, first responders and offenders who reported sexual abuse, this standard appears to be compliant.
--	--

115.65 Coordinated response	
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	Documents: 1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire 2. D1-8.13 Offender Sexual Abuse and Harassment 3. Coordinated Response Farmington Correctional Center Interviews: 1. Interview with the Warden Findings (By Provision): 115.65 (a): The PAQ indicated that the facility shall develop a written institutional plan to coordinate actions taken to an incident of sexual abuse, among staff first responders, medical and mental health practitioners, investigators and facility leadership. D1-8.13, page 14 states the CAO or designee shall coordinate actions taken by first responders, medical, mental health, investigators, and administrators in response to all allegations of offender sexual abuse and harassment as outlined in the facility's coordinated response to offender sexual abuse protocol. A review of the Coordinator Response notes that it includes information for first responders, shift

	supervisor and facility leadership. It also outlines information related to outside law enforcement, community medical services, community mental health services, and victim advocacy services. The document outlines a response for incidents occurring within 72 hour and over 72 hours. It also provides contact information for interpretive services, victim advocacy and the local hospital. The interview with the Warden indicated the facility has a written plan to coordinate actions among first responders, medical, mental health, investigators and facility leadership. Based on a review of the PAQ, D1-8.13, Coordinated Response for Farmington Correctional Center, and the interview with the Warden, this standard appears to be compliant.
--	--

115.66	Preservation of ability to protect inmates from contact with abusers
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	Documents: 1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire 2. D2-11.6 Labor Organization 3. Agreement with Missouri Corrections Officers Association (MOCOA) Interviews: 1. Interview with the Agency Head Designee Findings (By Provision): 115.66 (a): The PAQ indicated that the agency, facility or any other governmental entity responsible for collective bargaining on the agency's behalf has entered into or renewed a collective bargaining agreement or other agreement since the last PREA audit. D2-11.6, page 4 states per the Prison Rape Elimination Act, the department shall not enter into or renew any collective bargaining agreements or other agreements that limit the department's ability to remove alleged staff sexual abusers from contact with any offender or resident pending the outcome of an investigation or of a determination of whether and to what extent discipline is warranted. A review of

	the collective bargaining agreement confirmed that Article 2 allows for the agency to hire, reassign, transfer, promote and determine hours of work and shifts and assign overtime. It also states the agency has the right to suspend, demote, and dismiss in accordance with applicable statutes. The interview with the Agency Head Designee confirmed that the agency has a collective bargaining and the agreement does not prohibit the facility/agency's ability to remove staff or discipline staff, up to and including termination. 115.66 (b): The auditor is not required to audit this provision. Based on a review of the PAQ, D2-11.6, Agreement with Missouri Corrections Officers Association (MOCOA), and the interview with the Agency Head Designee, this standard appears to compliant.
--	---

115.67	Agency protection against retaliation
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	Documents: 1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire 2. D1-8.13 Offender Sexual Abuse and Harassment 3. Assessment-Retaliation Status Check Form 4. Retaliation Monitoring Guide 5. PREA Staff Protection Against Retaliation Flyer 6. Investigative Reports 7. Staff Training Interviews: 1. Interview with the Agency Head Designee 2. Interview with the Warden 3. Interview with Designated Staff Member Charged with Monitoring Retaliation

4. Interviews with Offenders who Reported Sexual Abuse

Findings (By Provision):

115.67 (a): The PAQ indicated that the agency has a policy to protection all offenders and staff who report sexual abuse and sexual harassment or who cooperate with sexual abuse or sexual harassment investigations from retaliation by other offenders or staff. The PAQ indicated that the agency designates staff to monitor for retaliation (Deputy Warden). D1-8.13, page 13 states the PREA site coordinator shall ensure victims, individuals who report sexual abuse, and those that cooperate with offender sexual abuse investigations are monitored and protected from retaliation.

115.67 (b): D1-8.13, page 13 states following any reported incident of sexual abuse, monitoring for retaliation shall be conducted. The alleged victim and offender and staff reporters of offender sexual abuse shall be monitored for a minimum of 90 days to assess any potential risk or act of retaliation (First Responders shall not be considered a reporter for the purpose of retaliation monitoring in this policy). Monitoring shall include face-to-face status checks with offender victims and reporters. The assessment-retaliation status checklist form shall be used during each of the assessment interviews. If the victim expresses fear of retaliation, monitoring shall continue for an additional 90 day period or until the victim or reporter is no longer in fear of retaliation or if the investigation is unfounded. The PREA Site Coordinator shall ensure any offender or staff member who cooperates with a sexual abuse investigation and expresses a fear of retaliation, shall be assessed for and protected from retaliation. The Agency Head Designee stated that facilities conduct monitoring for retaliation through a 30, 60 and 90 day process. He confirmed they can take protective measures to prevent retaliation including, housing changes, facility transfers, removal of staff from contact with offender and emotional support services. The Agency Head Designee advised that if retaliation is suspected, it would be reported and investigated. He noted that they would also take action to protect the individual and ensure their safety. The interview with the Warden indicated that they take protective measures through monitoring for retaliation and allowing offenders to report retaliation through many avenues. The Warden confirmed that they can change housing, transfer facilities, remove staff from contact and offer emotional support services. The staff responsible for monitoring noted that her role is to talk to the individual, determine if they need a housing change or if someone needs transferred from the facility. She noted that they always offer support services. The monitoring staff advised they can also remove staff from contact with the offender as a protective measure. Interviews with offenders who reported sexual abuse indicated three of the four felt safe at the facility and felt protected against retaliation. A review of investigative reports and monitoring documents noted zero allegations of retaliation.

115.67 (c): The PAQ stated that the agency/facility monitors the conduct and treatment of offenders or staff who reported sexual abuse and of offenders who were reported to have suffered sexual abuse to see if there are any changes that may suggest possible retaliation by offenders or staff. The PAQ indicated that monitoring is conducted for at least 90 days and that the agency/facility acts promptly to remedy any such retaliation. The PAQ further stated that the agency/facility will continue monitoring beyond 90 days if the initial monitoring indicates a continuing need. The PAQ indicated that there have been zero instances of retaliation in the previous twelve months. D1-8.13, page 13 states following any reported incident of sexual abuse, monitoring for retaliation shall be conducted. The alleged victim and offender and staff reporters of offender sexual abuse shall be monitored for a minimum of 90 days to assess any potential risk or act of retaliation (First Responders shall not be considered a reporter for the purpose of retaliation monitoring in this policy). Monitoring shall include face-to-face status checks with offender victims and reporters. The assessment-retaliation status checklist form shall be used during each of the assessment interviews. If the victim expresses fear of retaliation, monitoring shall continue for an additional 90 day period or until the victim or reporter is no longer in fear of retaliation or if the investigation is unfounded. The PREA Site Coordinator shall ensure any offender or staff member who cooperates with a sexual abuse investigation and expresses a fear of retaliation, shall be assessed for and protected from retaliation. A review of Assessment/Retaliation Status Checklist form confirms that it include a section for monitoring staff and a section for monitoring offenders. The form includes checkboxes that outline the type of assessments, initial, 30 day, 60 day, 90 day or other. The individual sections notate the requirements to be reviewed for monitoring for staff and offenders (elements outlined under this provision) as well as a summary of the information obtained from the review. The Warden stated that if they suspect retaliation they would report it to the investigator handling the PREA allegation and ensure the individuals are separated. She advised they could separate offenders or place staff on administrative leave. The staff responsible for monitoring for retaliation indicated she monitors for 90 day and if retaliation is reported or suspected she continues until there was no longer a problem. She advised when monitoring for retaliation she reviews discipline, program changes, and staff reassignments. She confirmed she would monitor housing changes, job changes and staff performance evaluations. A review of twelve investigations indicated ten were sexual abuse. All ten including monitoring for retaliation of the victim for 90 days and included the checks required under this provision. Two of the allegations were reported by staff, however the staff were not monitored for retaliation. After the on-site portion of the audit the facility conducted training with staff on the requirement to monitor staff who report sexual abuse of an offender. Confirmation of the training was provided, including an example of mock monitoring for retaliation for a staff member who reported sexual abuse of an offender. The mock monitoring for retaliation included a review of the staff member's performance reviews, post reassignments and in-person status checks. Due to the limited examples anticipated to require this type of monitoring, the auditor confirmed training was applicable as corrective action.

115.67 (d): D1-8.13, page 13 states monitoring shall include face-to-face status checks with offender victims and reporters. The staff responsible for monitoring retaliation stated she conducts periodic in-person status checks at 30 days, at 60 days and at 90 days. A review of twelve investigations indicated ten were sexual abuse. All ten including periodic in-person status checks.

115.67 (e): D1-8.13, page 13 states following any reported incident of sexual abuse, monitoring for retaliation shall be conducted. The alleged victim and offender and staff reporters of offender sexual abuse shall be monitored for a minimum of 90 days to assess any potential risk or act of retaliation (First Responders shall not be considered a reporter for the purpose of retaliation monitoring in this policy). Monitoring shall include face-to-face status checks with offender victims and reporters. The assessment-retaliation status checklist form shall be used during each of the assessment interviews. If the victim expresses fear of retaliation, monitoring shall continue for an additional 90 day period or until the victim or reporter is no longer in fear of retaliation or if the investigation is unfounded. The PREA Site Coordinator shall ensure any offender or staff member who cooperates with a sexual abuse investigation and expresses a fear of retaliation, shall be assessed for and protected from retaliation. The Agency Head Designee stated that facilities conduct monitoring for retaliation through a 30, 60 and 90 day process. He confirmed they can take protective measures to prevent retaliation including, housing changes, facility transfers, removal of staff from contact with offender and emotional support services. The Agency Head Designee advised that if retaliation is suspected, it would be reported and investigated. He noted that they would also take action to protect the individual and ensure their safety. The Agency Head Designee confirmed that individuals who cooperate with an investigation or fear retaliation would be afforded the same protection as an offender or staff who reports sexual abuse. The interview with the Warden indicated that they the same protective measures as those who report sexual abuse.

115.67 (f): Auditor not required to audit this provision.

Based on a review of the PAQ, D1-8.13, Assessment/Retaliation Status Check Form, Retaliation Monitoring Guide, PREA Staff Protection Against Retaliation Flyer, Staff Training, and interviews with the Agency Head Designee, Warden, staff charged with monitoring for retaliation and offenders who reported sexual abuse, this standard appears to be compliant.

115.68	Post-allegation protective custody
---------------	---

	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	Documents: 1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire 2. D1-8.13 Offender Sexual Abuse and Harassment 3. Segregated Housing for Protective Custody Directive 4. Victim Housing Documentation Documents: 1. Interview with the Warden 2. Interview with the Staff Who Supervisor Offenders in Segregated Housing Site Review Observations: 1. Observation of the Segregated Housing Unit Findings (By Provision): 115.68 (a): The PAQ indicated the agency has a policy prohibiting the placement of offenders who allege to have suffered sexual abuse in involuntary segregated housing unless an assessment of all available alternatives has been made and a determination has been made that there is no alternative means of separation from likely abusers. The PAQ indicated that zero offenders who alleged sexual abuse were involuntarily segregated for zero to 24 hours or longer than 30 days. D1-8.13, page 15 states following an allegation of offender sexual abuse or if an offender is assessed as being at high risk of victimization, the shift supervisor shall ensure the offender is housed in the least restrictive housing available to ensure safety. The assessment for least restrictive housing shall occur within 24 hours of the allegation or the offender being identified as at risk. If the assessment of least restrictive housing is due to an allegation of sexual abuse or sexual harassment the shift supervisor shall note the recommended housing option on the PREA allegation notification penetration/non-penetration event checklist. If segregation is recommended, the shift supervisor shall note on the PREA notification checklist the reason no alternative means of housing separation can be arranged and the offender victim shall be placed in segregated housing in accordance with institutional services

procedures regarding temporary administrative segregation confinement and administrative segregation. Assignment to involuntary segregation housing shall not ordinarily exceed a period of 30 days. If the assessment of least restrictive housing is due to an allegation of sexual abuse or sexual harassment the shift supervisor shall note the recommended housing option on the PREA allegation notification penetration/non-penetration event checklist. If segregation is recommended, the shift supervisor shall note on the PREA notification checklist the reason no alternative means of housing separation can be arranged and the offender victim shall be placed in segregated housing in accordance with institutional services procedures regarding temporary administrative segregation confinement and administrative segregation. Every 30 days, the offender shall be afforded a review to determine whether there is a continuing need for separation from the general population in accordance with institutional services procedures regarding segregation units and protective custody. The Segregated Housing for Protective Custody Directive states alleged victims of offender sexual abuse or offenders viewed as being at risk of victimization, in the absence of an allegation of offender sexual abuse, should not typically be assigned to Administrative Segregation for Protective Custody for no longer than a 30-day period. If the offender is an alleged victim of offender sexual abuse and was assigned to administrative segregation for protective custody, the committee will, review the offender's placement in segregated housing every 30 days to determine whether there is a continuing need for separation from general population and document the following on the Classification Hearing Form: the basis for the facility's concern for the offender's safety, the reason no alternative means of separation can be arranged, and work and programming assignments that the victim was participating and is now unable to attend due to Administrative Segregation assignment. During the tour the auditor observed the segregated housing unit. The housing unit included four wings with cells and single showers. A hearing room, property room and observation cells were also observed in segregated housing. Offenders in segregated housing have out of cell time via recreation (three times a week) and showers (three times a week). Phone access is requested through the case manager. Offenders have access to their tablets in segregated housing. Grievances and mail are provided to case managers and/or security staff during their daily rounds. The interview with the Warden confirmed that the agency has a policy that prohibits placing offenders who report sexual abuse in segregated housing unless there are no other available alternative means of separation of likely abusers. The Warden further confirmed that the facility would only assign offenders to involuntary segregated housing only until an alternative means of separation from likely abusers can be arranged. She stated it would not take long to find alternative housing. She stated they would never involuntarily segregate a victim and the only way they would end up in segregated housing would be if they were on a mental health watch (segregation is where the mental health observation cells are located). The Warden stated they have not had to involuntarily segregate a victim in the previous twelve months. The interview with the staff who supervise offenders in segregated housing confirmed that offenders placed in involuntary segregated housing after a report of sexual abuse would have equal access to program, privileges, education and work opportunities to the extent possible. The staff noted they would have access to programs and education, but other privileges and work would be restricted under policy. The staff noted that any

	restrictions would be documented on the ICR files per guidelines for segregated housing. The interview with the staff who supervise offenders in segregated housing noted the facility would only assign offenders to involuntary segregated housing only until an alternative means of separation from likely abusers can be arranged. She stated the whole point is to keep the offender in the least restrictive housing, unless they request protective custody. She advised they would typically be able to find alternative housing within 24 hours. She further confirmed that offenders in segregated housing would be reviewed at least every 30 days. A review of documentation for ten offenders who reported sexual abuse noted seven remained in the same housing status as when reported, one was taken to protective custody as he requested it and two were placed in segregated housing. The two placed in segregated housing were there due to discipline that was written, not the report of sexual abuse. Based on a review of the PAQ, D1-8.13, Segregated Housing for Protective Custody Directive, Victim Housing Documentation, observations during the tour and information from interviews with the Warden and staff who supervise offenders in segregated housing, this standard appears to be compliant.
--	--

115.71	Criminal and administrative agency investigations
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	Documents: 1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire 2. D1-8.13 Offender Sexual Abuse and Harassment 3. D1-8.1 Office of Professional Standards 4. D1-8.4 Institutional Investigations 5. Investigator Training Records 6. OPS Retention Schedule 7. Investigative Reports Interviews: 1. Interviews with Investigative Staff

2. Interview with the Warden
3. Interview with the PREA Coordinator
4. Interview with the PREA Compliance Manager
5. Interviews with Offenders who Reported Sexual Abuse

Findings (By Provision):

115.71 (a): The PAQ states that the agency/facility has a policy related to criminal and administrative agency investigations. D1-8.13, page 18 states the department shall ensure that administrative and/or criminal investigations are completed for all allegations of sexual abuse and repeated allegations of sexual harassment.

Interviews with investigators indicated an investigation is initiated the same day the allegation is reported. The allegation is submitted and an investigator is assigned within two days. Investigators advised that allegations reported anonymously or through a third party would be investigated under the same investigative process. A review of twelve investigations indicated all had an administrative investigation completed. All twelve were initiated promptly. Three of the twelve were completed promptly. All twelve were thorough and objective. The auditor identified that while investigations are not completed promptly, CFR 28 Part 115 Final Rule only requires that investigation be initiated promptly. The auditor highly recommends the agency review their policy/procedure and ensure investigations are completed promptly.

115.71 (b): D1-8.13, page 8 states investigators assigned to investigate offender sexual abuse allegations shall receive specialized PREA investigator training. The agency utilizes the NIC Conducting Sexual Abuse Investigations in a Confinement Setting training curriculum. In addition, the agency provides additional training to investigators via the PREA Investigations (Sexual Harassment) training, the Credibility Assessments Training Document and the Standard of Proof Training Document. A review of the NIC Conducting Sexual Abuse Investigations in a Confinement Setting training confirmed that it includes the following: techniques for interviewing sexual abuse victims, proper use of Miranda and Garrity warnings, sexual abuse evidence collection in confinement settings and the criteria and evidence required to substantiate an administrative investigation. A review of twelve investigations noted they were completed by five investigators. All five were documented with the specialized training.

115.71 (c): D1-8.13, page 18 states the department shall ensure that administrative and/or criminal investigations are completed for all allegations of sexual abuse and repeated allegations of sexual harassment. The agency investigator advised that his

first step in the investigative process is to do background on the victim and alleged perpetrator. He stated he then offers mental health and advocacy and conducts interviews. The agency investigator indicated he would then review the crime scene and gather all evidence, including a review of video, email, phone calls, etc. He stated he would then interview any witnesses, put together the information and complete his report. The facility investigator (sexual harassment only) stated his first steps are to listen to phone calls, review emails and interview the victim. He advised he would then save and review video and interview all the individuals involved. Investigators indicated they would be responsible for gathering evidence such as, physical, DNA, photos, video, emails, calls, and a review of prior complaints. A review twelve investigations noted all twelve included interviews and a review of evidence (video, phone calls, etc.). Ten of the twelve had a review of prior complaints of the alleged perpetrator.

115.71 (d): D1-8.13, page 18 states the department shall ensure that administrative and/or criminal investigations are completed for all allegations of sexual abuse and repeated allegations of sexual harassment. The agency investigator confirmed he would consult with prosecutors prior to conducting any compelled interviews. A review of twelve investigations noted none involved any compelled interviews.

115.71 (e): D1-8.13, page 18 states the department shall ensure that administrative and/or criminal investigations are completed for all allegations of sexual abuse and repeated allegations of sexual harassment. Interviews with the investigators confirmed that the agency does not require the offender victim to submit to a polygraph test or any other truth-telling device in order to continue with the investigation. The agency investigator stated that credibility is based on a credibility assessment, which includes discipline, prior complaints, etc. The facility investigator advised credibility is not based on status and all incidents are treated as a new incident. Interviews with offenders who reported sexual abuse confirmed none were required to take a polygraph or truth telling device test.

115.71 (f): D1-8.13, page 18 states administrative investigations shall include an effort to determine whether staff member actions or failure to act contributed to the abuse. Interviews with investigative staff confirmed that administrative investigations are documented in a written report. The investigators stated the report includes everything done during the investigation, including interviews, background information, evidence, etc. The agency investigator stated that during the investigative process they determine if staff actions or failure to act contributed to the sexual abuse. He advised that is part of watching the video, interviewing people, and making sure staff did everything they were supposed to, including making rounds. The facility investigator stated he reviews video to find out if wing walks are being done and he reviews the Chronos as well. A review of twelve investigations confirmed all were documented in a written report that included the allegation,

background information, interviews, description of evidence reviewed and investigative facts and findings. Two also noted staff actions or failure to act.

115.71 (g): D1-8.13, page 18 states the department shall ensure that administrative and/or criminal investigations are completed for all allegations of sexual abuse and repeated allegations of sexual harassment. Interviews with investigative staff confirmed that criminal investigations are documented in a written report. The investigators stated the report includes everything done during the investigation, including interviews, background information, evidence, etc. A review of the one criminal investigation confirmed it was documented in a written report and included the allegation, background information, interviews, description of evidence reviewed and investigative facts and findings

115.71 (h): The PAQ indicated that substantiated allegations of conduct that appear to be criminal will be referred for prosecution. The PAQ indicated that there was one allegation referred for prosecution since the last PREA audit. Page 5 further states in the event an outside law enforcement agency conducts a criminal investigation on a staff member or an offender, it shall be the responsibility of that agency to submit the case for prosecution. OPS may request a copy of the investigative report for departmental record keeping. Interviews with the investigators indicated cases are referred for prosecution when they meet probable cause for Missouri statute. A review of twelve investigations indicated one was referred for prosecution.

115.71 (i): The PAQ stated that the agency retains all written reports pertaining to the administrative or criminal investigation of alleged sexual abuse or sexual harassment for as long as the alleged abuser is incarcerated or employed by the agency, plus five years. The OPS Retention Schedule outlines that documentation of investigations that pertain to sexual abuse shall be retained for 50 years. A review of a sample of historic investigations confirmed retention is being met.

115.71 (j): The agency investigator stated an investigation is continued regardless of whether the offender or staff member are no longer at the facility. He advised they try to track down everyone involved to complete the investigation. The facility investigator stated he would make every effort to contact the individual and continue the investigation.

115.71 (k): The auditor is not required to audit this provision.

	115.71 (l): D1-8.13, page 18 states when outside agencies investigate sexual abuse, staff members shall cooperate with outside investigators and shall make an effort to remain informed about the progress of the investigation. The interview with the PC indicated that all investigations are done in house, with only a few exceptions. He advised even if an outside entity conducted an investigation, the agency would conduct their own investigation (administrative and/or criminal). The interview with the Warden and PCM further confirmed investigations are completed by agency staff. Based on a review of the PAQ, D1-8.13, P4-4.5, D1-8.1, D1-8.4, Investigator Training Records, OPS Retention Schedule, Investigative Reports, and information from interviews with the PREA Coordinator, Warden, investigative staff and offenders who reported sexual abuse, this standard appears to be compliant.
--	--

115.72	Evidentiary standard for administrative investigations
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion Documents: 1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire 2. D1-8.13 Offender Sexual Abuse and Harassment 3. Standard of Proof Document 4. Investigative Reports Interviews: 1. Interviews with Investigative Staff Findings (By Provision): 115.72 (a): The PAQ indicated that the agency imposes a standard of a preponderance of the evidence or a lower standard of proof when determining whether allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment are substantiated. D1-8.13, page 18 states administrative investigations shall impose no standard higher than the preponderance of evidence in determining whether an allegation of offender sexual abuse or harassment is substantiated. The Standard of Proof Document outlines the

	different levels of proof for investigations, including reasonable suspicion, probable cause, preponderance of the evidence, clear and convincing and beyond a reasonable doubt. The document explains the burden of proof for sexual abuse and sexual harassment, which is no higher than a preponderance of the evidence. The document also outlines when to utilize the investigative outcomes based on standard of evidence. Interviews with investigators confirmed they do not utilize a standard higher than a preponderance of the evidence when determining whether an allegation is substantiated. A review of twelve investigative reports confirmed investigators utilized a standard no higher than a preponderance of the evidence. Based on a review of the PAQ, D1-8.13, Standard of Proof Document, Investigative Reports, and information from the interviews with the investigators, it appears this standard is compliant.
--	--

115.73	Reporting to inmates
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	Documents: 1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire 2. D1-8.13 Offender Sexual Abuse and Harassment 3. PREA Alleged Sexual Abuse by Offender Notification Form 4. PREA Alleged Sexual Abuse by Staff Member Notification Form 5. PREA Allegations Subject Notification Form 6. Investigative Reports 7. Training Memorandum Related to Employee Conduct Unit Investigations Interviews: 1. Interview with the Warden 2. Interviews with Investigative Staff 3. Interviews with Offenders who Reported Sexual Abuse

Findings (By Provision):

115.73 (a): The PAQ indicated that the agency has a policy requiring that any offender who makes an allegation that he or she suffered sexual abuse in an agency facility is informed, verbally or in writing, as to whether the allegation has been determined to be substantiated, unsubstantiated or unfounded following an investigation by the agency. The PAQ indicated there were seventeen investigations completed within the previous twelve months and 25 offenders were notified verbally or in writing of the results of the investigation (victim and alleged abusers). D1-8.13, page 19 states upon the completion of an offender sexual abuse investigation, the department's PREA unit shall make written notification to the alleged victim regarding the outcome of the investigation utilizing the applicable PREA alleged sexual abuse by offender notification form or the PREA alleged sexual abuse by staff member notification form. A review of the PREA Alleged Sexual Abuse by Offender Notification form indicates it includes checkboxes that are marked to indicate the investigative outcome (unfounded, unsubstantiated and substantiated). It also includes checkboxes that note whether the subject was indicated or convicted. The bottom of the form includes a line for the offender to sign. A review of the PREA Alleged Sexual Abuse by Staff Member Notification form indicates it includes checkboxes that are marked to indicate the investigative outcome (unfounded, unsubstantiated and substantiated). It also includes checkboxes that note whether the staff is no longer assigned to the housing unit, the staff is no longer employed at the facility, the staff was indicated and the/or the staff was convicted. A review of the PREA Allegation Subject Notification form noted that it has checkboxes that are marked to indicate the investigative outcome, including unsubstantiated and unfounded. It also has a box that outlines an offender received discipline for filing a false allegation. The bottom of the form includes a line for the offender to sign. Interviews with the Warden and investigators confirmed that victims are notified whether the investigation is substantiated, unsubstantiated or unfounded. Interviews with offenders who reported sexual abuse indicated all four were aware they were to be informed of the outcome of the investigation into their allegation. Three advised they was provided notification verbally and/or in writing. A review of twelve investigations indicated ten were sexual abuse. Nine of the ten included a victim notification.

115.73 (b): The PAQ indicated that this provision is not applicable as the agency/facility is responsible for conducting administrative and criminal investigations. The PAQ indicated that there were zero investigations completed within the previous twelve months by an outside agency. D1-8.13, page 19 states in the event that the investigation was conducted by an outside agency, the PREA unit shall request relevant information from the outside agency in order to inform the offender of the outcome of the investigation. A review of twelve investigations indicated all were completed by facility/agency investigators.

115.73 (c): The PAQ indicated that following an offender's allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the offender, the agency/facility subsequently informs the offender whenever: the staff member is no longer posted within the offender's unit, the staff member is no longer employed at the facility, the agency learns that the staff member has been indicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility or the agency learns that the staff member has been convicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility. The PAQ stated that there have been substantiated or unsubstantiated complaint of sexual abuse committed by a staff member against an offender in an agency facility in the past twelve months and in each case the agency subsequently informed the offender of the elements under this provision. D1-8.13, page 19 states all subsequent notifications shall be made when: the staff member perpetrator is no longer assigned to the housing unit; the staff member perpetrator is no longer employed by the department; the staff member perpetrator has been indicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the institution and/or d. a disposition of charges exists related to sexual abuse within the institution. A review of the PREA Alleged Sexual Abuse by Staff Member Notification form indicates it includes checkboxes that are marked to indicate the investigative outcome (unfounded, unsubstantiated and substantiated). It also includes checkboxes that note whether the staff is no longer assigned to the housing unit, the staff is no longer employed at the facility, the staff was indicated and the/or the staff was convicted. Interviews with four offenders who reported sexual abuse indicated two were against a staff member. One advised he was told the staff member was fired. A review of ten investigations indicated four required notification under this provision. Two victims were advised that staff was no longer employed at the facility. During documentation review it was determined that the two missing notifications were related to allegations that were not initially PREA incidents. Both were being investigated as Employee Avoidable Contact. During these investigations it was discovered that they involved staff sexual abuse. The agency investigators conducting the Employee Avoidable Contact investigation did not relay that the investigation developed into staff sexual abuse. The completed investigation were also not provided to the facility PCM and as such appropriate notifications under this provision were not provided. As such, the PC provided communication with the Employee Conduct Unit (ECU) that advised that any investigation that develops an allegation or reasonable suspicion of sexual abuse or sexual harassment will involve the investigator and ECU leadership immediately consulting with the agency PC and facility PCM to ensure appropriate protocols, including notification under this standard, are provided. Both the PC and the ECU Manager provided signature as confirmation of understanding of this required process.

115.73 (d): The PAQ indicates that following an offender's allegation that he or she has been sexually abused by another offender, the agency subsequently informs the alleged victim whenever: the agency learns that the alleged abuser has been indicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility or the agency learns that the alleged abuser has been convicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility. D1-8.13, pages 19-20 state following the completion of an investigation, the

	offender shall be notified when the offender has been indicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the institution and when a disposition of charges exists related to sexual abuse within the institution. A review of the PREA Alleged Sexual Abuse by Offender Notification form indicates it includes checkboxes that are marked to indicate the investigative outcome (unfounded, unsubstantiated and substantiated). It also includes checkboxes that note whether the subject was indicated or convicted. The bottom of the form includes a line for the offender to sign. Interviews with four offenders who reported sexual abuse indicated two were against another offender but none were provided any notifications under this provision. A review of twelve investigations indicated none required any notifications under this provision. 115.73 (e): The PAQ indicated that the agency has a policy that all notifications to offenders described under this standard are documented. D1-8.13, page 20 states the PREA unit shall forward the written notification to the offender via the PREA site coordinator. The original notification shall be signed by the offender and witnessed by a staff member. The PAQ stated that there were 25 notifications to offenders under this standard and 24 were documented. A review of documentation noted that all notification were documented in writing, via the applicable forms. 115.73 (f): This provision is not required to be audited. Based on a review of the PAQ, D1-8.13, PREA Alleged Sexual Abuse by Offender Notification Form, PREA Alleged Sexual Abuse by Staff Member Notification Form, PREA Allegations Subject Notification Form, Investigative Reports, Training Memorandum, and information from interviews with the Warden, investigators and offenders who reported sexual abuse, this standard appears to be compliant.
--	---

115.76 Disciplinary sanctions for staff	
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	Documents: 1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire 2. D1-8.13 Offender Sexual Abuse and Harassment 3. D2-9.1 Employee Discipline 4. Investigative Reports

5. Employee Discipline/Termination

Findings (By Provision):

115.76 (a): The PAQ stated that staff are subject to disciplinary sanctions up to and including termination for violating agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies. D1-8.13, page 22 states staff members shall be subject to disciplinary sanctions up to and including termination for violating agency sexual abuse and sexual harassment procedures.

115.76 (b): The PAQ indicated there were five staff members who violated the sexual abuse and sexual harassment policies and five staff member was terminated (or resigned prior to termination) for violating agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies. D1-8.13, page 22 states termination from the department shall be the presumptive disciplinary action for staff members who have engaged in sexual abuse. There were two substantiated staff-on-offender sexual abuse investigations (one was just prior to the previous twelve months). The staff perpetrators resigned prior to the completion of the investigation.

115.76 (c): The PAQ stated that disciplinary sanctions for violations of agency policies related to sexual abuse or sexual harassment are commensurate with the nature and circumstances of the acts, the staff member's disciplinary history and the sanctions imposed for comparable offense by other staff members with similar histories. The PAQ indicated there were zero staff members that were disciplined, short of termination, for violating the sexual abuse and sexual harassment policies within the previous twelve months. D2-9.1 outlines the employee disciplinary process and the procedure as it relates to this process. There were two substantiated staff-on-offender sexual abuse investigations (one was just prior to the previous twelve months). The staff perpetrators resigned prior to the completion of the investigation.

115.76 (d): The PAQ indicated that all terminations for violations of agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies, or resignations by staff who would not have been terminated if not for their resignation, are reported to law enforcement agencies, unless the activity was clearly not criminal, and to relevant licensing bodies. D1-8.13, page 22 states all terminations for violations or the resignation of a staff member, who would have been terminated if not for their resignation, shall be reported to relevant licensing or accreditation bodies and law enforcement. The PAQ indicated that there were zero staff members who was reported to law enforcement or licensing boards following their termination for violating agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies. There were two substantiated staff-on-offender sexual

	abuse investigations (one was just prior to the previous twelve months). The staff perpetrators resigned prior to the completion of the investigation. The activities were not deemed criminal and as such were not reported to outside law enforcement for prosecution. Based on a review of the PAQ, D1-8.13, D2-9.1, Investigative Reports, and Employee Discipline/Termination, this standard appears to be compliant.
--	--

115.77	Corrective action for contractors and volunteers
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	Documents: 1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire 2. D1-8.13 Offender Sexual Abuse and Harassment 3. D2-13.1 Volunteers & Reentry Partners 4. D2-13.2 Student Interns 5. Investigative Reports Interviews: 1. Interview with the Warden Findings (By Provision): 115.77 (a): The PAQ stated that the agency policy requires that any contractor or volunteer who engages in sexual abuse be reported to law enforcement agencies, unless the activity was clearly not criminal, and to relevant licensing bodies. Additionally, it stated that policy requires that any contractor or volunteer who engages in sexual abuse be prohibited from contact with offenders. The PAQ indicated that there have been zero contractors or volunteers who violated the sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies within the previous twelve months and as such none were reported to law enforcement or relevant licensing bodies. D1-8.13, page 22 states contractors or volunteers who engage in sexual abuse shall be prohibited from

	contact with offenders and shall be reported to relevant licensing bodies and law enforcement. D2-13.1 page 9 states volunteers or reentry partners may be subject to suspension of their access to a department facility or termination of their status if they fail to abide by the department's policies and procedures. D2-13.2, page 5 states interns shall be subject to disciplinary sanctions up to and including termination for violating department policies and procedures. A review of documentation noted there were three substantiated sexual abuse investigations against a contractor or volunteer. Two contractors were terminated and one resigned prior to termination. One contractor was referred for prosecution. 115.77 (b): The PAQ stated that the facility takes appropriate remedial measures and considers whether to prohibit further contact with offenders in the case of any other violation of agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies by a contractor or volunteer. D1-8.13, page 23 states the CAO or designee of the department facility or contracted facility shall take appropriate measures and consider whether to prohibit further contact with offenders in the case of any other violations. D2-13.1 page 9 states volunteers or reentry partners may be subject to suspension of their access to a department facility or termination of their status if they fail to abide by the department's policies and procedures. D2-13.2, page 5 states interns shall be subject to disciplinary sanctions up to and including termination for violating department policies and procedures. The interview with the Warden indicated that any violation of the sexual abuse and sexual harassment policies by contractors or volunteers would result in the individual being barred from the facility. It would be reported to the contractor and they would decide if they wanted that person to continue employment. Based on a review of the PAQ, D1-8.13, D2-13.1, D2-13.2, Investigative Reports, and information from the interview with the Warden, this standard appears to be compliant.
--	---

115.78	Disciplinary sanctions for inmates
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	Documents: 1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire 2. D1-8.13 Offender Sexual Abuse and Harassment 3. IS19-1.6 Offender Accountability Program

4. Disciplinary Sanctions and Mental Health Protocol Directive
5. Standard of Proof Document
6. Offender Rulebook
7. Investigative Reports
8. Medical and Mental Health Training

Interviews:

1. Interview with the Warden
2. Interviews with Medical and Mental Health Staff

Findings (By Provision):

115.78 (a): The PAQ stated that offenders are subject to disciplinary sanctions only pursuant to a formal disciplinary process following an administrative or criminal finding that the offender engaged in offender-on-offender sexual abuse. The PAQ indicated there has been nineteen administrative findings of guilt for offender-on-offender sexual abuse and zero criminal findings of guilt for offender-on-offender sexual abuse within the previous twelve months. Further communication noted that nineteen was the total number of allegation, and zero were substantiated. D1-8.13, page 22 states offenders shall be subject to corrective actions or violations pursuant to a formal disciplinary process following an administrative finding or a criminal finding of guilt when the offender engaged in offender on offender sexual abuse in accordance with divisional and institutional services procedures regarding offender accountability program. The Offender Rulebook outlines conduct violations, including forcible sexual abuse and sexual misconduct, and the corrective sanctions for violation, including disciplinary segregation, visiting restrictions, living area restrictions, activity restrictions, loss of property, program sanctions and extra duty. There were zero substantiated offender-on-offender sexual abuse allegations during the previous twelve months.

115.78 (b): D1-8.13, page 22 states sanctions shall be commensurate with the nature and circumstances of the abuse committed, the offender's disciplinary history, and the sanctions imposed for comparable offenses by other offenders with similar histories in accordance with divisional and institutional services procedures regarding offender accountability program. The Offender Rulebook outlines conduct violations, including forcible sexual abuse and sexual misconduct, and the corrective sanctions for violations based on the level of violation. The interview with the Warden indicated

that the offender perpetrator would be found guilty of a number seven violation. She advised discipline could include segregated housing, visiting restrictions, predator status, change in custody level and possible prosecution. The Warden confirmed that sanctions would be commensurate with the nature and circumstances of the abuse committed, the offender's disciplinary history, and the sanctions imposed for comparable offenses by other offenders with similar histories.

115.78 (c): D1-8.13, page 22 states the corrective action process shall consider whether an offender's mental disabilities or mental illness contributed to his behavior when determining what type of sanction, if any, shall be imposed in accordance with divisional and institutional services procedures regarding offender accountability program. IS19-1.6, page 12 states any violation for forcible sexual abuse and or sexual violence referred to the adjustment board shall require information from a qualified mental health professional (QMHP). The report shall indicate whether mental illness or any mental disability could have contributed to the offender's behavior and whether any programming is available which would benefit the offender. The QMHP shall document this information on the mental health notification-sexual assault assessment form and provide it to the corrective hearing officer prior to the hearing. The Disciplinary Sanctions and Mental Health Protocol Directive notes that prior to hearing a violation for forcible sexual abuse/violence, the Adjustment Hearing Board will request input from the mental health staff. The interview with the Warden confirmed that the offenders' mental illness or mental disability would be considered in the disciplinary process.

115.78 (d): The PAQ states that the facility offers therapy, counseling or other interventions designed to address and correct underlying reasons or motivations for the abuse and the facility considers whether to require the offending offender to participate in these interventions as a condition of access to programming and other benefits. D1-8.13, page 22 states if found guilty of sexual abuse, the PREA site coordinator or designee shall submit a referral and screening note - health services form to ensure the perpetrator shall be assessed by qualified mental health professional (QMHP) within 60 days of learning of such abuse. The offender shall be referred to appropriate treatment (therapy, counseling) by mental health staff members, as available, in accordance with divisional and institutional services procedures regarding offender accountability program. Interviews with medical and mental health staff indicated they provide services to offender abusers. The mental health staff stated they have sex offender treatment, but that they can only require these services if it is court ordered.

115.78 (e): The PAQ stated that the agency disciplines offenders for sexual contact with staff only upon finding that the staff member did not consent to such contact. D1-8.13, page 22 states an offender who has sexual contact with a staff member may only be disciplined if the staff member did not consent to the contact.

	115.78 (f): The PAQ stated that the agency prohibits disciplinary action for a report of sexual abuse made in good faith based upon a reasonable belief that the alleged conduct occurred, even if an investigation does not establish evidence sufficient to substantiate the allegation. IS9-1.6, page 20 states for the purpose of corrective action, a report of sexual misconduct, made in good faith based upon a reasonable belief that the alleged conduct occurred shall not constitute falsely reporting an incident or lying, even if an investigation does not establish evidence sufficient to substantiate the allegation. A review of the Standard of Proof Document notes that a false allegation can only be determine if the investigator, through thorough investigation, identifies evidence to factually prove the allegation did not occur nor was attempted and the victim knowingly falsified the allegation. The document provides examples of such evidence to determine falsification. The Offender Rulebook outlines making a false written or oral PREA statement to a staff member or official with evidence of bad faith as a level two violation and outlines possible sanctions including disciplinary segregation, visiting restrictions, living area restrictions, activity restrictions, loss of property, program sanctions and extra duty. 115.78 (g): The PAQ indicates that the agency prohibits all sexual activity between offenders and the agency deems such activity to constitute sexual abuse only if it determines that the activity is coerced. D1-8.13, page 22 states the department prohibits all sexual activity between offenders. Consensual sexual activity between offenders shall not be deemed sexual abuse and shall be addressed in accordance with divisional and institutional services procedures regarding offender accountability program. The Offender Rule book outlines engaging with another offender in any type of consensual sexual activity as a level two violation and outlines possible sanctions including disciplinary segregation, visiting restrictions, living area restrictions, activity restrictions, loss of property, program sanctions and extra duty. Based on a review of the PAQ, D1-8.13, Disciplinary Sanctions and Mental Health Protocol Directive, Standard of Proof Document, Offender Rulebook, Investigative Reports, Medical and Mental Health Training, and information from the interview with the Warden, this standard appears to be compliant.
--	--

115.81	Medical and mental health screenings; history of sexual abuse
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	Documents:

1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire
2. D1-8.13 Offender Sexual Abuse and Harassment
3. IS11-32 Receiving Screening Intake Center
4. Adult Internal Risk Assessment (ARIS)
5. Adult Internal Risk Assessment Manual
6. Adult Internal Risk Assessment Manual Supplement
7. Informed Consent Form
8. Medical/Mental Health Documents (Secondary Documents)
9. Staff Training

Interviews:

1. Interviews with Staff Responsible for Risk Screening
2. Interviews with Medical and Mental Health Staff
3. Interviews with Offenders who Disclosed Victimization During the Risk Screening

Site Review Observations:

1. Observations of Risk Screening Area

Findings (By Provision):

115.81 (a): The PAQ indicated all offenders at the facility who have disclosed prior sexual victimization during a screening pursuant to 115.41 are offered a follow-up meeting with a medical or mental health practitioners. The PAQ stated that the meetings were offered within fourteen days of the intake screening. The PAQ also indicated that medical and mental health maintain secondary materials documenting compliance with the required services. The PAQ indicated that 100% of those offenders who reported prior victimization were seen within fourteen days by medical or mental health practitioners. D1-8.13, page 10 states if the screening indicates that an offender has experienced prior sexual victimization, whether it occurred in a correctional setting or in the community, staff members shall ensure that the offender is offered a follow-up meeting with a medical or mental health practitioner within 14 calendar days of the intake screening. IS11-32, page 3 states if the screening indicates the offender has experienced prior sexual victimization whether in the

community or in a correctional setting and a forensic exam is not deemed medically necessary, the coordinated response protocol will not be initiated and the offender will be offered a meeting with a mental health practitioner within 14 days of the intake screening. A review of the Adult Internal Risk Assessment notes that questions two, four, six and eight have a section where staff note whether the offender accepted or declined a mental health referral. Question four asks specifically about prior sexual victimization. The interview with staff responsible for the risk screening indicated that offender who disclose prior victimization during the risk screening would be offered a follow-up with mental health within fourteen days. Interviews with the offender who disclosed prior victimization during the risk screening indicated one of the two was offered a follow-up with mental health. A review of documentation for nine offenders that disclosed prior sexual victimization during the risk screening indicated all nine were offered a follow-up with mental health. All nine declined the services. Due to all offenders declining services, the auditor asked the facility to provide examples of any offenders that accepted services to show the fourteen day timeframe is being met. The facility provided two examples of offenders who accepted the mental health follow-up. Both were provided services within fourteen days.

115.81 (b): The PAQ indicated all prison offenders who have previously perpetrated sexual abuse, as indicated during the screening pursuant to 115.41 are offered a follow-up meeting with a medical or mental health practitioners. The PAQ stated that the follow-up meetings were offered within fourteen days of the intake screening. The PAQ also indicated that medical and mental health maintain secondary materials documenting compliance with the required services. The PAQ indicated that 100% of those offenders who were identified with prior sexual abusiveness were seen within fourteen days by medical or mental health practitioners. D1-8.13, page 10 states if the screening indicates that an offender has previously perpetrated sexual abuse, whether it occurred in a correctional setting or in the community, staff members shall ensure that the offender is offered a follow-up meeting with a mental health practitioner within 14 calendar days of the intake screening. IS11-32, page 3 states if the screening indicates the offender has previously perpetrated sexual abuse, whether it occurred in an institutional setting or in the community, staff members shall ensure that the offender is offered a meeting with a QMHP within 14 days of the intake screening. A review of the Adult Internal Risk Assessment notes that questions sixteen has a section where staff note whether the offender accepted or declined a mental health referral. Question sixteen asks specifically about prior sexual offenses. The interview with staff responsible for the risk screening indicated that offender who are identified with prior sexual abusiveness during the risk screening would be offered a follow-up with mental health within fourteen days. A review of documentation for fourteen offender identified with prior sexual abusiveness indicated all fourteen were offered a follow-up with mental health but declined the services.

115.81 (c): This provision is not applicable as the facility is not a jail.

115.81 (d): The PAQ indicated that information related to sexual victimization and abusiveness that occurred in an institutional setting is strictly limited to medical and mental health practitioners. Further communication with the PC indicated information is not strictly limited to medical and mental health care staff but it is restricted to those with a need to know. D1-8.13, page 12 states health services staff members shall only reveal information related to a sexual abuse report that is necessary to make treatment, investigation, and other security and management decisions. IS11-32, page 3 states health services staff members may obtain informed consent from offenders in accordance with institutional services before reporting information about prior sexual victimization. Medical and mental health records are paper and electronic. Paper files are maintained in medical records, which is staffed Monday through Friday during business hours. The records room is secure after hours with limited access. Electronic records are maintained in the Missouri Corrections Integrated System (MOCIS), and are only accessible to medical and mental health care staff. Offenders risk assessments are documented electronically via the MOCIS system. During the tour the auditor had a security staff member pull up the risk screening information in MOCIS. The auditor viewed that the staff did not have access and was given an error message that noted they were not authorized to view the information. Paper risk assessments are stored in the offender file, located in central records. This area is staffed Monday through Friday during business hours. After hour access is extremely limited. Investigative files are electronic and are maintained in the Investigative Reporting Intelligence System (IRIS), which has limited access.

15.81 (e): The PAQ indicated that medical and mental health practitioners obtain informed consent from offenders before reporting information about prior sexual victimization that did not occur in an institutional setting, unless the offender is under the age of eighteen. D1-8.13, page 10 states medical and mental health practitioners shall obtain informed consent from offenders before reporting information about prior sexual victimization that did not occur in an institutional setting. IS11-32, page 3 states if the offender is under the age of 18, a health service staff member shall report the allegation to the designated local Children's Division, Department of Social Services under applicable mandatory reporting laws. Interviews with medical and mental health staff indicated they obtain informed consent prior to reporting any sexual abuse that did not occur in an institutional setting. Both staff indicated there is not a separate consent process for those under eighteen as they are convicted as adults. After the on-site portion of the audit the facility conducted training with administrative level staff, security supervisors, case managers, unit managers, investigators, medical staff and mental health staff on informed consent and mandatory reporting laws. The training outlined that offenders are to be provided the form 931-4979 PREA Healthcare Duty to report form, which explains that medical and mental health care staff are mandatory reporters for offenders who are under eighteen years of age. Confirmation of the training was provided via staff signatures.

	Based on a review of the PAQ, D1-8.13, IS11-32, Adult Internal Risk Assessment (ARIS), Adult Internal Risk Assessment Manual, Adult Internal Risk Assessment Manual Supplement, Informed Consent Form, medical and mental health documents, Staff Training, and information from interviews with staff who perform the risk screening, medical and mental health care staff and offenders who disclosed victimization during the risk screening, this standard appears to be compliant.
--	---

115.82	Access to emergency medical and mental health services
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	Documents: 1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire 2. D1-8.13 Offender Sexual Abuse and Harassment 3. Contract with Centurion 4. Medical/Mental Health Documents (Secondary Documents) Interviews: 1. Interviews with Medical and Mental Health Staff 2. Interviews with First Responders 3. Interviews with Offenders who Reported Sexual Abuse Site Review Observations: 1. Observations of Medical and Mental Health Areas Findings (By Provision): 115.82 (a): The PAQ indicated that offender victims of sexual abuse receive timely, unimpeded access to emergency medical treatment and crisis intervention services and that the nature and scope of services are determined by medical and mental health practitioners according to their professional judgement. The PAQ also indicated that medical and mental health maintain secondary materials documenting the

timeliness of services. D1-8.13, page 16 states victims of sexual abuse shall receive timely, unobstructed access to emergency medical treatment and crisis intervention services, the nature and scope of which are determined by health services practitioners according to their professional judgment. The Contract with Centurion outlines that the contractor shall arrange for 24 hour emergency medical and dental services, to include medical and dental on-call services. During the tour the auditor viewed the health services area. The area was two floors and included medical and dental. The medical space encompassed a reception area, an exam room, an ancillary area and an infirmary. The exam room provided privacy through a door and curtain. Interviews with medical and mental health care staff confirm that offenders receive timely and unimpeded access to emergency medical treatment and crisis intervention services. Both staff stated that offenders are provided services immediately. The staff confirmed services are based on their professional judgement as well as guidelines. Interviews with offenders who reported sexual abuse indicated three of the four were provided medical and/or mental health services. A review of documentation for ten sexual abuse allegations indicated nine were afforded medical and/or mental health services.

115.82 (b): D1-8.13, page 16 states health services staff members shall screen victims for obvious physical trauma, and provide emergency medical care. If no qualified medical or mental health practitioners are on duty at the time a report of a sexual abuse which involved penetration that occurred within 72 hours within a correctional facility, or 92 hours within a community, confinement facility, custody staff first responders shall take preliminary steps to protect the victim and shall immediately notify the appropriate medical and mental health practitioners. The Contract with Centurion outlines that the contractor shall arrange for 24 hour emergency medical and dental services, to include medical and dental on-call services. The interview with the security first responder indicated he would separate the offenders, advise them not to shower, brush their teeth or get rid of any evidence, seal the cell and mark it as a crime scene, take the offender victim to medical, notify the supervisor, contact the advocate and get the SANE. The non-security first responder advised she would separate the victim from anyone else and contact the Shift Commander.

115.82 (c): The PAQ indicated that offender victims of sexual abuse while incarcerated are offered timely information about and timely access to emergency contraception and sexually transmitted infection prophylaxis. The PAQ also indicated that medical and mental health maintain secondary materials documenting the timeliness of services. D-8.13, page 17 states alleged victims of offender sexual abuse of any kind that consists of penetration of the mouth, anus, buttocks, or vulva, however slight, by hand, finger, object instrument, or penis shall be provided with prophylactic treatment and follow-up for sexually transmitted or other communicable diseases, as clinically determined by the physician. Female victims shall be offered timely information and timely access to pregnancy testing and emergency

	contraception in accordance with professionally accepted standards of care, where medically appropriate. Page 18 further states victims of sexual abuse shall be offered timely information and access to emergency contraception and prophylactic treatment for sexually transmitted infections in accordance with professionally accepted standards of care, where medically appropriate. Interviews with offenders who reported sexual abuse noted one had an allegation that involved penetration. He advised he was unsure if he was provided information and access to sexually transmitted infection prophylaxis. Interviews with medical and mental health care staff confirm that offenders receive timely information and access to emergency contraception and sexual transmitted infection prophylaxis. A review of documentation for ten sexual abuse allegations indicated nine were afforded medical and/or mental health services. Four involved incidents that may require sexually transmitted infection prophylaxis. Three of the four were provided access to sexually transmitted infection prophylaxis. 115.82 (d): The PAQ indicated that treatment services are provided to every victim without financial cost and regardless of whether the victim names the abuser or cooperates with any investigations arising out of the incident. D-8.13, page 18 states treatment services shall be provided to the victim without financial cost and regardless of whether the victim names the abuser or cooperates with any investigation arising out of the incident. Based on a review of the PAQ, D1-8.13, Contract with Centurion, a review of medical and mental health documents, and information from interviews with medical and mental health care staff, first responders and offenders who reported sexual abuse, this standard appears to be compliant.
--	---

115.83	Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	Documents: 1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire 2. D1-8.13 Offender Sexual Abuse and Harassment 3. Contract with Centurion 4. Medical/Mental Health Documents (Secondary Documents)

5. Staff Training

Interviews:

1. Interviews with Medical and Mental Health Staff
2. Interviews with Offenders who Reported Sexual Abuse

Site Review Observations:

1. Observations of Medical Treatment Areas

Findings (By Provision):

115.83 (a): The PAQ indicated that the facility offers medical and mental health evaluations, and as appropriate, treatment to all offenders who have been victimized by sexual abuse in any prison, jail, lockup, or juvenile facility. The Contract with Centurion outlines that the contractor shall arrange for 24 hour emergency medical and dental services, to include medical and dental on-call services. During the tour the auditor viewed the health services area. The area was two floors and included medical and dental. The medical space encompassed a reception area, an exam room, an ancillary area and an infirmary. The exam room provided privacy through a door and curtain. A review of documentation for nine offenders that disclosed prior sexual victimization during the risk screening indicated all nine were offered a follow-up with mental health. A review of documentation for the two offenders who reported sexual abuse that occurred at another facility confirmed both were offered mental health services. A review of documentation for ten sexual abuse allegations indicated nine were afforded medical and/or mental health services.

115.83 (b): D1-8.13 page 18 states each victim and abuser shall be offered medical and mental health evaluations, and as appropriate, treatment to include appropriate follow-up services and treatment plans. When necessary, referrals shall be completed for continued care following their transfer to, or placement in, other facilities or their release from custody. Interviews with medical and mental health care staff confirmed they provide follow-up services, treatment plans and referrals for community services. Interviews with offenders who reported sexual abuse indicated three of the four were provided follow-up services. A review of documentation for ten sexual abuse allegations indicated nine were afforded medical and/or mental health services. All are afforded follow-up with mental health and three were provided follow-up with medical.

115.83 (c): D1-8.13, page 18 states victims and abusers shall be provided with medical and mental health services consistent with the community level of care. All medical and mental health care staff are required to have the appropriate credentials and licensures. A review of secondary medical and mental health documentation indicated that offenders have immediate access to medical and mental health care when needed, including urgent and routine services. Interviews with medical and mental health care staff confirmed that the services they provide are consistent with the community level of care.

115.83 (d): The PAQ noted that this provision does not apply as the facility does not house cisgender female offenders. D1-8.13, page 18 states victims of sexually abusive vaginal penetration while incarcerated shall be offered pregnancy tests.

115.83 (e): The PAQ noted that this provision does not apply as the facility does not house cisgender female offenders. D1-8.13, page 18 states if pregnancy results, the victim shall receive timely, comprehensive information, and access to all lawful pregnancy-related medical services in accordance with the institutional services procedure regarding counseling and care of pregnant offenders.

115.83 (f): The PAQ indicated that offender victims of sexual abuse while incarcerated are offered tests for sexually transmitted infections as medically appropriate. D-8.13, page 17 states alleged victims of offender sexual abuse of any kind that consists of penetration of the mouth, anus, buttocks, or vulva, however slight, by hand, finger, object instrument, or penis shall be provided with prophylactic treatment and follow-up for sexually transmitted or other communicable diseases, as clinically determined by the physician. Female victims shall be offered timely information and timely access to pregnancy testing and emergency contraception in accordance with professionally accepted standards of care, where medically appropriate. Page 18 further states victims of sexual abuse shall be offered timely information and access to emergency contraception and prophylactic treatment for sexually transmitted infections in accordance with professionally accepted standards of care, where medically appropriate. Interviews with offenders who reported sexual abuse noted one involved an allegation that involved penetration. He stated he was unsure if he was provided information and access to testing for sexually transmitted infections. A review of documentation for nine offenders that disclosed prior sexual victimization during the risk screening indicated all nine were offered a follow-up with mental health. Four of the offender had an incident that may require testing for STIs. Three of the four were provided access to testing for STIs.

	115.83 (g): The PAQ indicated that treatment services are provided to every victim without financial cost and regardless of whether the victim names the abuser or cooperates with any investigations arising out of the incident. D-8.13, page 18 states treatment services shall be provided to the victim without financial cost and regardless of whether the victim names the abuser or cooperates with any investigation arising out of the incident. Interviews with offenders who reported sexual abuse confirmed none were required to pay for medical and/or mental health services. 115.83 (h): The PAQ indicated that the facility attempts to conduct a mental health evaluation of all known offender-on-offender abusers within 60 days of learning of such abuse history, and offers treatment when deemed appropriate by mental health. D1-8.13, page 18 states upon receiving a report of a substantiated case of offender sexual abuse the PREA site coordinator shall submit a referral and screening note - health services form to ensure the perpetrator shall be assessed by qualified mental health professional (QMHP) within 60 days of learning of such abuse. Interviews with medical and mental health staff indicated that they attempted to conduct a mental health evaluation on known abusers. The mental health staff advised they have 60 days to complete the evaluation. There were zero substantiated offender-on-offender sexual abuse investigations and as such there were no known offender-on-offender abusers. Based on a review of the PAQ, D1-8.13, Contract with Centurion, Medical and Mental Health Documents, Staff Training, and information from interviews with medical and mental health care staff, and offenders who reported sexual abuse, this standard appears to be complaint.
--	--

115.86	Sexual abuse incident reviews
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	Documents: 1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire 2. D1-8.13 Offender Sexual Abuse and Harassment 3. Sexual Abuse Incident Debriefing Form Interviews:

1. Interview with the Warden
2. Interview with the PREA Compliance Manager
3. Interview with Incident Review Team

Findings (By Provision):

115.86 (a): The PAQ stated that the facility conducts a sexual abuse incident review at the conclusion of every criminal or administrative sexual abuse investigation, unless the allegation has been determined to be unfounded. The PAQ indicated there were sixteen criminal and/or administrative investigations of alleged sexual abuse completed at the facility, excluding only "unfounded" incidents. D1-8.13 page 19, states each facility shall conduct a sexual abuse incident debriefing at the conclusion of every substantiated and unsubstantiated offender sexual abuse investigation. A sexual abuse incident debriefing is not required following offender sexual harassment investigations or when a sexual abuse investigation is unfounded. A review of twelve investigations indicated ten were sexual abuse and nine required a sexual abuse incident review. Documentation confirmed all nine had a completed sexual abuse incident review.

115.86 (b): The PAQ stated that the facility ordinarily conducts a sexual abuse incident review within 30 days of the conclusion of the criminal or administrative sexual abuse investigation. The PAQ indicated there were sixteen sexual abuse incident reviews completed by the facility within 30 days of the conclusion of the investigation. D1-8.13, page 19 states Incident debriefings shall be held within 30 days of the conclusion of a formal investigation. A review of twelve investigations indicated ten were sexual abuse and nine required a sexual abuse incident review. Documentation confirmed all nine had a completed sexual abuse incident review. Eight of the nine were completed within 30 days of the conclusion of the investigation.

115.86 (c): The PAQ indicated that the sexual abuse incident review team includes upper level management officials and allows for input from line supervisors, investigators and medical and mental health practitioners. D1-8.13 page 19, states the review team for offender sexual abuse events shall include the PREA site coordinator, and other upper level administrators, when applicable, with input from the shift supervisor, investigators, and medical or mental health practitioners. The interview with the Warden confirmed that the facility has a sexual abuse incident review team and the team consists of upper level management, line supervisors, investigators medical staff and mental health care staff. A review nine completed sexual abuse incident reviews confirmed they included the staff required under this

provision.

115.86 (d): The PAQ stated that the facility prepares a report of its findings from sexual abuse incident reviews, including but not necessarily limited to determinations made pursuant to paragraphs (d)(1)-(d)(5) of this section and any recommendations for improvement, and submits each report to the facility head and PCM. D1-8.13 page 19, the PREA sexual abuse incident debriefing report shall be completed by the PREA site coordinator outlining in detail the findings of the incident debriefing sessions and recommendations for improvements utilizing the PREA sexual abuse incident debriefing form. A review of the PREA Sexual Abuse Debriefing form notes that it includes sections for information related to the incident and those involved. It includes sections related to what occurred after the incident as well. The form includes all elements under this provision. Interviews with the Warden and PCM/sexual abuse incident review team member confirmed that sexual abuse incident reviews are being completed and they include all the required elements under this provision. The Warden stated they use information from the sexual abuse incident review to determine if there was a lack of staff, if there is a programming issue, if they had appropriate lighting, if they had appropriate video and if they are following policy and procedure. She advised the information takes into account factors that allowed it to occur and what they can do to minimize those factors. The PCM/sexual abuse incident review team member stated that the sexual abuse incident review team goes through the investigation and talks about any holes or gaps that need filled or things that they can do better. He advised they look at staffing and if security checks were done. He further stated they discuss anything of concern and make any corrections based on the team findings. A review of nine sexual abuse incident review confirmed they were completed via the PREA Sexual Abuse Debriefing form and included the elements under this provision.

115.86 (e): The PAQ indicated that the facility implements the recommendations for improvement or documents its reasons for not doing so. D1-8.13 page 19, the facility shall implement the recommendations for improvement, or shall document its reasons why recommendations shall not be implemented. A review of the PREA Sexual Abuse Debriefing form notes that it includes a section for corrective action that have been or will be taken. A review of the completed sexual abuse incident reviews noted that none included any recommendations.

Based on a review of the PAQ, D1-8.13, Sexual Abuse Incident Debriefing Form, Investigative Reports, and information from interviews with the Warden, the PC and a member of the sexual abuse incident review team, this standard appears to be compliant.

115.87	Data collection
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard Auditor Discussion Documents: 1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire 2. D1-8.13 Offender Sexual Abuse and Harassment 3. PREA Data Collection Memorandum 4. PREA Annual Report Protocol 5. PREA Annual Reports 6. Survey of Sexual Victimization Findings (By Provision): 115.87 (a): The PAQ indicated that the agency collects accurate uniform data for every allegation of sexual abuse at facilities under its direct control using a standardized instrument and set of definitions. D1-8.13, page 23 state the PREA manager shall prepare an annual report compiling each facility's current year's data and corrective actions. The PREA Data Collection Memorandum outlines that the agency utilizes an electronic system, Investigative Report Intelligence System (IRIS) for data collection. The PREA Annual Report Protocol outlines the guidelines and direction for staff to complete their annual report to assess and improve the effectiveness of the processes to prevent, detect and respond to sexual abuse. The document notes the report should include a section on allegations, thee facility overview, evaluation of camera and monitoring systems, the staffing plan evaluation and the comparison chart of the sexual abuse and sexual harassment data from the previous two years. A review of the PREA Annual Report confirmed that the current year aggregated data is broken down by allegation type and investigative outcome. The definition utilized are those outlined in under PREA Standard 115.6. Additionally, aggregated data is compared from 2015 to present and illustrates trends for the agency. 115.87 (b): The PAQ indicates that the agency aggregates the incident based sexual abuse data at least annually. D1-8.13, page 23 state the PREA manager shall prepare an annual report compiling each facility's current year's data and corrective actions. A review of the PREA Annual Report confirmed that the current year aggregated data is broken down by allegation type and investigative outcome. The definition utilized

are those outlined in under PREA Standard 115.6. Additionally, aggregated data is compared from 2015 to present and illustrates trends for the agency.

115.87 (c): The PAQ indicated that the agency collects accurate uniform data for every allegation of sexual abuse at facilities under its direct control using a standardized instrument and set of definitions. It also indicates that the standardized instrument includes at minimum, data to answer all questions from the most recent version of the Survey of Sexual Victimization (SSV). A review of the PREA Annual Report confirmed that the current year aggregated data is broken down by allegation type and investigative outcome. The definition utilized are those outlined in under PREA Standard 115.6. Additionally, aggregated data is compared from 2015 to present and illustrates trends for the agency.

115.87 (d): The PAQ stated that the agency maintains, reviews, and collects data as needed from all available incident based documents, including reports, investigation files, and sexual abuse incident reviews. The PREA Data Collection Memorandum outlines that the agency utilizes an electronic system, Investigative Report Intelligence System (IRIS) for data collection. The PREA Annual Report Protocol outlines the guidelines and direction for staff to complete their annual report to assess and improve the effectiveness of the processes to prevent, detect and respond to sexual abuse. The document notes the report should include a section on allegations, thee facility overview, evaluation of camera and monitoring systems, the staffing plan evaluation and the comparison chart of the sexual abuse and sexual harassment data from the previous two years. A review of the PREA Annual Report confirmed that the current year aggregated data is broken down by allegation type and investigative outcome. The definition utilized are those outlined in under PREA Standard 115.6. Additionally, aggregated data is compared from 2015 to present and illustrates trends for the agency.

115.87 (e): The PAQ indicated that this standard is not applicable as the agency does not contract with private facilities for the confinement of its offenders.

115.87 (f): The PAQ indicated that the agency provides the Department of Justice with data from the previous calendar year upon request. A review of documentation noted that the agency submitted the Survey of Sexual Victimization in 2024.

Based on a review of the PAQ, D1-8.13, PREA Data Collection Memorandum, PREA Annual Report Protocol, PREA Annual Reports, and the Survey of Sexual Victimization, this standard appears to be compliant.

115.88	Data review for corrective action
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	Documents: 1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire 2. D1-8.13 Offender Sexual Abuse and Harassment 3. PREA Annual Report Protocol 4. PREA Annual Reports Interviews: 1. Interview with the Agency Head Designee 2. Interview with the PREA Coordinator 3. Interview with the PREA Compliance Manager Findings (By Provision): 115.88 (a): The PAQ indicated that the agency reviews data collected and aggregated pursuant to 115.87 in order to assess and improve the effectiveness of its sexual abuse prevention, detection and response policies and training. The review includes: identifying problem areas, taking corrective action on an ongoing basis and preparing an annual report of its findings from its data review and any corrective actions for each facility, as well as the agency as a whole. D1-8.13, page 23 states The PREA manager shall prepare an annual report compiling each facility's current year's data and corrective actions. The report shall include: a comparison with prior year's data, corrective actions, and an assessment of the department's progress in addressing offender sexual abuse. The report shall be forwarded to the department director for approval. The CAO or designee, PREA manager or department director shall edit specific material from the reports when publication would present clear and specific threat to the safety and security of a facility. The CAO or designee, PREA manager, or department director shall indicate the nature of the material edited. The department's annual PREA report shall be made available to the public on the department's internet website. The PREA Annual Report Protocol outlines the guidelines and direction for staff to complete their annual report to assess and improve the effectiveness of the processes to prevent, detect and respond to sexual abuse. The document notes the report should include a section on allegations, the

facility overview, evaluation of camera and monitoring systems, the staffing plan evaluation and the comparison chart of the sexual abuse and sexual harassment data from the previous two years. The interview with the Agency Head Designee confirmed that the agency uses data to identify problem areas and take corrective action on an ongoing basis. He stated the data is used to assess the risk screening tool, the video monitoring technology, staffing levels, etc. He stated the data helps to identify and rectify issues. The PC confirmed that the agency aggregates sexual abuse data and that it is included in the annual report, which is posted on the agency website. He advised they utilize data to identify hot spots or commonalities amongst the events. This is then used in the annual report to evaluate the information. The PC stated they use data to ensure they are continually making an effort to improve the safety and security of the facilities. The interview with the PCM indicated that the facility data is used to identify any issues or needs. He stated they use it for training purposes, best practices and what to do and not to do across facilities. A review of the last two PREA Annual Reports indicates that the reports include background information, aggregated data for the current year, trend analysis from 2015 to current (to include graphs and tables) and ongoing corrective action taken during the year.

115.88 (b): The PAQ indicated that the annual report includes a comparison of the current year's data and corrective actions with those from prior years and provides an assessment of the progress in addressing sexual abuse. D1-8.13, page 23 states The PREA manager shall prepare an annual report compiling each facility's current year's data and corrective actions. The report shall include: a comparison with prior year's data, corrective actions, and an assessment of the department's progress in addressing offender sexual abuse. The report shall be forwarded to the department director for approval. The CAO or designee, PREA manager or department director shall edit specific material from the reports when publication would present clear and specific threat to the safety and security of a facility. The CAO or designee, PREA manager, or department director shall indicate the nature of the material edited. The department's annual PREA report shall be made available to the public on the department's internet website. The PREA Annual Report Protocol outlines the guidelines and direction for staff to complete their annual report to assess and improve the effectiveness of the processes to prevent, detect and respond to sexual abuse. The document notes the report should include a section on allegations, the facility overview, evaluation of camera and monitoring systems, the staffing plan evaluation and the comparison chart of the sexual abuse and sexual harassment data from the previous two years. A review of the last two PREA Annual Reports indicates that the reports include background information, aggregated data for the current year, trend analysis from 2015 to current (to include graphs and tables) and ongoing corrective action taken during the year.

115.88 (c): The PAQ indicated that the agency makes its annual report readily available to the public at least annually through its website. The PAQ indicated annual reports are approved by the Agency Head. D1-8.13, page 23 states The PREA

manager shall prepare an annual report compiling each facility's current year's data and corrective actions. The report shall include: a comparison with prior year's data, corrective actions, and an assessment of the department's progress in addressing offender sexual abuse. The report shall be forwarded to the department director for approval. The CAO or designee, PREA manager or department director shall edit specific material from the reports when publication would present clear and specific threat to the safety and security of a facility. The CAO or designee, PREA manager, or department director shall indicate the nature of the material edited. The department's annual PREA report shall be made available to the public on the department's internet website. The interview with the Agency Head Designee confirmed that the report is approved by the Agency Head and is posted on the agency website. A review of the website confirmed that the current PREA Annual Report as well as historical PREA Annual Reports dating back to 2010 are available on the agency website.

115.88 (d): The PAQ indicated when the agency redacts material from an annual report for publication the redactions are limited to specific material where publication would present a clear and specific threat to the safety and security of a facility. The PAQ stated that the agency indicates the nature of material redacted. The PAQ noted that the annual report is written in a way that the need to redact information is greatly minimized. D1-8.13, page 23 states The PREA manager shall prepare an annual report compiling each facility's current year's data and corrective actions. The report shall include: a comparison with prior year's data, corrective actions, and an assessment of the department's progress in addressing offender sexual abuse. The report shall be forwarded to the department director for approval. The CAO or designee, PREA manager or department director shall edit specific material from the reports when publication would present clear and specific threat to the safety and security of a facility. The CAO or designee, PREA manager, or department director shall indicate the nature of the material edited. The department's annual PREA report shall be made available to the public on the department's internet website. A review of the PREA Annual Report confirmed that no personal identifying information was included in the report nor any security related information. The report did not contain any redacted information. The interview with the PC advised that the way the annual report is written, there is not a need to redact any information. He noted that the report provides the main data but does not have personal information or security information.

Based on a review of the PAQ, D1-8.13, PREA Annual Report Protocol, PREA Annual Reports, the websites and information obtained from interviews with the Agency Head Designee and PC, this standard appears to be compliant.

Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard

Auditor Discussion

Documents:

1. Pre-Audit Questionnaire
2. D1-8.13 Offender Sexual Abuse and Harassment
3. OPS Retention Schedule
4. PREA Annual Reports

Interviews:

1. Interview with the PREA Coordinator

Findings (By Provision):

115.89 (a): The PAQ states that the agency ensures that incident based data and aggregated data is securely retained. The PC stated that the sexual abuse and sexual harassment data is maintained in the IRIS system, which is only accessible to agency investigators and facility leadership. He further stated that there are different levels of access for each individual.

115.89 (b): The PAQ states that the agency will make all aggregated sexual abuse data, from facilities under its direct control and private facilities with which it contracts, readily available to the public, at least annually, through its website or through other means. D1-8.13, page 23 states The PREA manager shall prepare an annual report compiling each facility's current year's data and corrective actions. The report shall include: a comparison with prior year's data, corrective actions, and an assessment of the department's progress in addressing offender sexual abuse. The report shall be forwarded to the department director for approval. The CAO or designee, PREA manager or department director shall edit specific material from the reports when publication would present clear and specific threat to the safety and security of a facility. The CAO or designee, PREA manager, or department director shall indicate the nature of the material edited. The department's annual PREA report shall be made available to the public on the department's internet website. A review of the website confirmed that the current PREA Annual Report, which includes aggregated data, is available to the public online.

	115.89 (c): The PAQ indicated that before making aggregated sexual abuse data publicly available, the agency removes all personal identifiers. A review of the PREA Annual Report, which contains the aggregated data, confirmed that no personal identifiers were publicly available. 115.89 (d): D1-8.13, page 24 states inquiries regarding offender sexual abuse and harassment and all supporting documents shall be retained as long as the alleged perpetrator is incarcerated or employed with the department, plus 5 years and in accordance with the department procedure regarding records retention. The OPS Retention Schedule notes that sexual abuse investigations and data are retained for 50 years. A review of historical PREA Annual Reports indicated that aggregated data is available from 2010 to present. Based on a review of the PAQ, D1-8.13, OPS Retention Schedule, PREA Annual Reports, the websites and information obtained from the interview with the PC, this standard appears to be compliant.
--	---

115.401	Frequency and scope of audits
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	Findings (By Provision): 115.401 (a): The facility is part of the Missouri Department of Correction. A review of the audit schedule and audit reports on the agency's website indicates that at least one third of the agency's facilities are audited each year. 115.401 (b): The facility is part of the Missouri Department of Correction. A review of the audit schedule and audit reports on the agency's website indicates that at least one third of the agency's facilities are audited each year. The facility is being audited in the first year of the three year cycle. 115.401 (h) - (m): The auditor had access to all areas of the facility; was permitted to review any relevant policies, procedure or documents; was permitted to retain physical and electronic copies of all documents; was permitted to conduct private interviews and was able to receive confidential information/correspondence from

	offenders. 115.401 (n): The facility provided photos of the audit announcement posted around the facility at least six weeks prior to the on-site portion of the audit. During the tour the auditor observed the audit announcement posted on bright colored letter size paper in English and Spanish. The audit announcements were in the housing units and in common areas. The audit announcement advised the offenders that correspondence with the auditor would remain confidential unless the offender reported information such as sexual abuse, harm to self or harm to others. The offenders were able to send correspondence via legal mail.
--	---

115.403	Audit contents and findings
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	Findings (By Provision): 115.403 (f): The agency has audit reports published to their website for all audits completed during the previous three, three year audit cycles.

Appendix: Provision Findings**115.11 (a) Zero tolerance of sexual abuse and sexual harassment; PREA coordinator**

Does the agency have a written policy mandating zero tolerance toward all forms of sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes
--	-----

Does the written policy outline the agency's approach to preventing, detecting, and responding to sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes
---	-----

115.11 (b) Zero tolerance of sexual abuse and sexual harassment; PREA coordinator

Has the agency employed or designated an agency-wide PREA Coordinator?	yes
--	-----

Is the PREA Coordinator position in the upper-level of the agency hierarchy?	yes
--	-----

Does the PREA Coordinator have sufficient time and authority to develop, implement, and oversee agency efforts to comply with the PREA standards in all of its facilities?	yes
--	-----

115.11 (c) Zero tolerance of sexual abuse and sexual harassment; PREA coordinator

If this agency operates more than one facility, has each facility designated a PREA compliance manager? (N/A if agency operates only one facility.)	yes
---	-----

Does the PREA compliance manager have sufficient time and authority to coordinate the facility's efforts to comply with the PREA standards? (N/A if agency operates only one facility.)	yes
---	-----

115.12 (a) Contracting with other entities for the confinement of inmates

If this agency is public and it contracts for the confinement of its inmates with private agencies or other entities including other government agencies, has the agency included the entity's obligation to comply with the PREA standards in any new contract or contract renewal signed on or after August 20, 2012? (N/A if the agency does not contract with private agencies or other entities for the confinement of inmates.)	na
---	----

115.12 (b) Contracting with other entities for the confinement of inmates

Does any new contract or contract renewal signed on or after August 20, 2012 provide for agency contract monitoring to ensure	na
---	----

	that the contractor is complying with the PREA standards? (N/A if the agency does not contract with private agencies or other entities for the confinement of inmates.)	
115.13 (a)	Supervision and monitoring	
	Does the facility have a documented staffing plan that provides for adequate levels of staffing and, where applicable, video monitoring, to protect inmates against sexual abuse?	yes
	In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: Generally accepted detention and correctional practices?	yes
	In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: Any judicial findings of inadequacy?	yes
	In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: Any findings of inadequacy from Federal investigative agencies?	yes
	In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: Any findings of inadequacy from internal or external oversight bodies?	yes
	In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: All components of the facility's physical plant (including "blind-spots" or areas where staff or inmates may be isolated)?	yes
	In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: The composition of the inmate population?	yes
	In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: The number and placement of supervisory staff?	yes
	In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: The institution programs occurring on a particular shift?	yes
	In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into	yes

	consideration: Any applicable State or local laws, regulations, or standards?	
	In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: The prevalence of substantiated and unsubstantiated incidents of sexual abuse?	yes
	In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: Any other relevant factors?	yes
115.13 (b)	Supervision and monitoring	
	In circumstances where the staffing plan is not complied with, does the facility document and justify all deviations from the plan? (N/A if no deviations from staffing plan.)	yes
115.13 (c)	Supervision and monitoring	
	In the past 12 months, has the facility, in consultation with the agency PREA Coordinator, assessed, determined, and documented whether adjustments are needed to: The staffing plan established pursuant to paragraph (a) of this section?	yes
	In the past 12 months, has the facility, in consultation with the agency PREA Coordinator, assessed, determined, and documented whether adjustments are needed to: The facility's deployment of video monitoring systems and other monitoring technologies?	yes
	In the past 12 months, has the facility, in consultation with the agency PREA Coordinator, assessed, determined, and documented whether adjustments are needed to: The resources the facility has available to commit to ensure adherence to the staffing plan?	yes
115.13 (d)	Supervision and monitoring	
	Has the facility/agency implemented a policy and practice of having intermediate-level or higher-level supervisors conduct and document unannounced rounds to identify and deter staff sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes
	Is this policy and practice implemented for night shifts as well as day shifts?	yes
	Does the facility/agency have a policy prohibiting staff from alerting other staff members that these supervisory rounds are occurring, unless such announcement is related to the legitimate operational functions of the facility?	yes

115.14 (a)	Youthful inmates	
	Does the facility place all youthful inmates in housing units that separate them from sight, sound, and physical contact with any adult inmates through use of a shared dayroom or other common space, shower area, or sleeping quarters? (N/A if facility does not have youthful inmates (inmates <18 years old).)	yes
115.14 (b)	Youthful inmates	
	In areas outside of housing units does the agency maintain sight and sound separation between youthful inmates and adult inmates? (N/A if facility does not have youthful inmates (inmates <18 years old).)	yes
	In areas outside of housing units does the agency provide direct staff supervision when youthful inmates and adult inmates have sight, sound, or physical contact? (N/A if facility does not have youthful inmates (inmates <18 years old).)	yes
115.14 (c)	Youthful inmates	
	Does the agency make its best efforts to avoid placing youthful inmates in isolation to comply with this provision? (N/A if facility does not have youthful inmates (inmates <18 years old).)	yes
	Does the agency, while complying with this provision, allow youthful inmates daily large-muscle exercise and legally required special education services, except in exigent circumstances? (N/A if facility does not have youthful inmates (inmates <18 years old).)	yes
	Do youthful inmates have access to other programs and work opportunities to the extent possible? (N/A if facility does not have youthful inmates (inmates <18 years old).)	yes
115.15 (a)	Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches	
	Does the facility always refrain from conducting any cross-gender strip or cross-gender visual body cavity searches, except in exigent circumstances or by medical practitioners?	yes
115.15 (b)	Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches	
	Does the facility always refrain from conducting cross-gender pat-down searches of female inmates, except in exigent circumstances? (N/A if the facility does not have female inmates.)	na
	Does the facility always refrain from restricting female inmates' access to regularly available programming or other out-of-cell opportunities in order to comply with this provision? (N/A if the	na

	facility does not have female inmates.)	
115.15 (c)	Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches	
	Does the facility document all cross-gender strip searches and cross-gender visual body cavity searches?	yes
	Does the facility document all cross-gender pat-down searches of female inmates (N/A if the facility does not have female inmates)?	na
115.15 (d)	Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches	
	Does the facility have policies that enables inmates to shower, perform bodily functions, and change clothing without nonmedical staff of the opposite gender viewing their breasts, buttocks, or genitalia, except in exigent circumstances or when such viewing is incidental to routine cell checks?	yes
	Does the facility have procedures that enables inmates to shower, perform bodily functions, and change clothing without nonmedical staff of the opposite gender viewing their breasts, buttocks, or genitalia, except in exigent circumstances or when such viewing is incidental to routine cell checks?	yes
	Does the facility require staff of the opposite gender to announce their presence when entering an inmate housing unit?	yes
115.15 (e)	Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches	
	This provision is no longer applicable to your compliance finding, please select N/A.	na
115.15 (f)	Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches	
	This provision is no longer applicable to your compliance finding, please select N/A.	na
115.16 (a)	Inmates with disabilities and inmates who are limited English proficient	
	Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that inmates with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: inmates who are deaf or hard of hearing?	yes
	Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that inmates with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: inmates who are blind or have low vision?	yes

	Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that inmates with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: inmates who have intellectual disabilities?	yes
	Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that inmates with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: inmates who have psychiatric disabilities?	yes
	Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that inmates with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: inmates who have speech disabilities?	yes
	Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that inmates with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: Other (if "other," please explain in overall determination notes.)	yes
	Do such steps include, when necessary, ensuring effective communication with inmates who are deaf or hard of hearing?	yes
	Do such steps include, when necessary, providing access to interpreters who can interpret effectively, accurately, and impartially, both receptively and expressively, using any necessary specialized vocabulary?	yes
	Does the agency ensure that written materials are provided in formats or through methods that ensure effective communication with inmates with disabilities including inmates who: Have intellectual disabilities?	yes
	Does the agency ensure that written materials are provided in formats or through methods that ensure effective communication with inmates with disabilities including inmates who: Have limited reading skills?	yes
	Does the agency ensure that written materials are provided in formats or through methods that ensure effective communication with inmates with disabilities including inmates who: are blind or have low vision?	yes
115.16 (b)	Inmates with disabilities and inmates who are limited English proficient	

	Does the agency take reasonable steps to ensure meaningful access to all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment to inmates who are limited English proficient?	yes
	Do these steps include providing interpreters who can interpret effectively, accurately, and impartially, both receptively and expressively, using any necessary specialized vocabulary?	yes
115.16 (c)	Inmates with disabilities and inmates who are limited English proficient	
	Does the agency always refrain from relying on inmate interpreters, inmate readers, or other types of inmate assistance except in limited circumstances where an extended delay in obtaining an effective interpreter could compromise the inmate's safety, the performance of first-response duties under §115.64, or the investigation of the inmate's allegations?	yes
115.17 (a)	Hiring and promotion decisions	
	Does the agency prohibit the hiring or promotion of anyone who may have contact with inmates who has engaged in sexual abuse in a prison, jail, lockup, community confinement facility, juvenile facility, or other institution (as defined in 42 U.S.C. 1997)?	yes
	Does the agency prohibit the hiring or promotion of anyone who may have contact with inmates who has been convicted of engaging or attempting to engage in sexual activity in the community facilitated by force, overt or implied threats of force, or coercion, or if the victim did not consent or was unable to consent or refuse?	yes
	Does the agency prohibit the hiring or promotion of anyone who may have contact with inmates who has been civilly or administratively adjudicated to have engaged in the activity described in the two bullets immediately above?	yes
	Does the agency prohibit the enlistment of services of any contractor who may have contact with inmates who has engaged in sexual abuse in a prison, jail, lockup, community confinement facility, juvenile facility, or other institution (as defined in 42 U.S.C. 1997)?	yes
	Does the agency prohibit the enlistment of services of any contractor who may have contact with inmates who has been convicted of engaging or attempting to engage in sexual activity in the community facilitated by force, overt or implied threats of force, or coercion, or if the victim did not consent or was unable to	yes

	consent or refuse?	
	Does the agency prohibit the enlistment of services of any contractor who may have contact with inmates who has been civilly or administratively adjudicated to have engaged in the activity described in the two bullets immediately above?	yes
115.17 (b) Hiring and promotion decisions		
	Does the agency consider any incidents of sexual harassment in determining whether to hire or promote anyone who may have contact with inmates?	yes
	Does the agency consider any incidents of sexual harassment in determining whether to enlist the services of any contractor who may have contact with inmates?	yes
115.17 (c) Hiring and promotion decisions		
	Before hiring new employees who may have contact with inmates, does the agency perform a criminal background records check?	yes
	Before hiring new employees who may have contact with inmates, does the agency, consistent with Federal, State, and local law, make its best efforts to contact all prior institutional employers for information on substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or any resignation during a pending investigation of an allegation of sexual abuse?	yes
115.17 (d) Hiring and promotion decisions		
	Does the agency perform a criminal background records check before enlisting the services of any contractor who may have contact with inmates?	yes
115.17 (e) Hiring and promotion decisions		
	Does the agency either conduct criminal background records checks at least every five years of current employees and contractors who may have contact with inmates or have in place a system for otherwise capturing such information for current employees?	yes
115.17 (f) Hiring and promotion decisions		
	Does the agency ask all applicants and employees who may have contact with inmates directly about previous misconduct described in paragraph (a) of this section in written applications or interviews for hiring or promotions?	yes
	Does the agency ask all applicants and employees who may have	yes

	contact with inmates directly about previous misconduct described in paragraph (a) of this section in any interviews or written self-evaluations conducted as part of reviews of current employees?	
	Does the agency impose upon employees a continuing affirmative duty to disclose any such misconduct?	yes
115.17 (g)	Hiring and promotion decisions	
	Does the agency consider material omissions regarding such misconduct, or the provision of materially false information, grounds for termination?	yes
115.17 (h)	Hiring and promotion decisions	
	Does the agency provide information on substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment involving a former employee upon receiving a request from an institutional employer for whom such employee has applied to work? (N/A if providing information on substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment involving a former employee is prohibited by law.)	yes
115.18 (a)	Upgrades to facilities and technologies	
	If the agency designed or acquired any new facility or planned any substantial expansion or modification of existing facilities, did the agency consider the effect of the design, acquisition, expansion, or modification upon the agency's ability to protect inmates from sexual abuse? (N/A if agency/facility has not acquired a new facility or made a substantial expansion to existing facilities since August 20, 2012, or since the last PREA audit, whichever is later.)	na
115.18 (b)	Upgrades to facilities and technologies	
	If the agency installed or updated a video monitoring system, electronic surveillance system, or other monitoring technology, did the agency consider how such technology may enhance the agency's ability to protect inmates from sexual abuse? (N/A if agency/facility has not installed or updated a video monitoring system, electronic surveillance system, or other monitoring technology since August 20, 2012, or since the last PREA audit, whichever is later.)	na
115.21 (a)	Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations	
	If the agency is responsible for investigating allegations of sexual abuse, does the agency follow a uniform evidence protocol that maximizes the potential for obtaining usable physical evidence for administrative proceedings and criminal prosecutions? (N/A if the	yes

	agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations.)	
115.21 (b)	Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations	
	Is this protocol developmentally appropriate for youth where applicable? (N/A if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations.)	yes
	Is this protocol, as appropriate, adapted from or otherwise based on the most recent edition of the U.S. Department of Justice's Office on Violence Against Women publication, "A National Protocol for Sexual Assault Medical Forensic Examinations, Adults/Adolescents," or similarly comprehensive and authoritative protocols developed after 2011? (N/A if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations.)	yes
115.21 (c)	Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations	
	Does the agency offer all victims of sexual abuse access to forensic medical examinations, whether on-site or at an outside facility, without financial cost, where evidentiarily or medically appropriate?	yes
	Are such examinations performed by Sexual Assault Forensic Examiners (SAFEs) or Sexual Assault Nurse Examiners (SANEs) where possible?	yes
	If SAFEs or SANEs cannot be made available, is the examination performed by other qualified medical practitioners (they must have been specifically trained to conduct sexual assault forensic exams)?	yes
	Has the agency documented its efforts to provide SAFEs or SANEs?	yes
115.21 (d)	Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations	
	Does the agency attempt to make available to the victim a victim advocate from a rape crisis center?	yes
	If a rape crisis center is not available to provide victim advocate services, does the agency make available to provide these services a qualified staff member from a community-based organization, or a qualified agency staff member? (N/A if the agency always makes a victim advocate from a rape crisis center available to victims.)	yes

	Has the agency documented its efforts to secure services from rape crisis centers?	yes
115.21 (e)	Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations	
	As requested by the victim, does the victim advocate, qualified agency staff member, or qualified community-based organization staff member accompany and support the victim through the forensic medical examination process and investigatory interviews?	yes
	As requested by the victim, does this person provide emotional support, crisis intervention, information, and referrals?	yes
115.21 (f)	Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations	
	If the agency itself is not responsible for investigating allegations of sexual abuse, has the agency requested that the investigating agency follow the requirements of paragraphs (a) through (e) of this section? (N/A if the agency/facility is responsible for conducting criminal AND administrative sexual abuse investigations.)	na
115.21 (h)	Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations	
	If the agency uses a qualified agency staff member or a qualified community-based staff member for the purposes of this section, has the individual been screened for appropriateness to serve in this role and received education concerning sexual assault and forensic examination issues in general? (N/A if agency always makes a victim advocate from a rape crisis center available to victims.)	yes
115.22 (a)	Policies to ensure referrals of allegations for investigations	
	Does the agency ensure an administrative or criminal investigation is completed for all allegations of sexual abuse?	yes
	Does the agency ensure an administrative or criminal investigation is completed for all allegations of sexual harassment?	yes
115.22 (b)	Policies to ensure referrals of allegations for investigations	
	Does the agency have a policy and practice in place to ensure that allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment are referred for investigation to an agency with the legal authority to conduct criminal investigations, unless the allegation does not involve potentially criminal behavior?	yes

	Has the agency published such policy on its website or, if it does not have one, made the policy available through other means?	yes
	Does the agency document all such referrals?	yes
115.22 (c)	Policies to ensure referrals of allegations for investigations	
	If a separate entity is responsible for conducting criminal investigations, does the policy describe the responsibilities of both the agency and the investigating entity? (N/A if the agency/facility is responsible for criminal investigations. See 115.21(a).)	na
115.31 (a)	Employee training	
	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on its zero-tolerance policy for sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes
	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on how to fulfill their responsibilities under agency sexual abuse and sexual harassment prevention, detection, reporting, and response policies and procedures?	yes
	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on inmates' right to be free from sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes
	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on the right of inmates and employees to be free from retaliation for reporting sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes
	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on the dynamics of sexual abuse and sexual harassment in confinement?	yes
	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on the common reactions of sexual abuse and sexual harassment victims?	yes
	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on how to detect and respond to signs of threatened and actual sexual abuse?	yes
	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on how to avoid inappropriate relationships with inmates?	yes
	The subsection of this provision is no longer applicable to your compliance finding, please select N/A.	yes
	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with	yes

	inmates on how to comply with relevant laws related to mandatory reporting of sexual abuse to outside authorities?	
115.31 (b)	Employee training	
	Is such training tailored to the gender of the inmates at the employee's facility?	yes
	Have employees received additional training if reassigned from a facility that houses only male inmates to a facility that houses only female inmates, or vice versa?	yes
115.31 (c)	Employee training	
	Have all current employees who may have contact with inmates received such training?	yes
	Does the agency provide each employee with refresher training every two years to ensure that all employees know the agency's current sexual abuse and sexual harassment policies and procedures?	yes
	In years in which an employee does not receive refresher training, does the agency provide refresher information on current sexual abuse and sexual harassment policies?	yes
115.31 (d)	Employee training	
	Does the agency document, through employee signature or electronic verification, that employees understand the training they have received?	yes
115.32 (a)	Volunteer and contractor training	
	Has the agency ensured that all volunteers and contractors who have contact with inmates have been trained on their responsibilities under the agency's sexual abuse and sexual harassment prevention, detection, and response policies and procedures?	yes
115.32 (b)	Volunteer and contractor training	
	Have all volunteers and contractors who have contact with inmates been notified of the agency's zero-tolerance policy regarding sexual abuse and sexual harassment and informed how to report such incidents (the level and type of training provided to volunteers and contractors shall be based on the services they provide and level of contact they have with inmates)?	yes
115.32 (c)	Volunteer and contractor training	

	Does the agency maintain documentation confirming that volunteers and contractors understand the training they have received?	yes
115.33 (a)	Inmate education	
	During intake, do inmates receive information explaining the agency's zero-tolerance policy regarding sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes
	During intake, do inmates receive information explaining how to report incidents or suspicions of sexual abuse or sexual harassment?	yes
115.33 (b)	Inmate education	
	Within 30 days of intake, does the agency provide comprehensive education to inmates either in person or through video regarding: Their rights to be free from sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes
	Within 30 days of intake, does the agency provide comprehensive education to inmates either in person or through video regarding: Their rights to be free from retaliation for reporting such incidents?	yes
	Within 30 days of intake, does the agency provide comprehensive education to inmates either in person or through video regarding: Agency policies and procedures for responding to such incidents?	yes
115.33 (c)	Inmate education	
	Have all inmates received the comprehensive education referenced in 115.33(b)?	yes
	Do inmates receive education upon transfer to a different facility to the extent that the policies and procedures of the inmate's new facility differ from those of the previous facility?	yes
115.33 (d)	Inmate education	
	Does the agency provide inmate education in formats accessible to all inmates including those who are limited English proficient?	yes
	Does the agency provide inmate education in formats accessible to all inmates including those who are deaf?	yes
	Does the agency provide inmate education in formats accessible to all inmates including those who are visually impaired?	yes
	Does the agency provide inmate education in formats accessible to all inmates including those who are otherwise disabled?	yes

	Does the agency provide inmate education in formats accessible to all inmates including those who have limited reading skills?	yes
115.33 (e)	Inmate education	
	Does the agency maintain documentation of inmate participation in these education sessions?	yes
115.33 (f)	Inmate education	
	In addition to providing such education, does the agency ensure that key information is continuously and readily available or visible to inmates through posters, inmate handbooks, or other written formats?	yes
115.34 (a)	Specialized training: Investigations	
	In addition to the general training provided to all employees pursuant to §115.31, does the agency ensure that, to the extent the agency itself conducts sexual abuse investigations, its investigators receive training in conducting such investigations in confinement settings? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).)	yes
115.34 (b)	Specialized training: Investigations	
	Does this specialized training include techniques for interviewing sexual abuse victims? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).)	yes
	Does this specialized training include proper use of Miranda and Garrity warnings? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).)	yes
	Does this specialized training include sexual abuse evidence collection in confinement settings? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).)	yes
	Does this specialized training include the criteria and evidence required to substantiate a case for administrative action or prosecution referral? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).)	yes
115.34 (c)	Specialized training: Investigations	

	Does the agency maintain documentation that agency investigators have completed the required specialized training in conducting sexual abuse investigations? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).)	yes
115.35 (a)	Specialized training: Medical and mental health care	
	Does the agency ensure that all full- and part-time medical and mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities have been trained in how to detect and assess signs of sexual abuse and sexual harassment? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities.)	yes
	Does the agency ensure that all full- and part-time medical and mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities have been trained in how to preserve physical evidence of sexual abuse? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities.)	yes
	Does the agency ensure that all full- and part-time medical and mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities have been trained in how to respond effectively and professionally to victims of sexual abuse and sexual harassment? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities.)	yes
	Does the agency ensure that all full- and part-time medical and mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities have been trained in how and to whom to report allegations or suspicions of sexual abuse and sexual harassment? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities.)	yes
115.35 (b)	Specialized training: Medical and mental health care	
	If medical staff employed by the agency conduct forensic examinations, do such medical staff receive appropriate training to conduct such examinations? (N/A if agency medical staff at the facility do not conduct forensic exams or the agency does not employ medical staff.)	yes
115.35 (c)	Specialized training: Medical and mental health care	
	Does the agency maintain documentation that medical and mental health practitioners have received the training referenced in this standard either from the agency or elsewhere? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental	yes

	health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities.)	
115.35 (d)	Specialized training: Medical and mental health care	
	Do medical and mental health care practitioners employed by the agency also receive training mandated for employees by §115.31? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners employed by the agency.)	yes
	Do medical and mental health care practitioners contracted by or volunteering for the agency also receive training mandated for contractors and volunteers by §115.32? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners contracted by or volunteering for the agency.)	yes
115.41 (a)	Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness	
	Are all inmates assessed during an intake screening for their risk of being sexually abused by other inmates or sexually abusive toward other inmates?	yes
	Are all inmates assessed upon transfer to another facility for their risk of being sexually abused by other inmates or sexually abusive toward other inmates?	yes
115.41 (b)	Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness	
	Do intake screenings ordinarily take place within 72 hours of arrival at the facility?	yes
115.41 (c)	Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness	
	Are all PREA screening assessments conducted using an objective screening instrument?	yes
115.41 (d)	Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness	
	Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (1) Whether the inmate has a mental, physical, or developmental disability?	yes
	Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (2) The age of the inmate?	yes
	Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (3) The physical build of the inmate?	yes
	Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following	yes

	criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (4) Whether the inmate has previously been incarcerated?	
	Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (5) Whether the inmate's criminal history is exclusively nonviolent?	yes
	Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (6) Whether the inmate has prior convictions for sex offenses against an adult or child?	yes
	The subsection of this provision is no longer applicable to your compliance finding, please select N/A.	na
	Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (8) Whether the inmate has previously experienced sexual victimization?	yes
	Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (9) The inmate's own perception of vulnerability?	yes
	Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (10) Whether the inmate is detained solely for civil immigration purposes?	yes
115.41 (e)	Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness	
	In assessing inmates for risk of being sexually abusive, does the initial PREA risk screening consider, as known to the agency: prior acts of sexual abuse?	yes
	In assessing inmates for risk of being sexually abusive, does the initial PREA risk screening consider, as known to the agency: prior convictions for violent offenses?	yes
	In assessing inmates for risk of being sexually abusive, does the initial PREA risk screening consider, as known to the agency: history of prior institutional violence or sexual abuse?	yes
115.41 (f)	Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness	
	Within a set time period not more than 30 days from the inmate's arrival at the facility, does the facility reassess the inmate's risk of victimization or abusiveness based upon any additional, relevant information received by the facility since the intake screening?	yes

115.41 (g)	Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness	
	Does the facility reassess an inmate's risk level when warranted due to a referral?	yes
	Does the facility reassess an inmate's risk level when warranted due to a request?	yes
	Does the facility reassess an inmate's risk level when warranted due to an incident of sexual abuse?	yes
	Does the facility reassess an inmate's risk level when warranted due to receipt of additional information that bears on the inmate's risk of sexual victimization or abusiveness?	yes
115.41 (h)	Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness	
	Is it the case that inmates are not ever disciplined for refusing to answer, or for not disclosing complete information in response to, questions asked pursuant to paragraphs (d)(1), (d)(7), (d)(8), or (d)(9) of this section?	yes
115.41 (i)	Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness	
	Has the agency implemented appropriate controls on the dissemination within the facility of responses to questions asked pursuant to this standard in order to ensure that sensitive information is not exploited to the inmate's detriment by staff or other inmates?	yes
115.42 (a)	Use of screening information	
	Does the agency use information from the risk screening required by § 115.41, with the goal of keeping separate those inmates at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive, to inform: Housing Assignments?	yes
	Does the agency use information from the risk screening required by § 115.41, with the goal of keeping separate those inmates at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive, to inform: Bed assignments?	yes
	Does the agency use information from the risk screening required by § 115.41, with the goal of keeping separate those inmates at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive, to inform: Work Assignments?	yes
	Does the agency use information from the risk screening required by § 115.41, with the goal of keeping separate those inmates at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of	yes

	being sexually abusive, to inform: Education Assignments?	
	Does the agency use information from the risk screening required by § 115.41, with the goal of keeping separate those inmates at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive, to inform: Program Assignments?	yes
115.42 (b)	Use of screening information	
	Does the agency make individualized determinations about how to ensure the safety of each inmate?	yes
115.42 (c)	Use of screening information	
	This provision is no longer applicable to your compliance finding, please select N/A.	na
115.42 (d)	Use of screening information	
	This provision is no longer applicable to your compliance finding, please select N/A.	na
115.42 (e)	Use of screening information	
	This provision is no longer applicable to your compliance finding, please select N/A.	na
115.42 (f)	Use of screening information	
	This provision is no longer applicable to your compliance finding, please select N/A.	na
115.42 (g)	Use of screening information	
	This provision is no longer applicable to your compliance finding, please select N/A.	na
115.43 (a)	Protective Custody	
	Does the facility always refrain from placing inmates at high risk for sexual victimization in involuntary segregated housing unless an assessment of all available alternatives has been made, and a determination has been made that there is no available alternative means of separation from likely abusers?	yes
	If a facility cannot conduct such an assessment immediately, does the facility hold the inmate in involuntary segregated housing for less than 24 hours while completing the assessment?	yes
115.43 (b)	Protective Custody	
	Do inmates who are placed in segregated housing because they	yes

	are at high risk of sexual victimization have access to: Programs to the extent possible?	
	Do inmates who are placed in segregated housing because they are at high risk of sexual victimization have access to: Privileges to the extent possible?	yes
	Do inmates who are placed in segregated housing because they are at high risk of sexual victimization have access to: Education to the extent possible?	yes
	Do inmates who are placed in segregated housing because they are at high risk of sexual victimization have access to: Work opportunities to the extent possible?	yes
	If the facility restricts any access to programs, privileges, education, or work opportunities, does the facility document the opportunities that have been limited? (N/A if the facility never restricts access to programs, privileges, education, or work opportunities.)	yes
	If the facility restricts access to programs, privileges, education, or work opportunities, does the facility document the duration of the limitation? (N/A if the facility never restricts access to programs, privileges, education, or work opportunities.)	yes
	If the facility restricts access to programs, privileges, education, or work opportunities, does the facility document the reasons for such limitations? (N/A if the facility never restricts access to programs, privileges, education, or work opportunities.)	yes
115.43 (c)	Protective Custody	
	Does the facility assign inmates at high risk of sexual victimization to involuntary segregated housing only until an alternative means of separation from likely abusers can be arranged?	yes
	Does such an assignment not ordinarily exceed a period of 30 days?	yes
115.43 (d)	Protective Custody	
	If an involuntary segregated housing assignment is made pursuant to paragraph (a) of this section, does the facility clearly document: The basis for the facility's concern for the inmate's safety?	yes
	If an involuntary segregated housing assignment is made pursuant to paragraph (a) of this section, does the facility clearly document: The reason why no alternative means of separation	yes

	can be arranged?	
115.43 (e)	Protective Custody	
	In the case of each inmate who is placed in involuntary segregation because he/she is at high risk of sexual victimization, does the facility afford a review to determine whether there is a continuing need for separation from the general population EVERY 30 DAYS?	yes
115.51 (a)	Inmate reporting	
	Does the agency provide multiple internal ways for inmates to privately report: Sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes
	Does the agency provide multiple internal ways for inmates to privately report: Retaliation by other inmates or staff for reporting sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes
	Does the agency provide multiple internal ways for inmates to privately report: Staff neglect or violation of responsibilities that may have contributed to such incidents?	yes
115.51 (b)	Inmate reporting	
	Does the agency also provide at least one way for inmates to report sexual abuse or sexual harassment to a public or private entity or office that is not part of the agency?	yes
	Is that private entity or office able to receive and immediately forward inmate reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment to agency officials?	yes
	Does that private entity or office allow the inmate to remain anonymous upon request?	yes
	Are inmates detained solely for civil immigration purposes provided information on how to contact relevant consular officials and relevant officials at the Department of Homeland Security? (N/A if the facility never houses inmates detained solely for civil immigration purposes.)	na
115.51 (c)	Inmate reporting	
	Does staff accept reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment made verbally, in writing, anonymously, and from third parties?	yes
	Does staff promptly document any verbal reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes
115.51 (d)	Inmate reporting	

	Does the agency provide a method for staff to privately report sexual abuse and sexual harassment of inmates?	yes
115.52 (a)	Exhaustion of administrative remedies	
	Is the agency exempt from this standard? NOTE: The agency is exempt ONLY if it does not have administrative procedures to address inmate grievances regarding sexual abuse. This does not mean the agency is exempt simply because an inmate does not have to or is not ordinarily expected to submit a grievance to report sexual abuse. This means that as a matter of explicit policy, the agency does not have an administrative remedies process to address sexual abuse.	no
115.52 (b)	Exhaustion of administrative remedies	
	Does the agency permit inmates to submit a grievance regarding an allegation of sexual abuse without any type of time limits? (The agency may apply otherwise-applicable time limits to any portion of a grievance that does not allege an incident of sexual abuse.) (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
	Does the agency always refrain from requiring an inmate to use any informal grievance process, or to otherwise attempt to resolve with staff, an alleged incident of sexual abuse? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
115.52 (c)	Exhaustion of administrative remedies	
	Does the agency ensure that: An inmate who alleges sexual abuse may submit a grievance without submitting it to a staff member who is the subject of the complaint? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
	Does the agency ensure that: Such grievance is not referred to a staff member who is the subject of the complaint? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
115.52 (d)	Exhaustion of administrative remedies	
	Does the agency issue a final agency decision on the merits of any portion of a grievance alleging sexual abuse within 90 days of the initial filing of the grievance? (Computation of the 90-day time period does not include time consumed by inmates in preparing any administrative appeal.) (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
	If the agency claims the maximum allowable extension of time to respond of up to 70 days per 115.52(d)(3) when the normal time period for response is insufficient to make an appropriate decision,	yes

	does the agency notify the inmate in writing of any such extension and provide a date by which a decision will be made? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	
	At any level of the administrative process, including the final level, if the inmate does not receive a response within the time allotted for reply, including any properly noticed extension, may an inmate consider the absence of a response to be a denial at that level? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
115.52 (e)	Exhaustion of administrative remedies	
	Are third parties, including fellow inmates, staff members, family members, attorneys, and outside advocates, permitted to assist inmates in filing requests for administrative remedies relating to allegations of sexual abuse? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
	Are those third parties also permitted to file such requests on behalf of inmates? (If a third party files such a request on behalf of an inmate, the facility may require as a condition of processing the request that the alleged victim agree to have the request filed on his or her behalf, and may also require the alleged victim to personally pursue any subsequent steps in the administrative remedy process.) (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
	If the inmate declines to have the request processed on his or her behalf, does the agency document the inmate's decision? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
115.52 (f)	Exhaustion of administrative remedies	
	Has the agency established procedures for the filing of an emergency grievance alleging that an inmate is subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
	After receiving an emergency grievance alleging an inmate is subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse, does the agency immediately forward the grievance (or any portion thereof that alleges the substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse) to a level of review at which immediate corrective action may be taken? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.).	yes
	After receiving an emergency grievance described above, does the agency provide an initial response within 48 hours? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
	After receiving an emergency grievance described above, does the agency issue a final agency decision within 5 calendar days?	yes

	(N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	
	Does the initial response and final agency decision document the agency's determination whether the inmate is in substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
	Does the initial response document the agency's action(s) taken in response to the emergency grievance? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
	Does the agency's final decision document the agency's action(s) taken in response to the emergency grievance? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
115.52 (g)	Exhaustion of administrative remedies	
	If the agency disciplines an inmate for filing a grievance related to alleged sexual abuse, does it do so ONLY where the agency demonstrates that the inmate filed the grievance in bad faith? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
115.53 (a)	Inmate access to outside confidential support services	
	Does the facility provide inmates with access to outside victim advocates for emotional support services related to sexual abuse by giving inmates mailing addresses and telephone numbers, including toll-free hotline numbers where available, of local, State, or national victim advocacy or rape crisis organizations?	yes
	Does the facility provide persons detained solely for civil immigration purposes mailing addresses and telephone numbers, including toll-free hotline numbers where available of local, State, or national immigrant services agencies? (N/A if the facility never has persons detained solely for civil immigration purposes.)	na
	Does the facility enable reasonable communication between inmates and these organizations and agencies, in as confidential a manner as possible?	yes
115.53 (b)	Inmate access to outside confidential support services	
	Does the facility inform inmates, prior to giving them access, of the extent to which such communications will be monitored and the extent to which reports of abuse will be forwarded to authorities in accordance with mandatory reporting laws?	yes
115.53 (c)	Inmate access to outside confidential support services	
	Does the agency maintain or attempt to enter into memoranda of	yes

	understanding or other agreements with community service providers that are able to provide inmates with confidential emotional support services related to sexual abuse?	
	Does the agency maintain copies of agreements or documentation showing attempts to enter into such agreements?	yes
115.54 (a)	Third-party reporting	
	Has the agency established a method to receive third-party reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes
	Has the agency distributed publicly information on how to report sexual abuse and sexual harassment on behalf of an inmate?	yes
115.61 (a)	Staff and agency reporting duties	
	Does the agency require all staff to report immediately and according to agency policy any knowledge, suspicion, or information regarding an incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment that occurred in a facility, whether or not it is part of the agency?	yes
	Does the agency require all staff to report immediately and according to agency policy any knowledge, suspicion, or information regarding retaliation against inmates or staff who reported an incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment?	yes
	Does the agency require all staff to report immediately and according to agency policy any knowledge, suspicion, or information regarding any staff neglect or violation of responsibilities that may have contributed to an incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment or retaliation?	yes
115.61 (b)	Staff and agency reporting duties	
	Apart from reporting to designated supervisors or officials, does staff always refrain from revealing any information related to a sexual abuse report to anyone other than to the extent necessary, as specified in agency policy, to make treatment, investigation, and other security and management decisions?	yes
115.61 (c)	Staff and agency reporting duties	
	Unless otherwise precluded by Federal, State, or local law, are medical and mental health practitioners required to report sexual abuse pursuant to paragraph (a) of this section?	yes
	Are medical and mental health practitioners required to inform inmates of the practitioner's duty to report, and the limitations of	yes

	confidentiality, at the initiation of services?	
115.61 (d)	Staff and agency reporting duties	
	If the alleged victim is under the age of 18 or considered a vulnerable adult under a State or local vulnerable persons statute, does the agency report the allegation to the designated State or local services agency under applicable mandatory reporting laws?	yes
115.61 (e)	Staff and agency reporting duties	
	Does the facility report all allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including third-party and anonymous reports, to the facility's designated investigators?	yes
115.62 (a)	Agency protection duties	
	When the agency learns that an inmate is subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse, does it take immediate action to protect the inmate?	yes
115.63 (a)	Reporting to other confinement facilities	
	Upon receiving an allegation that an inmate was sexually abused while confined at another facility, does the head of the facility that received the allegation notify the head of the facility or appropriate office of the agency where the alleged abuse occurred?	yes
115.63 (b)	Reporting to other confinement facilities	
	Is such notification provided as soon as possible, but no later than 72 hours after receiving the allegation?	yes
115.63 (c)	Reporting to other confinement facilities	
	Does the agency document that it has provided such notification?	yes
115.63 (d)	Reporting to other confinement facilities	
	Does the facility head or agency office that receives such notification ensure that the allegation is investigated in accordance with these standards?	yes
115.64 (a)	Staff first responder duties	
	Upon learning of an allegation that an inmate was sexually abused, is the first security staff member to respond to the report required to: Separate the alleged victim and abuser?	yes
	Upon learning of an allegation that an inmate was sexually abused, is the first security staff member to respond to the report	yes

	required to: Preserve and protect any crime scene until appropriate steps can be taken to collect any evidence?	
	Upon learning of an allegation that an inmate was sexually abused, is the first security staff member to respond to the report required to: Request that the alleged victim not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence, including, as appropriate, washing, brushing teeth, changing clothes, urinating, defecating, smoking, drinking, or eating, if the abuse occurred within a time period that still allows for the collection of physical evidence?	yes
	Upon learning of an allegation that an inmate was sexually abused, is the first security staff member to respond to the report required to: Ensure that the alleged abuser does not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence, including, as appropriate, washing, brushing teeth, changing clothes, urinating, defecating, smoking, drinking, or eating, if the abuse occurred within a time period that still allows for the collection of physical evidence?	yes
115.64 (b)	Staff first responder duties	
	If the first staff responder is not a security staff member, is the responder required to request that the alleged victim not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence, and then notify security staff?	yes
115.65 (a)	Coordinated response	
	Has the facility developed a written institutional plan to coordinate actions among staff first responders, medical and mental health practitioners, investigators, and facility leadership taken in response to an incident of sexual abuse?	yes
115.66 (a)	Preservation of ability to protect inmates from contact with abusers	
	Are both the agency and any other governmental entities responsible for collective bargaining on the agency's behalf prohibited from entering into or renewing any collective bargaining agreement or other agreement that limit the agency's ability to remove alleged staff sexual abusers from contact with any inmates pending the outcome of an investigation or of a determination of whether and to what extent discipline is warranted?	yes
115.67 (a)	Agency protection against retaliation	
	Has the agency established a policy to protect all inmates and staff who report sexual abuse or sexual harassment or cooperate	yes

	with sexual abuse or sexual harassment investigations from retaliation by other inmates or staff?	
	Has the agency designated which staff members or departments are charged with monitoring retaliation?	yes
115.67 (b)	Agency protection against retaliation	
	Does the agency employ multiple protection measures, such as housing changes or transfers for inmate victims or abusers, removal of alleged staff or inmate abusers from contact with victims, and emotional support services for inmates or staff who fear retaliation for reporting sexual abuse or sexual harassment or for cooperating with investigations?	yes
115.67 (c)	Agency protection against retaliation	
	Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor the conduct and treatment of inmates or staff who reported the sexual abuse to see if there are changes that may suggest possible retaliation by inmates or staff?	yes
	Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor the conduct and treatment of inmates who were reported to have suffered sexual abuse to see if there are changes that may suggest possible retaliation by inmates or staff?	yes
	Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Act promptly to remedy any such retaliation?	yes
	Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor any inmate disciplinary reports?	yes
	Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor inmate housing changes?	yes
	Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor inmate program changes?	yes

	Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor negative performance reviews of staff?	yes
	Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor reassignments of staff?	yes
	Does the agency continue such monitoring beyond 90 days if the initial monitoring indicates a continuing need?	yes
115.67 (d)	Agency protection against retaliation	
	In the case of inmates, does such monitoring also include periodic status checks?	yes
115.67 (e)	Agency protection against retaliation	
	If any other individual who cooperates with an investigation expresses a fear of retaliation, does the agency take appropriate measures to protect that individual against retaliation?	yes
115.68 (a)	Post-allegation protective custody	
	Is any and all use of segregated housing to protect an inmate who is alleged to have suffered sexual abuse subject to the requirements of § 115.43?	yes
115.71 (a)	Criminal and administrative agency investigations	
	When the agency conducts its own investigations into allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment, does it do so promptly, thoroughly, and objectively? (N/A if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).)	yes
	Does the agency conduct such investigations for all allegations, including third party and anonymous reports? (N/A if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).)	yes
115.71 (b)	Criminal and administrative agency investigations	
	Where sexual abuse is alleged, does the agency use investigators who have received specialized training in sexual abuse investigations as required by 115.34?	yes
115.71 (c)	Criminal and administrative agency investigations	
	Do investigators gather and preserve direct and circumstantial	yes

	evidence, including any available physical and DNA evidence and any available electronic monitoring data?	
	Do investigators interview alleged victims, suspected perpetrators, and witnesses?	yes
	Do investigators review prior reports and complaints of sexual abuse involving the suspected perpetrator?	yes
115.71 (d)	Criminal and administrative agency investigations	
	When the quality of evidence appears to support criminal prosecution, does the agency conduct compelled interviews only after consulting with prosecutors as to whether compelled interviews may be an obstacle for subsequent criminal prosecution?	yes
115.71 (e)	Criminal and administrative agency investigations	
	Do agency investigators assess the credibility of an alleged victim, suspect, or witness on an individual basis and not on the basis of that individual's status as inmate or staff?	yes
	Does the agency investigate allegations of sexual abuse without requiring an inmate who alleges sexual abuse to submit to a polygraph examination or other truth-telling device as a condition for proceeding?	yes
115.71 (f)	Criminal and administrative agency investigations	
	Do administrative investigations include an effort to determine whether staff actions or failures to act contributed to the abuse?	yes
	Are administrative investigations documented in written reports that include a description of the physical evidence and testimonial evidence, the reasoning behind credibility assessments, and investigative facts and findings?	yes
115.71 (g)	Criminal and administrative agency investigations	
	Are criminal investigations documented in a written report that contains a thorough description of the physical, testimonial, and documentary evidence and attaches copies of all documentary evidence where feasible?	yes
115.71 (h)	Criminal and administrative agency investigations	
	Are all substantiated allegations of conduct that appears to be criminal referred for prosecution?	yes
115.71 (i)	Criminal and administrative agency investigations	

	Does the agency retain all written reports referenced in 115.71(f) and (g) for as long as the alleged abuser is incarcerated or employed by the agency, plus five years?	yes
115.71 (j)	Criminal and administrative agency investigations	
	Does the agency ensure that the departure of an alleged abuser or victim from the employment or control of the agency does not provide a basis for terminating an investigation?	yes
115.71 (l)	Criminal and administrative agency investigations	
	When an outside entity investigates sexual abuse, does the facility cooperate with outside investigators and endeavor to remain informed about the progress of the investigation? (N/A if an outside agency does not conduct administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).)	na
115.72 (a)	Evidentiary standard for administrative investigations	
	Is it true that the agency does not impose a standard higher than a preponderance of the evidence in determining whether allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment are substantiated?	yes
115.73 (a)	Reporting to inmates	
	Following an investigation into an inmate's allegation that he or she suffered sexual abuse in an agency facility, does the agency inform the inmate as to whether the allegation has been determined to be substantiated, unsubstantiated, or unfounded?	yes
115.73 (b)	Reporting to inmates	
	If the agency did not conduct the investigation into an inmate's allegation of sexual abuse in an agency facility, does the agency request the relevant information from the investigative agency in order to inform the inmate? (N/A if the agency/facility is responsible for conducting administrative and criminal investigations.)	na
115.73 (c)	Reporting to inmates	
	Following an inmate's allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the resident, unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded, or unless the inmate has been released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the resident whenever: The staff member is no longer posted within the inmate's unit?	yes
	Following an inmate's allegation that a staff member has	yes

	committed sexual abuse against the resident, unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded, or unless the resident has been released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the resident whenever: The staff member is no longer employed at the facility?	
	Following an inmate's allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the resident, unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded, or unless the resident has been released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the resident whenever: The agency learns that the staff member has been indicted on a charge related to sexual abuse in the facility?	yes
	Following an inmate's allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the resident, unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded, or unless the resident has been released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the resident whenever: The agency learns that the staff member has been convicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility?	yes
115.73 (d)	Reporting to inmates	
	Following an inmate's allegation that he or she has been sexually abused by another inmate, does the agency subsequently inform the alleged victim whenever: The agency learns that the alleged abuser has been indicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility?	yes
	Following an inmate's allegation that he or she has been sexually abused by another inmate, does the agency subsequently inform the alleged victim whenever: The agency learns that the alleged abuser has been convicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility?	yes
115.73 (e)	Reporting to inmates	
	Does the agency document all such notifications or attempted notifications?	yes
115.76 (a)	Disciplinary sanctions for staff	
	Are staff subject to disciplinary sanctions up to and including termination for violating agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies?	yes
115.76 (b)	Disciplinary sanctions for staff	
	Is termination the presumptive disciplinary sanction for staff who	yes

	have engaged in sexual abuse?	
115.76 (c)	Disciplinary sanctions for staff	
	Are disciplinary sanctions for violations of agency policies relating to sexual abuse or sexual harassment (other than actually engaging in sexual abuse) commensurate with the nature and circumstances of the acts committed, the staff member's disciplinary history, and the sanctions imposed for comparable offenses by other staff with similar histories?	yes
115.76 (d)	Disciplinary sanctions for staff	
	Are all terminations for violations of agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies, or resignations by staff who would have been terminated if not for their resignation, reported to: Law enforcement agencies(unless the activity was clearly not criminal)?	yes
	Are all terminations for violations of agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies, or resignations by staff who would have been terminated if not for their resignation, reported to: Relevant licensing bodies?	yes
115.77 (a)	Corrective action for contractors and volunteers	
	Is any contractor or volunteer who engages in sexual abuse prohibited from contact with inmates?	yes
	Is any contractor or volunteer who engages in sexual abuse reported to: Law enforcement agencies (unless the activity was clearly not criminal)?	yes
	Is any contractor or volunteer who engages in sexual abuse reported to: Relevant licensing bodies?	yes
115.77 (b)	Corrective action for contractors and volunteers	
	In the case of any other violation of agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies by a contractor or volunteer, does the facility take appropriate remedial measures, and consider whether to prohibit further contact with inmates?	yes
115.78 (a)	Disciplinary sanctions for inmates	
	Following an administrative finding that an inmate engaged in inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse, or following a criminal finding of guilt for inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse, are inmates subject to disciplinary sanctions pursuant to a formal disciplinary process?	yes
115.78 (b)	Disciplinary sanctions for inmates	

	Are sanctions commensurate with the nature and circumstances of the abuse committed, the inmate's disciplinary history, and the sanctions imposed for comparable offenses by other inmates with similar histories?	yes
115.78 (c)	Disciplinary sanctions for inmates	
	When determining what types of sanction, if any, should be imposed, does the disciplinary process consider whether an inmate's mental disabilities or mental illness contributed to his or her behavior?	yes
115.78 (d)	Disciplinary sanctions for inmates	
	If the facility offers therapy, counseling, or other interventions designed to address and correct underlying reasons or motivations for the abuse, does the facility consider whether to require the offending inmate to participate in such interventions as a condition of access to programming and other benefits?	yes
115.78 (e)	Disciplinary sanctions for inmates	
	Does the agency discipline an inmate for sexual contact with staff only upon a finding that the staff member did not consent to such contact?	yes
115.78 (f)	Disciplinary sanctions for inmates	
	For the purpose of disciplinary action does a report of sexual abuse made in good faith based upon a reasonable belief that the alleged conduct occurred NOT constitute falsely reporting an incident or lying, even if an investigation does not establish evidence sufficient to substantiate the allegation?	yes
115.78 (g)	Disciplinary sanctions for inmates	
	If the agency prohibits all sexual activity between inmates, does the agency always refrain from considering non-coercive sexual activity between inmates to be sexual abuse? (N/A if the agency does not prohibit all sexual activity between inmates.)	yes
115.81 (a)	Medical and mental health screenings; history of sexual abuse	
	If the screening pursuant to § 115.41 indicates that a prison inmate has experienced prior sexual victimization, whether it occurred in an institutional setting or in the community, do staff ensure that the inmate is offered a follow-up meeting with a medical or mental health practitioner within 14 days of the intake screening? (N/A if the facility is not a prison).	yes
115.81 (b)	Medical and mental health screenings; history of sexual abuse	

	If the screening pursuant to § 115.41 indicates that a prison inmate has previously perpetrated sexual abuse, whether it occurred in an institutional setting or in the community, do staff ensure that the inmate is offered a follow-up meeting with a mental health practitioner within 14 days of the intake screening? (N/A if the facility is not a prison.)	yes
115.81 (c)	Medical and mental health screenings; history of sexual abuse	
	If the screening pursuant to § 115.41 indicates that a jail inmate has experienced prior sexual victimization, whether it occurred in an institutional setting or in the community, do staff ensure that the inmate is offered a follow-up meeting with a medical or mental health practitioner within 14 days of the intake screening? (N/A if the facility is not a jail).	na
115.81 (d)	Medical and mental health screenings; history of sexual abuse	
	Is any information related to sexual victimization or abusiveness that occurred in an institutional setting strictly limited to medical and mental health practitioners and other staff as necessary to inform treatment plans and security management decisions, including housing, bed, work, education, and program assignments, or as otherwise required by Federal, State, or local law?	yes
115.81 (e)	Medical and mental health screenings; history of sexual abuse	
	Do medical and mental health practitioners obtain informed consent from inmates before reporting information about prior sexual victimization that did not occur in an institutional setting, unless the inmate is under the age of 18?	yes
115.82 (a)	Access to emergency medical and mental health services	
	Do inmate victims of sexual abuse receive timely, unimpeded access to emergency medical treatment and crisis intervention services, the nature and scope of which are determined by medical and mental health practitioners according to their professional judgment?	yes
115.82 (b)	Access to emergency medical and mental health services	
	If no qualified medical or mental health practitioners are on duty at the time a report of recent sexual abuse is made, do security staff first responders take preliminary steps to protect the victim pursuant to § 115.62?	yes
	Do security staff first responders immediately notify the appropriate medical and mental health practitioners?	yes

115.82 (c)	Access to emergency medical and mental health services	
	Are inmate victims of sexual abuse offered timely information about and timely access to emergency contraception and sexually transmitted infections prophylaxis, in accordance with professionally accepted standards of care, where medically appropriate?	yes
115.82 (d)	Access to emergency medical and mental health services	
	Are treatment services provided to the victim without financial cost and regardless of whether the victim names the abuser or cooperates with any investigation arising out of the incident?	yes
115.83 (a)	Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers	
	Does the facility offer medical and mental health evaluation and, as appropriate, treatment to all inmates who have been victimized by sexual abuse in any prison, jail, lockup, or juvenile facility?	yes
115.83 (b)	Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers	
	Does the evaluation and treatment of such victims include, as appropriate, follow-up services, treatment plans, and, when necessary, referrals for continued care following their transfer to, or placement in, other facilities, or their release from custody?	yes
115.83 (c)	Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers	
	Does the facility provide such victims with medical and mental health services consistent with the community level of care?	yes
115.83 (d)	Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers	
	Are inmate victims of sexually abusive vaginal penetration while incarcerated offered pregnancy tests? (N/A if "all male" facility. Note: in "all male" facilities there may be inmates who identify as transgender men who may have female genitalia. Auditors should be sure to know whether such individuals may be in the population and whether this provision may apply in specific circumstances.)	na
115.83 (e)	Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers	
	If pregnancy results from the conduct described in paragraph §	na

	115.83(d), do such victims receive timely and comprehensive information about and timely access to all lawful pregnancy-related medical services? (N/A if "all male" facility. Note: in "all male" facilities there may be inmates who identify as transgender men who may have female genitalia. Auditors should be sure to know whether such individuals may be in the population and whether this provision may apply in specific circumstances.)	
115.83 (f)	Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers	
	Are inmate victims of sexual abuse while incarcerated offered tests for sexually transmitted infections as medically appropriate?	yes
115.83 (g)	Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers	
	Are treatment services provided to the victim without financial cost and regardless of whether the victim names the abuser or cooperates with any investigation arising out of the incident?	yes
115.83 (h)	Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers	
	If the facility is a prison, does it attempt to conduct a mental health evaluation of all known inmate-on-inmate abusers within 60 days of learning of such abuse history and offer treatment when deemed appropriate by mental health practitioners? (NA if the facility is a jail.)	yes
115.86 (a)	Sexual abuse incident reviews	
	Does the facility conduct a sexual abuse incident review at the conclusion of every sexual abuse investigation, including where the allegation has not been substantiated, unless the allegation has been determined to be unfounded?	yes
115.86 (b)	Sexual abuse incident reviews	
	Does such review ordinarily occur within 30 days of the conclusion of the investigation?	yes
115.86 (c)	Sexual abuse incident reviews	
	Does the review team include upper-level management officials, with input from line supervisors, investigators, and medical or mental health practitioners?	yes
115.86 (d)	Sexual abuse incident reviews	

	Does the review team: Consider whether the allegation or investigation indicates a need to change policy or practice to better prevent, detect, or respond to sexual abuse?	yes
	The subsection of this provision is no longer applicable to your compliance finding, please select N/A.	na
	Does the review team: Examine the area in the facility where the incident allegedly occurred to assess whether physical barriers in the area may enable abuse?	yes
	Does the review team: Assess the adequacy of staffing levels in that area during different shifts?	yes
	Does the review team: Assess whether monitoring technology should be deployed or augmented to supplement supervision by staff?	yes
	Does the review team: Prepare a report of its findings, including but not necessarily limited to determinations made pursuant to §§ 115.86(d)(1)-(d)(5), and any recommendations for improvement and submit such report to the facility head and PREA compliance manager?	yes
115.86 (e)	Sexual abuse incident reviews	
	Does the facility implement the recommendations for improvement, or document its reasons for not doing so?	yes
115.87 (a)	Data collection	
	Does the agency collect accurate, uniform data for every allegation of sexual abuse at facilities under its direct control using a standardized instrument and set of definitions?	yes
115.87 (b)	Data collection	
	Does the agency aggregate the incident-based sexual abuse data at least annually?	yes
115.87 (c)	Data collection	
	Does the incident-based data include, at a minimum, the data necessary to answer all questions from the most recent version of the Survey of Sexual Violence conducted by the Department of Justice?	yes
115.87 (d)	Data collection	
	Does the agency maintain, review, and collect data as needed from all available incident-based documents, including reports,	yes

	investigation files, and sexual abuse incident reviews?	
115.87 (e)	Data collection	
	Does the agency also obtain incident-based and aggregated data from every private facility with which it contracts for the confinement of its inmates? (N/A if agency does not contract for the confinement of its inmates.)	na
115.87 (f)	Data collection	
	Does the agency, upon request, provide all such data from the previous calendar year to the Department of Justice no later than June 30? (N/A if DOJ has not requested agency data.)	yes
115.88 (a)	Data review for corrective action	
	Does the agency review data collected and aggregated pursuant to § 115.87 in order to assess and improve the effectiveness of its sexual abuse prevention, detection, and response policies, practices, and training, including by: Identifying problem areas?	yes
	Does the agency review data collected and aggregated pursuant to § 115.87 in order to assess and improve the effectiveness of its sexual abuse prevention, detection, and response policies, practices, and training, including by: Taking corrective action on an ongoing basis?	yes
	Does the agency review data collected and aggregated pursuant to § 115.87 in order to assess and improve the effectiveness of its sexual abuse prevention, detection, and response policies, practices, and training, including by: Preparing an annual report of its findings and corrective actions for each facility, as well as the agency as a whole?	yes
115.88 (b)	Data review for corrective action	
	Does the agency's annual report include a comparison of the current year's data and corrective actions with those from prior years and provide an assessment of the agency's progress in addressing sexual abuse?	yes
115.88 (c)	Data review for corrective action	
	Is the agency's annual report approved by the agency head and made readily available to the public through its website or, if it does not have one, through other means?	yes
115.88 (d)	Data review for corrective action	
	Does the agency indicate the nature of the material redacted	yes

	where it redacts specific material from the reports when publication would present a clear and specific threat to the safety and security of a facility?	
115.89 (a)	Data storage, publication, and destruction	
	Does the agency ensure that data collected pursuant to § 115.87 are securely retained?	yes
115.89 (b)	Data storage, publication, and destruction	
	Does the agency make all aggregated sexual abuse data, from facilities under its direct control and private facilities with which it contracts, readily available to the public at least annually through its website or, if it does not have one, through other means?	yes
115.89 (c)	Data storage, publication, and destruction	
	Does the agency remove all personal identifiers before making aggregated sexual abuse data publicly available?	yes
115.89 (d)	Data storage, publication, and destruction	
	Does the agency maintain sexual abuse data collected pursuant to § 115.87 for at least 10 years after the date of the initial collection, unless Federal, State, or local law requires otherwise?	yes
115.401 (a)	Frequency and scope of audits	
	During the prior three-year audit period, did the agency ensure that each facility operated by the agency, or by a private organization on behalf of the agency, was audited at least once? (Note: The response here is purely informational. A "no" response does not impact overall compliance with this standard.)	yes
115.401 (b)	Frequency and scope of audits	
	Is this the first year of the current audit cycle? (Note: a "no" response does not impact overall compliance with this standard.)	yes
	If this is the second year of the current audit cycle, did the agency ensure that at least one-third of each facility type operated by the agency, or by a private organization on behalf of the agency, was audited during the first year of the current audit cycle? (N/A if this is not the second year of the current audit cycle.)	na
	If this is the third year of the current audit cycle, did the agency ensure that at least two-thirds of each facility type operated by	na

	the agency, or by a private organization on behalf of the agency, were audited during the first two years of the current audit cycle? (N/A if this is not the third year of the current audit cycle.)	
115.401 (h)	Frequency and scope of audits	
	Did the auditor have access to, and the ability to observe, all areas of the audited facility?	yes
115.401 (i)	Frequency and scope of audits	
	Was the auditor permitted to request and receive copies of any relevant documents (including electronically stored information)?	yes
115.401 (m)	Frequency and scope of audits	
	Was the auditor permitted to conduct private interviews with inmates, residents, and detainees?	yes
115.401 (n)	Frequency and scope of audits	
	Were inmates permitted to send confidential information or correspondence to the auditor in the same manner as if they were communicating with legal counsel?	yes
115.403 (f)	Audit contents and findings	
	The agency has published on its agency website, if it has one, or has otherwise made publicly available, all Final Audit Reports. The review period is for prior audits completed during the past three years PRECEDING THIS AUDIT. The pendency of any agency appeal pursuant to 28 C.F.R. § 115.405 does not excuse noncompliance with this provision. (N/A if there have been no Final Audit Reports issued in the past three years, or, in the case of single facility agencies, there has never been a Final Audit Report issued.)	yes